Exhibit 6

```
Page 1
1
                    UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                      WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
                        SAN ANTONIO DIVISION
2
3
       ALEK SCHOTT,
          Plaintiff,
4
                                  ) Civil Action No.
       v.
5
                                  ) 5:23-cv-00706-OLG-RBF
       JOEL BABB, in his individual )
       and official capacity;
6
       MARTIN A. MOLINA III, in his )
7
       individual and official
       capacity; JAVIER SALAZAR,
8
       in his individual and
       official capacity; and BEXAR )
9
       COUNTY, TEXAS,
          Defendants.
10
11
          12
                        ORAL DEPOSITION OF
13
                           GARY HASTON
14
                         JANUARY 10, 2025
          15
16
          ORAL DEPOSITION OF GARY HASTON, produced as a
17
       witness at the instance of the Plaintiff, and duly
18
       sworn, was taken in the above-styled and numbered cause
       on January 10, 2025, from 9:07 a.m. to 4:46 p.m.,
19
20
       before Donna Wright, CSR in and for the State of Texas,
21
       reported by machine shorthand, at the LAW OFFICES OF
22
       WRIGHT & GREENHILL, P.C., 4700 Mueller, Austin, Texas,
23
       pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and
24
       the provisions stated on the record or attached hereto.
25
```

```
Page 2
1
                         APPEARANCES
2
       FOR THE PLAINTIFF:
           Mr. Joshua A. Windham
3
           Ms. Christen M. Hebert
4
           INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE
           816 Congress Avenue
           Suite 970
5
           Austin, Texas 78701
6
           (512) 480-5936
           chebert@ij.org
7
               -and-
8
           Mr. Joshua A. Windham
9
           Mr. Joshua Z. Fox
           Institute for Justice
           901 North Glebe Road, Suite 900
10
           Arlington, VA
                           22203
           (703) 682-9320
11
           jwindham@ij.org
12
           jfox@ij.org
13
       FOR THE DEFENDANT JOEL BABB:
14
           Mr. Stephen Barron
           WRIGHT & GREENHILL, P.C.
15
           4700 Mueller Boulevard
           Suite 200
           Austin, Texas 78723
16
           (512) 200-9697
17
           sbarron@w-g.com
1 8
       FOR THE DEFENDANTS MARTIN A. MOLINA III
       AND BEXAR COUNTY, TEXAS:
19
           Mr. Hector X. Saenz (via Zoom)
           LAW OFFICES OF CHARLES S. FRIGERIO
2 0
           111 Soledad Street
2.1
           Suite 840
           San Antonio, Texas 78205
           (210) 271-7877
22
           csfrigeriolaw@sbcglobal.net
23
2 4
25
```

		Page 3	
1		INDEX	
2	Appearances		2
3			
4	GARY HASTON		
5			
_	Examination by Ms. Hebert4		
6			0.5.4
7	Reporter's Certificate		274
8			
O	EXHIBITS		
9			
	NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
10			
	Exhibit 110		9
11		Haston Report with Correction	
	Exhibit 111		11
12		Haston Report	
	Exhibit 112		14
13		CV	
1 1	Exhibit 113		210
14	Exhibit 114	BlackVue Camera Systems Printout	251
15		Steve Walters Training Materials	231
16		beeve warters framing materials	
17			
-	P	REVIOUSLY MARKED EXHIBITS	
18	NUMBER		PAGE
19			
	Exhibit 49		160
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

Page 4 1 GARY HASTON, 2 having been first duly sworn, testified as follows: 3 EXAMINATION 4 BY MS. HEBERT: 5 Q. Good morning. Good morning. 6 Α. 7 Mr. Haston, my name is Christen Hebert. Q. represent the plaintiff, Alek Schott, in this matter. 8 9 We just met for the first time a few minutes ago; is 10 that correct? 11 Α. We did. 12 Q. Can you state your full name for the record? 13 Α. It's Gary Don Haston, H-A-S-T-O-N. 14 Great. I'm just going to introduce you to our 0. 15 team. 16 Α. Okay. 17 Q. You met Mr. Fox, Josh Fox --18 Α. I have. 19 -- a few minutes ago. And this is 20 Mr. Windham, Josh Windham. And then in the room, we 21 also have Donna, the court reporter, which you met a 22 few minutes ago. And then counsel, Stephen Barron, who 23 is representing Deputy Babb. And on Zoom we have Hector Saenz --24 25 MS. HEBERT: I can never say your last

Page 5 1 name, Hector. 2 MR. SAENZ: That's good enough. 3 Ο. (BY MS. HEBERT) Who is representing Bexar 4 County and Defendant Molina in this case. 5 Α. Okay. So just usual stipulations, you're here, that 6 Ο. 7 means you're waiving any defects in your deposition notice. 8 9 Before we go on today, I want to go over 10 a couple of housekeeping rules. Have you ever been 11 deposed before? 12 Α. I have not. 13 Okay. So you understand that you are under Q. 14 oath today? 15 I do. Α. 16 And you understand that's the same oath that Ο. 17 you would take as if you were in the courtroom 18 testifying for before a judge? 19 I do. Α. 20 Okay. It's important that we have a clear 21 record today. Donna is going to take down everything I 22 say and everything you say, and that means that we need 23 to have clear verbal answers on the record. So please don't shake your head. Nodding or shaking your head is 24 25 just not captured by the record, and we try to avoid

things like "uh-huh" or "huh-huh." So we'll try to say yes or no, just so it's clear for Donna.

A. Okay.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. If you don't understand a question, please let me know.

Can we agree to that?

- A. I understand, yes.
- Q. And if you answer a question, I'm going to assume you understood what I was saying. Is that fair?
 - A. That's fair.
- Q. Okay. Similarly, let's try to not interrupt each other. Please don't start answering a question until I have finished asking it, and vice versa, I'll try not to interrupt you when you're answering a question with the next question. I know that it's not a natural conversation because it kind of usually ebbs and flows, but we will both do our best. And if Donna thinks we're doing a bad job, she will let us know, I'm sure.
 - A. I agree.
- Q. If you do not know the answer to a question, it is okay for you to say so.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. And the other attorneys here, Hector and Stephen, may state an objection on the record, but that

doesn't mean that you should not answer. It's just they are looking to preserve the objection so if we try to use your answer later down the line, they can argue to the judge that the form of the question was improper, the question was improper.

Does that make sense?

A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. If you would like to take a break, use the restroom, get a drink, get lunch, just ask. For the most part, this is going to be an informal, relatively informal conversation, so feel free to, you know, shout. The only thing I would ask you to do is to finish answering a particular question before we take a break.
 - A. Sounds good.
- Q. And if there is something that I have asked that you don't understand, feel free to ask me to rephrase it.
 - A. Of course.
 - Q. We're going to look at some documents today.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. It's your right to look at that document in its entirety. I'm not trying to trick you, I'm not trying to rush you. I may point you to some specific parts of the document to ask questions, but if you want

Page 8 to take time to review a whole document, we can take a 1 2 break and you can review the whole thing. That is your 3 right. 4 Α. Okay. 5 Is there any reason that you're not able to Q. give your full and best testimony today? 6 7 Α. No. Okay. And would it be fair for plaintiff's 8 0. 9 attorneys to rely on your testimony at trial? Of course. 10 Α. 11 Q. Okay. Are you generally clearheaded to testify today? 12 13 Α. Yes. 14 You haven't taken any impairment medication? Q. 15 Α. No. 16 You haven't had any alcohol this morning? Q. 17 Α. No. 18 Did you prepare a report? Q. 19 I did. Α. 20 And I see that you brought a document with Ο. 21 you? 22 Α. I have. 23 What is that document? Q. It is the report. However, I have reviewed it 24 Α. 25 this morning. There are a few typographical errors

Page 9 1 that I want to make you aware of. Q. Sure. 3 Α. Other than that, you know, it's a complete 4 report. And if there are errors in the report that you 5 Ο. want to just note as we go along, feel free to just 6 7 shout. So I'm going to introduce your -- your --8 9 the version of your report that you brought as an 10 exhibit. 11 MS. HEBERT: Could you put an exhibit 12 sticker on that? So whatever is -- 110. 13 MR. BARRON: 110. (Exhibit 110 marked) 14 15 0. (BY MS. HEBERT) Are there any notes on this 16 version of the report? 17 Α. Let me see if I may have -- I don't believe 18 there are. 19 (Discussion off the record) 20 THE WITNESS: Yes, there is one 2.1 correction written on this report. 22 (BY MS. HEBERT) Sure. We will just --23 because you brought it with you, we're going to put an exhibit sticker on it. I'm probably just going to just 24 25 give you a clean version of your report so we all know

Page 10 1 that we're looking at the same thing. 2 I have been known to lose pages of documents because I don't have a stapler at my desk. 3 4 MR. BARRON: I can get you a stapler. 5 MS. HEBERT: Well, at my desk in the office. 6 7 MR. BARRON: Oh, okay. (BY MS. HEBERT) So we're just going to --8 0. 9 Mr. Haston, we're just --10 I'm listening. Α. We're just going to put an exhibit sticker on 11 Q. 12 that one and you can hand it to Donna. 13 Α. Okay. Are you going to give me a different report? 14 15 Yeah, we're just going to give you the clean Q. 16 version. 17 Α. Okay. So just so I don't forget later --18 Q. Sure. 19 -- the correction will be on page 17. Α. 20 O. Excellent. It will be the first line. 2.1 Α. 22 Ο. Okay. 23 And it will be where Scott -- Schott -- I Α. mispronounce his name a lot -- Schott overtook the Ford 24 25 truck, at which time the left contour line was

Page 11 completely over the broken white line. 1 2 incorrect. That should be the right contour line. Oh, okay. 3 Ο. 4 Α. So that's the only error that I see. 5 Q. Sure. I just wanted to note that before you give 6 Α. 7 me --And just so you know, that will be scanned in. 8 Ο. 9 Α. Okay. 10 And you will have a copy of it. Q. 11 Α. Okay, good. Okay, so that will be 110. I will leave that 12 Q. 13 for Donna. 14 (Exhibit 111 marked) So we're going to hand you an exhibit that's 15 marked 111. Take a second to review that. 16 17 Α. Okay. 18 Let me know when you're ready. 0. 19 I'm just going to make sure all of the page Α. 20 numbers are correct. 2.1 Ο. Sure. 22 Α. It looks good. 23 All right. So is this the report that you 0. prepared in this case? 24 25 It is. Α.

- Q. And does this report contain the opinions that you intend to testify about at trial in this case?
 - A. It is.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. Does this report contain all of the opinions that you intend to testify about at trial in this case?
- A. Yes, unless there is something else that comes up --
 - O. Okay.
- A. -- that I might need to readdress or amend my report. But at this time, yes.
- Q. And what kind of things would cause you to amend your report?
- A. Oh, I don't know. Being in law enforcement, you know, I may learn things here today that I wasn't aware of from, you know, things that I have been provided to review.
- Q. Sure. I guess, then, before writing this report, did you have an opportunity to review all of the evidence you wanted to review before offering an opinion?
 - A. I did.
- Q. Okay. Did you have an opportunity to ask Defendant Babb's counsel for additional data?
 - A. I did.
 - Q. Did you have an opportunity to ask for

Page 13 additional evidence? That can be different, depending 1 on what's going on. 3 Α. I did, yes. 4 Ο. And did you ask for additional data or additional evidence? 5 I did, actually, throughout this. I asked for 6 Α. 7 policies from Bexar County Sheriff's Office to review those. 8 9 And did you get everything you asked for from 0. 10 defendant's attorney? 11 Α. I did. 12 Q. Okay. Did you have an opportunity to do any 13 testing that you wanted to do? 14 Α. I did. 15 And as we sit here today, do you have any 0. 16 plans to revise or supplement your report? 17 Α. As of right now, no. 18 0. Okay. We're going to hand you another 19 exhibit. 20 Α. Okay. 2.1 Exhibit F from our stuff, we're going to mark Ο. 22 this Exhibit 112. 23 (Exhibit 112 marked) We started, obviously, with Exhibit 110. 24 Q. 25 That's just because we continue through all of the

Page 14 1 depositions. Α. That's cool. I'm handing you what's marked 112. Do you 3 4 know what this document is? Yes, it's my CV. 5 Α. And I -- I guess I want to start at the top. 6 0. 7 There is a header that says "Subject Matter Expert Testimony Specialist and Consultant." 8 9 Do you see that? 10 I do. Α. 11 Q. How long have you been working as a 12 consultant? 13 This is my first case. Α. 14 0. Okay. So when did you start working as a 15 consultant? 16 I guess this would have been maybe, what, 17 October -- I believe October when I received this, or 18 November. I can't remember exactly. 19 So October of 2024? Q. 20 2024, yes. It's '25, so it's -- I have to get 21 used to changing the '24 to the '25, I guess. 22 Ο. Yeah, me too. I'm not there. I wrote '24 23 just yesterday. So what do you do as a consultant? 24 25 I evaluate a case, particularly this case, I Α.

Page 15 have been asked to evaluate regarding narcotic matters, 1 2 narcotic enforcement, which is my specialty. So have you ever been asked to evaluate 3 Okay. 4 a case as an expert before? Not in a civil case. 5 Α. 6 Q. Sure. 7 I have testified on many occasions in criminal Α. court as an expert witness. 8 9 And those times that you testified in criminal Ο. 10 cases, were you involved with those criminal cases? 11 Α. I was not. 12 Ο. So were you retained as an outside expert to 13 offer an opinion about an event? 14 Α. Not necessarily retained, but subpoenaed. 15 Q. Subpoenaed? 16 To come to court, yes. Α. 17 Ο. And when you were subpoenaed, who subpoenaed 18 you? Most of the time it was the district attorney 19 Α. 20 or the county attorney's office. 2.1 Did you ever testify on behalf of a defendant 0. 22 in a criminal case? 23 Well, in a criminal case, it's not necessarily testifying on the behalf of a defendant or the behalf 24 25 of an officer. It's similar to the civil case that I

see here. You know, they asked me to come in and to give an opinion on, you know, matters revolving around that case.

So both defendants and prosecutors are allowed to question me in court, you know, to support their position, you know, for me to help clarify what happened during that case. So it's really no different than a civil case.

- Q. Understood. And when you testify in a criminal case, who usually pays you for that time?
 - A. You're just paid by your agency.
 - Q. Okay.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- A. Yeah. It's -- most of the time, you're just -- you're on duty, so you're paid by the agency.
- Q. Understood. So when you've testified in a criminal case, were you -- or when you had previously testified in criminal cases, were you employed at the Williamson County Sheriff's Office during that time?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So since -- and you're no longer with the Williamson County Sheriff's Office, correct?
 - A. I'm retired.
- Q. Okay. So since leaving the Williamson County Sheriff's Office, have you testified in a criminal case?

Page 17 1 I have not. Α. Q. Okay. No, I have not. 3 Α. 4 Q. And --5 Α. Well, yes, I have. I'm sorry. 6 Q. It's okay. 7 I actually was just subpoenaed to court within Α. the last six months, I believe, surprisingly. 8 9 And have you testified yet in that case? Ο. Yes, actually, from 24 years ago. 10 Α. Okay. And --11 Q. 12 Α. So believe it or not, I was surprised. 13 And what was that case -- what was that case Ο. 14 about? 15 It was -- it was a drug trafficking case where 16 I had arrested a guy for, you know, a lot of drugs and 17 he had gone to prison. I think he served his sentence 18 and now he's contesting his representation by an 19 attorney who has now been arrested because I quess he's 20 gone corrupt, and so I was pulled back into court to 21 testify for that case involving his counsel and, you 22 know, whatever was going on with that. 23 So you had specific factual knowledge about that particular case; is that correct? 24 25 Well, yeah, from 24 years before that. Α.

Page 18 1 didn't remember very much. Ο. Understood. 3 Α. Yeah. 4 Ο. So can you give me a rough estimate, how many times would you estimate that you have testified in 5 court? 6 7 Α. More than 50. Okay, got it. And every one of those 50 has 8 0. 9 been a criminal case thus far? 10 Α. Yes, ma'am. 11 Q. Fair to say, then, this is your first case as 12 a consultant expert? 13 In a civil case, yes. But there's always a Α. 14 first, right? You've got to start somewhere. 15 Q. Agreed. 16 So I want to just look at your experience 17 with --18 Α. Sure. 19 -- the Williamson County Sheriff's Office. 20 How long did -- your last role was commander of the --21 of the criminal investigations division; is that 22 correct? 23 Α. That's correct. And how long did you work in that role? 24 Q. 25 That was a short period of time. That was Α.

Page 19 less than a year before I retired. 1 Okay. And so then when exactly did you leave the Williamson County Sheriff's Office? 3 4 Α. December of 2020, I believe it was. Okay. And since December of 2020, it's now 5 Q. the beginning of 2025, what have you been doing in the 6 7 last four some-odd years? Fishing. 8 Α. 9 What kind of fishing do you do? Ο. Crappie fishing. 10 Α. 11 Q. Okay. No, actually now what I do for -- you know, I 12 Α. work with an auction company, Gaston and Sheehan 13 14 auction company out of Pflugerville. That -- what is an auction company? 15 16 I'm sorry. I have no idea, actually. 17 Α. Sure, yeah. So it's -- it's where assets are 18 liquidated, like the city of Austin. We have the city 19 of Austin contract where we sell everything that they 20 need to get rid of, you know, be it stolen property --21 So are you like an auctioneer? 0. 22 Well, I'm actually more -- I go and get the 23 contracts, you know, with companies to sell online with 24 Gaston and Sheehan auction company.

THE REPORTER: With what?

THE WITNESS: With Gaston and Sheehan auction company. And we have the US Marshals contract, so we sell everything seized by the DEA and FBI in the nation on the jewelry contract. We also sell cars for wrecker companies when people don't pick up their car, then they have a right to sell the car to recover their fees. That's primarily what I do is I get contracts for wrecker companies to sell their cars online. And we sell about 12,000 of those a year, just impound cars.

- Q. (BY MS. HEBERT) And who are the people who you are contracting with?
 - A. Gaston and Sheehan auction company.
 - Q. No, no. I guess like --
 - A. Oh, you mean the customers?
 - Q. Yeah, like who are the clients, I guess?
- A. Well, here in town, we have the city of Austin.
 - Q. So anything that the city of Austin seizes or it's like lost and found?
 - A. No, it's like their fleet. You know, everything.
 - Q. Oh, okay.

A. It could be stuff from the airport that they take from TSA checkpoints, it's vehicles that are

seized, you know, as part of investigations, fleet, fire trucks, ambulances, police cars. It's -- everything that they need to get rid of, we sell it. That's one.

Government agencies, bankruptcies, so companies that go out -- go out of business, we liquidate that to recover money for the bankruptcy court. The wrecker companies, the towing companies, majority of the companies in Austin, we sell the cars for them that people don't pick up.

- Q. What's the weirdest thing you've ever sold?
- A. Well, it's funny, someone asked me that question the other day. So I just went to McAllen to one of the federal offices and picked up Johnny 5. Do you know who he is? All you guys are young, right.

MS. HEBERT: Do you know, Stephen?
MR. BARRON: No.

THE WITNESS: Johnny 5 is a robot that they -- in the movie Short Circuit and it was part of an investigation that FBI had done and they seized it as part of this white collar case. So I went and picked Johnny 5 up here last year, so that's interesting.

Art, we sell a lot of art. We sell, you know, a movie poster that Leonardo DiCaprio had and it

was sold to a white collar group that the FBI had done and it sold for like \$1.1 million. Right now, we have a lot of Pokemon cards on auction, where youngsters, you know, that know about -- maybe your age, that know about Pokemon cards and, you know, they are going for thousands of dollars online right now. So it's unbelievable what we sell.

- Q. (BY MS. HEBERT) So would you say that most of the things that you're selling come from government agencies?
- A. A lot. Let's say a lot of it. That's just one aspect of the business.
 - 0. Okay.
 - A. Yeah.
 - Q. That's cool. I have not heard of that before.

 When did you start doing the auction

business?

- A. When I left the -- when I retired from the sheriff's office in 2020, I started to do that.
- Q. Okay. So by my count -- and I'm notoriously bad about this, so correct me if I'm wrong -- you worked for the Williamson County Sheriff's Office for 23 years; is that right?
 - A. Yes, since 1997.
 - Q. Okay. And there are a bunch of roles listed

Page 23

on pages 1, 2 and 3 in your CV. Are those roles all from working at the Williamson County Sheriff's Office?

A. What's unique about that, and the reason there's such detail in that, is -- it's related to why I'm here today, actually -- I was recruited to go to the sheriff's office to be an interdiction officer, to work interdiction cases.

The Williamson County Sheriff's Office was cooperating -- or they were part of a task force through the Governor's office, so there were like 43 task forces or so in the state. They needed an interdiction guy to come over and to work interdiction cases, so they recruited me from Round Rock PD and said, "Hey, we have an interdiction position, we will give you a car, seven counties to work in and all you need to do is go find Mexican drug smugglers." So that's how I ended up at the sheriff's office.

The roles that I had during that time was obviously an interdiction officer with the sheriff's office assigned to the Capital Area Narcotics Task Force. Later, I became an undercover officer, again, with the Capital Area Narcotics Task Force, and then I was reassigned to the United States Department of Justice Drug Enforcement Administration -- the DEA, as people commonly know it -- as a task force officer

federally deputized at DEA.

At that point, I worked, again, a lot of undercover, criminal interdiction on public transportation, Amtrak, Greyhound buses, Mexican buses. I did a lot of conspiracy investigations, you know, leading to North and South America, everywhere in the country.

From that point, I was then reassigned back to the sheriff's office, a short stint in CID as a properties crime detective, and then new administration came into office and I went back to the narcotic unit to build up -- to help increase the size of the narcotic unit at the sheriff's office itself.

So then I spent many years there, promoted to sergeant, became the supervisor of the unit until a new administration came in in 2016 and then then I moved to patrol or traffic. So now I'm back in uniform after I don't know how many years, wearing a badge and a gun and a car with computers that I need to learn how to use and, you know, how to cut video cameras on in that particular car because they had gotten so much better.

From that point, I went through the promotional process and became a lieutenant where I went to working nights as a patrol lieutenant,

supervising sergeants and deputies on the street, and then I was appointed as commander of criminal investigations during my last year there.

But that's basically what you're seeing in that CV.

Q. Okay, thank you.

- A. Yes, of course.
- Q. That's super helpful. So how did you become an interdiction guy?
- A. I was in Crockett, Texas in the early '90s when interdiction was really in its infancy stages.

 And at Crockett Police Department, there were several federal housing projects there with a lot of open air drug market, so really it was a lot of drug crimes. So my interest in working drug crimes came from Crockett, Texas, a small town.

And this interdiction thing came about to where officers were seizing large quantities of drugs in the trunks of cars, you know, that they just put 100 pounds of drugs in a trunk and drive down the highway. And that interested me, so I started going to training, started going to schools and learning more about it.

And then I took that training and realized that Crockett, being a dry county, Houston County, having a lot of housing projects, they had an

Page 26

alcohol problem, actually, because it was a dry county. So my first interdiction stop was not drugs, but it was bootlegging.

THE REPORTER: It was what?

THE WITNESS: Bootlegging.

THE REPORTER: Oh, okay.

THE WITNESS: Yeah. So my first actual stop for criminal interdiction was a load of alcohol going to the projects to be sold individually without a TABC license.

So from that point forward, you know, there really wasn't a lot of Mexican drug smuggling going to Crockett. A lot of small drugs. So I learned this trade of interdiction from user amounts, midlevel amounts of drugs until I came to Round Rock Police Department in 1995.

At that point, I had a major highway, that being Interstate 35, and then I took all of the knowledge that I learned from East Texas and I put it to work on I-35 with Mexican drug smugglers.

At that point, I started getting large loads of drugs on Interstate 35. I learned more about it the more I interviewed the people in the cars and I gained the interest for how the drug trafficking world worked. You know, instead of just stopping the car, I

Page 27 1 learned more about how -- you know, the operations of the cartels. So that's -- that's -- in a nutshell, 3 4 that's how I got involved in interdiction. That's helpful. 5 Q. So it sounds like, to me, at the Crockett 6 7 Police Department, you were there in the mid-'90s; is that fair? 8 9 Early to mid-'90s, yes. Α. 10 Q. Early to mid-'90s? 11 Α. Yeah, mid-'90s, probably mid-'90s. 12 Ο. You kind of developed an interest in interdiction; is that correct? 13 14 Α. I did. 15 And then it sounds like when you worked for 16 the Round Rock Police Department you did traffic stops; 17 is that correct? 18 Α. I did. 19 And you started doing more interdiction? Q. I did. 20 Α. 21 Okay. And then after that is when you went to 0. 22 the Williamson County Sheriff's Office? 23 I was employed by Williamson County, assigned Α. to the Capital Area Narcotics Task Force. 24 25 What did you do at the Capital Area Q. Sure.

Narcotics Task Force?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

- A. Criminal interdiction.
- O. So what did it look like there?
- A. It was a task force that we -- we had seven counties that we were responsible for.
 - Q. Can you -- can you list off those counties?
 - A. Sure, absolutely. Obviously Travis County.
 - O. Sure.
- A. Williamson County, Hays County, Bastrop

 County, Lee County, Caldwell County and Fayette County.

 So my responsibility was to work criminal interdiction,

 look for drug smugglers on any of the routes going

 through those counties.

In addition to that, I was an officer that would be called to help the investigative side of the task force by stopping cars that they had under investigation or that they needed stopped because they knew they had drugs in them or they just needed people identified as part of that investigation.

- Q. Okay. And how many officers did you have as part of that task force?
- A. I don't recall. I don't know -- well, this won't answer that. Each county had at least one representative. That's how they were part of the task force.

- O. So you had at least six folks?
- A. Well, seven, there was at least seven, and the then the interdiction guy, that's eight, another interdiction, nine, the commander was from the Texas Department of Public Safety, that's operated through DPS, so there's the commander. That's ten. Roughly -- roughly ten in that particular time.
 - Q. Okay, thank you.
 - A. Uh-huh.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And these other roles, the DEA task force, did you do traffic stops in that role?
 - A. No.
- Q. Okay. And then the detective of narcotic enforcement, did you do traffic stops in that role?
 - A. Sometimes.
 - Q. How often?
- A. At the time the unit was -- was small. We didn't have a lot of undercover guys or guys to really do big investigative cases. So during slow times, we purchased a police Tahoe and we would go out and work in uniform doing interdiction for drug smugglers.
- Q. But that was not your -- was that your daily -- daily activity?
- A. It varied. It just depended upon how busy we were on the other side of the house, right. So I did a

lot of it.

- Q. And excuse my ignorance. What do you mean by "the other side of the house"?
 - A. The investigative side.
 - Q. What does the investigative side look like?
- A. Investigative side is where they develop informants, they use informants to develop probable cause to -- you know, to get a search warrant and to, you know, search houses.
- Q. Got it. And in your sergeant of special operations role, did you do traffic stops?
- A. At times I would because as part of the sergeant in the narcotic unit, I was developing a new criminal interdiction unit for the sheriff's office.

 So, yes, I did have to recruit and train new guys in the unit to help them understand narcotics, understand criminal interdiction and, you know, to follow the policies and the procedures and the way we wanted them to do interdiction.

So, yes, I was heavily involved with developing the criminal interdiction program as a sergeant.

- Q. Okay. And then as a patrol lieutenant, you were out there doing traffic stops?
 - A. I very rarely did traffic stops as a patrol

Page 31 lieutenant. 1 As the assistant to the sheriff, did you do traffic stops? 3 4 Α. Not at all. Okay. And then as the commander, did you do 5 Q. traffic stops? 6 7 Α. Not at all. Okay. I'll come back to some of your criminal 8 9 interdiction work in a minute. I just kind of want to 10 finish your resume. 11 Α. Sure. 12 Ο. Can you flip to the last page of your CV? 13 actually don't know the difference between CV and 14 resume, so if I use the terms interchangeably, is that 15 okay? 16 We are good, yes, ma'am. Α. 17 Ο. So I want to take just a quick look at your 18 certifications. Would it be fair to say this is a fair 19 summary of your certifications? 20 Α. Yeah, the majority of them. 21 Okay. Would you say that these are the most 0. 22 important certifications? 23 Α. Sure. 24 Q. I have to ask you about one. 25 Α. Okay.

- Q. Do you know which one I'm going to ask you about?
 - A. I do not.

- Q. The investigative hypnotist certification.
- A. Okay, yes, ma'am.
- Q. This is like --

MS. HEBERT: You will just have to humor me, Stephen, for like a second. I have never seen that before.

- Q. (BY MS. HEBERT) What is an investigative hypnotist?
- A. So there are times where there may be a victim of a crime, right, or a witness to a crime. I'll give you an example. You are at a 7-Eleven and you're not thinking anything, you walk in, you go and get your --you know, your water, you go to the counter and once you get your water, you're walking out and then some guy rushes in or rushes out and kind of bumps into you and you don't think anything about it, but you looked at them and made eye contact with them.

And then you go about your merry way, right. You may have walked out and you looked at the car that he got out of and you may have, you know, really not paid attention to it, but you made an effort to go, "Oh, that guy drives, you know, an F-150

pickup."

Well, then you find out that the 7-Eleven was robbed and you come forward to the police and you say, "Hey, you know, it's odd, but I was at that store during that timeframe and I saw this guy rush in or rush out, they bumped into me, you know, and I saw their car, but, you know, I don't remember anything about it. It just went into my subconscious memory and I don't know anything."

An investigative hypnotist can actually hypnotize that witness and then bring that information from the subconscious into basically the conscious mind so they can actually see that license plate that's been stored in their subconscious mind. And then they will actually, a lot of times, be able to say -- from visually seeing it in their subconscious mind, they will be able to say what that license plate number is, even though they didn't really look at it and remember it. So that's what that is about.

I went to school. That was put on by the Texas Department of Public Safety, the Texas Rangers, who utilize hypnosis for this purpose and I became a certified hypnotist for law enforcement. I did it during the time I was in narcotics as a detective. Not that it was applicable to anything with narcotics, but

being that an investigate -- investigator cannot hypnotize your own witness, right, so you needed someone that was not associated with the -- with the case, and that's why I went.

I have a friend that was a detective in CID that wanted to do it and said, "Hey, I want you to go with me," and so I said, "Hey, that's cool, I've never heard of it. Let's go." I didn't really believe in it at that time. I didn't know much about it until, during the course, and I'm at home with my daughters and the dog and I practiced on them.

Q. I'm sure they loved that.

A. And, of course, I videotaped it, you know, because I love video cameras. I mean, I have always watched videos, used videos in my job, you know, so of course I videotaped this deal and, you know, they -- out like a light. It was unbelievable. And I'm like -- you know what, even the dog -- the dog was out, and I'm like, "Wow, this stuff is cool."

During the training, my partner that was in CID, I watched him be a participant, you know, as an example, and he was about six foot three, he weighed about 150 pounds, good shape, and they actually hypnotized him and had two people hold him, one at his head or his shoulders and one at his feet, and he was

like a board for minutes, physically like a board with just two people holding him while he was hypnotized. That was an example.

The other example is I watched the instructor remove the letter L from someone's memory. You know how you repeat the alphabet, ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOP, right. Well, they actually removed the L from his mind.

Q. Wow.

- A. And when he said that in saying the alphabet, he never said L.
 - Q. That's wild.
 - A. So that being said --
- Q. So have you ever -- so I guess -- and I can probably talk to you all day about this and it would be really interesting --
 - A. Sure.
- Q. -- so maybe we will have to do that. But have you ever used investigative hypnotism -- I'm not sure if I'm saying that right -- hypnotism in a police matter?
- A. I don't -- nothing sticks out. I don't recall anything specific at all. I merely did it and practiced it because they needed me to. I don't recall anything specific to where they ever called me to

Page 36 1 actually come in and do it in -- in a police matter, no, but I did do it quite a bit, you know, in real life 3 scenarios. 4 Ο. Sure. And this is an odd question that just popped into my brain. I didn't think about it --5 Α. Of course. 6 7 -- kind of beforehand, so, you know, just bear Q. with me. 8 9 Could you hypnotize Deputy Babb and see 10 what he saw? 11 Α. No. 12 Ο. Why is that? 13 Α. A person must commit to being hypnotized, a 14 person must want to be hypnotized. If you do not want 15 to be hypnotized, you will never go into the trance, 16 ever. 17 And so what makes you say that Deputy Babb 18 would not want to be hypnotized? 19 I can't say that, obviously. I'm not Α. 20 in Deputy -- I don't know what Deputy -- his mindset is. I can't say, right? 21 22 Ο. Sure. 23 I would just say that he would not typically Α. be someone that would be a candidate for hypnosis. 24 25 Okay, that's fair. I was just curious, like Q.

you were talking about a crime --

A. Sure.

- Q. -- and what people could see. I was just curious whether you could hypnotize an officer and see like what they saw.
 - A. Not if they don't want to be.
- Q. Understood. So if you fight it, it won't work?
- A. No. And if -- there are shows, you know, like people that do this for entertainment, right, and it's -- you can watch -- the first thing about hypnosis is that you must want to be hypnotized. And when you go to a show, let's say in Vegas, where a group of people pay to go watch, you know, others get hypnotized and, you know, they make them do -- they don't make them, but they program them to do really silly things, they pick their candidates from the audience and that -- the first step is you must want to be hypnotized.

So they ask, "Hey, who wants to participate?" It's like, "Oh, oh, oh, ah, ah, ah." So that's your -- automatically, you know that person is susceptible to hypnosis because they want to be hypnotized.

Q. Understood.

- A. Whereas other people, like you or I, are like, no, you're not putting me under. That's not happening. So I personally do not believe that -- that it would be good, I don't think.
- Q. Okay. So you're trained as a -- as an investigative hypnotist, but you have never used that in a law enforcement capacity?
 - A. No.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Got it. Okay. Sorry to have like a huge sidebar.
 - A. That's fine.
 - Q. It's just absolutely fascinating to me.

 Can we look at the training section?
 - A. Okay.
- Q. And, similarly, would you say this training section provides kind of the list of topics you have specialized training in?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. So one question -- and I'm not trying to trick you here, I'm not trying to be critical. I'm just kind of trying to set the record straight. I don't see any special certifications or training in accident reconstruction. Is that fair?
 - A. That's fair.
 - Q. Okay. And I don't see any specialized

Page 39 training in dash cameras. Is that also fair? 1 No, that isn't fair. So point it out to me. 3 Ο. Okay. 4 Α. Yeah. So let's see if it's in here. It's not in the CV. However, it is in my report. 5 6 Q. Okay. 7 Yeah, it's not in the CV, but it is in my Α. 8 report. 9 Sure. And so I guess why didn't you put it on Ο. 10 your CV? 11 Α. It's something unique, right. So it's -- this case revolves around that, right, so digital evidence 12 13 of videotapes, things of that nature, law enforcement 14 uses it all the time. 15 0. Okay. 16 You know, when you do a CV or a resume, that's 17 typically not -- there's many other training 18 certifications that I have, probably, you know, 19 hundreds of them that are not in here. 20 Okay. Yeah, I'm not trying to trick you. I'm Ο. 2.1 just trying to understand. The mobile video instructor -- I'm a mobile 22 23 video instructor through the University of Houston course that I have taken many years ago. That's one. 24 25 Digital evidence recovery is another course that I have

Page 40

taken. That's another. Obviously those are important.

I guess since we're going down the video route and you're questioning whether or not, you know, it's important or I have knowledge with videos -- I guess I'm going to date myself, okay, I was an officer in 1994 who had some of the original video cameras before anyone had them. It was a Sony eight millimeter digital camera -- not even digital, they had the tapes, and a mount that fit on the dash in my old patrol car and I used that camera in law enforcement. A lot of cops didn't want to use them, but I had no problem using a video camera.

And it ultimately played in my favor because I was on a traffic stop with a guy who was very intoxicated one day and the video camera obviously captured the scene as he's on the side of the passenger side of the car with their standard in the movies, beer cans fall out and clink everywhere and he's so intoxicated he falls to the ground and hurts himself and I can't even do a field sobriety right test on him. I pick him up, dust him off, put him in the car.

Unbeknownst to me, in that apartment complex on the opposite side of the car was his family who assumed that I had beat this man because he had injuries and they actually filed a complaint with the

Page 41 police department that I had violated this man's civil 1 2 rights, and that videotape saved me because it recorded the entire incident. So from that point forward, I 3 4 have always been interested in videotapes. I understand. 5 Q. 6 Α. So part of --7 And that makes sense that, you know, a video Q. recording can protect both the police and the public. 8 9 Is that fair? 10 Well, that's fair, but I would like to 11 continue on with the other history that I have with 12 videos. 13 Ο. Sure. And if you wouldn't mind, I'm going to 14 try to keep us from -- because we do have a time limit 15 on today --16 Α. Sure. 17 Q. -- so I'm going to try to like move us 18 forward. And you mentioned this mobile video instructor --19 20 Α. Yes. 21 -- certification? Ο. 22 Α. Yes. 23 I think you mentioned the University of --Q. 24 Α. Houston. 25 -- Houston? Q.

Page 42 1 Α. Yes. Q. When did you obtain that certification? That was probably in like 1990 -- it was the 3 Α. 4 mid-1990s. Okay. And I think you mentioned a digital --5 Q. Evidence recovery. 6 Α. 7 Evidence recovery. Is that a course you took? Q. 8 Α. Yes. 9 When did you take that course? Ο. That was probably in the early 2000s, maybe, 10 Α. 11 or somewhere in there. I don't remember exactly. 12 Q. And have you ever instructed folks in mobile video? 13 14 Α. Yes. And when was the last time you instructed 15 0. 16 someone in that? 17 Α. Well, actually, it's -- it's -- you instruct 18 officers every day in mobile video and video cameras. 19 Sure. Q. 20 That's an important part of law enforcement 21 because that video camera is recording actions and 22 what's going on, right, and the placement of what's on 23 that video is important. What happens a lot of times with officers 24 25 is they -- they don't pay attention to that video.

They are just -- they are out doing their job and they are not paying attention.

- Q. When you say "that video," are you talking about the video from their patrol car, the dash camera from their patrol car?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. Okay.

A. It's -- when you have a video system in your car, it's normal, right. So when you pull someone over, when an officer pulls someone over, they don't -- they really should be paying attention to the placement of that video camera, right, but they don't.

So part of this video instructor is to teach them why that's important, to capture what's happening on that scene. So that's an ever-evolving thing as a -- not only an interdiction guy, but as a law enforcement trainer. I've been an instructor since 1997 with criminal interdiction and it's always a role --

- Q. Understood.
- A. -- in what I've instructed. It's important.
- O. Okay.
- A. So I have a lot of experience when it comes to videos, training officers in videos, reviewing videos from when -- when video started.

- Q. Understood. And so would you say the mobile video instruction focuses on teaching officers how to use their dash cams?
- A. The instruction -- the school for the instructor school was to -- to go over the importance, you know, to develop -- basically to teach that curriculum to the officers on the video placement, the importance of it, the way to either park or situate patrol cars with the video cameras to be able to obtain what they need to record for evidence purposes.

So, yes, that's what the video instructor school was about.

- Q. Got it. Did it include also instruction on body camera usage?
 - A. There wasn't body cameras back then.
- Q. And since then, have you instructed officers on how to use their body cameras?
 - A. No.

2.2

Q. Okay. I'm going to talk a little bit about criminal interdiction and the work that you've done there.

Can you define "criminal interdiction"?

A. People really get hung up on this, right?

Every officer does criminal interdiction. If an officer is in a patrol car and he stops anyone on a

Page 45

traffic violation, he's doing criminal interdiction.

The only difference is some officers just write a ticket, they don't pay attention to anything. Other officers, they pay attention to the little things and they say, "Something else may be going on here," so they dig a little deeper in the traffic stop. That's essentially what a criminal interdiction officer is, is someone that just pays closer attention to the traffic stop that he has made.

There is no certain thing you're looking for in criminal interdiction. In my experience, I have gotten U-Haul vans that are full of tires and wheels that were just stolen from a brand new car lot where they have left the cars on jacks, right, so that's a criminal interdiction stop. You know, even though it's not drugs, I have stopped a theft organization, that's criminal interdiction.

I've gotten members of an organized retail theft ring that travel Houston, Dallas,
San Antonio doing nothing but ripping off Dillard's and Foley's, you know. I've gotten just car loads and van loads of brand new merchandise that still has the theft detection tags, that's criminal interdiction.

Q. Okay. So when an officer works in criminal interdiction capacity, what does success look like?

A. Success in criminal interdiction? I guess I don't understand what that question is. I mean, what do you mean, success in criminal interdiction?

Obviously, those examples I just gave you are successful, right?

I managed to infiltrate a criminal organization through the weakest link of a crime. The weakest link of a crime is the transportation phase.

So everyone has to move around, everyone has to go from point A to point B and criminals must use cars.

So criminal interdiction, in a successful criminal interdiction stop, is where a very detail-oriented officer detects that that crime exists instead of just writing a ticket and letting that person go.

Q. That's helpful.

I guess relatedly, you've talked about a lot of things that you've done in investigating the crimes of narcotics. You've been an undercover agent. Is that the right word?

- A. Operative, whatever you want to say. I've been an undercover guy, how about that.
 - Q. You've been an undercover guy?
 - A. Yeah.
 - Q. You've talked about robust investigations that

Page 47 you've led. Is that also fair? 1 Α. Sure. What are the tactics, for lack of a better 3 Ο. 4 word, that lead to the most success in stopping criminal enterprises who are at large? 5 6 Α. In my experience? 7 Q. Yes, sir. As a criminal interdiction officer, not as an 8 investigator? I mean, which one do you want? 9 10 Either is fine. Q. 11 Α. You just want --12 Ο. I mean, or just -- like what are these tactics 13 to stop folks who are doing things like drug smuggling, 14 what are the -- what are the tactics that you typically use to do that? 15 16 Α. Okay, so --17 Ο. Assuming that, you know, traffic stops is one, 18 right? 19 I see what you -- okay, let me -- I guess, let Α. 20 me -- can I just talk about the drug industry as a 21 whole, how it operates and then how law enforcement 22 reacts to the --23 Sure, if you can keep it relatively short Ο. because we don't need to, you know, learn the entirety 24 25 of how the drug -- I mean, I'm sure it's complicated

how drugs --

- A. It's pretty simple.
- Q. -- are smuggled. Okay, go ahead.
- A. Mexican cartels that import large quantities of drugs into the United States have to get it from Mexico to the United States. They use people within their organization to recruit smugglers, people to drive the car. These people are recruited at bars, places that they are down on their luck and they are drinking and they need money. They say, "You can make a quick \$5,000, just drive a car." That's how they recruit the drivers. The drivers are considered to be mules.

Okay. The mule then says, "Yeah, I need the money," they go to the Valley, typically from other areas, or it could be from anywhere in the United States, they get to the Mexican border, they are told to pick up a car at -- most of the time at a public place, H-E-B, Walmart, "This is the license plate number, the color of the car."

The driver gets there, they get in the car and they drive it north. They say, "Go to Dallas, go to this hotel, leave the keys in the car and go to this room." That's a mule. The mule knows nothing about what's going on, other than he's driving that

car, and he knows that it's probably something illegal.

Those guys are typically stopped a lot on traffic stops, okay, committing a traffic violation for something. A lot of it was window tint, right. Law enforcement did not -- they didn't enforce window tint in a certain area, so they have cars that have compartments smuggling drugs and the car has window tint. So officers somewhere else stop cars all day long for window tint and they get stopped, but they only get a ticket, they don't get searched. That's because that officer doesn't look for anything other than a ticket. That cars makes it to the destination, they drop the car off, they may or may not take the money back to Mexico.

That's how smuggling occurs, right. You know, you have somebody in charge, you have somebody recruiting people, you have a driver and then you have people on the other end who are taking the drugs and distributing them somewhere else.

- Q. Okay. So based on that summary, from your experience, most of the drugs that come into the country come from cars; is that correct?
 - A. Well, no.

- Q. Okay. Then --
- A. I mean, there's every form of transportation.

Page 50 1 Ο. Okay. Boats, trains, cars, on people. Yeah, there's -- it comes in every form or fashion, but, yes 3 4 cars are utilized heavily in the drug trafficking industry. 5 Okay. So every -- but every form of 6 Q. 7 transportation? Yes. 8 Α. 9 And is it fair to say that drugs go from south 0. 10 to north, then, generally? 11 Α. No. I mean, related to Mexican drug smuggling, yes. But this whole new world of marijuana 12 13 being legalized in different states and growing marijuana in Colorado and in California, it's reversed. 14 15 Ο. Okay. 16 I mean, so you will have reversed. It's not, 17 you know, carte blanche, this is the way drugs go. 18 Okay. And so we were talking a little bit Q. 19 about tactics. If that's how it works, what are the 20 most effective ways to stop drug smuggling? 21 In the transportation phase. Α. 22 Ο. And tell me a little bit more about what you 23 mean there. Criminal interdiction. 24 Α. 25 So -- and when you say criminal interdiction Q.

in the transportation phase, are you referring to just traffic stops?

- A. Any form of transportation. Traffic stops, trains, buses, airplane interdiction, hotel/motel interdiction, package/parcel interdiction. There's many, many ways to intercept it, but they are all the transportation phase, which is why I said it's the weakest link --
 - O. Understood.

- A. -- in criminal activity.
- Q. Okay. So in conducting criminal interdiction in the transportation phase, how do -- is the only way to investigate a method of transportation to stop someone on an airplane violation or a boat violation and then search their mode of transportation, or are there other tools in the toolkit?
 - A. You mean for -- as a whole?
 - Q. Yes, sir.
- A. There is obviously undercover work, right, where I'm the undercover guy, I'm acting as I'm either a buyer or a seller of a typical drug. In that instance, you're trying to really climb the ladder, per se. The goal of law enforcement is not necessarily to arrest one person who has, you know, an ounce of weed on them or, you know, an eight ball of cocaine on them.

That's not the goal, right.

The goal is to target the people who are infiltrating it into the country, right, that's the goal. The way you get to them is climb the ladder. So if you picture a ladder, it's like -- the drug business is just like any business. You have a CEO and you have everyone below, and the only way you get to the CEO is you have to do investigations and you have to work your way up that ladder through the people within that organization to get to the big people.

- Q. Got it.
- A. That is your goal. You do that through undercover work, hand-to-hand buys, surveillance, interdiction on traffic stops, Title 3 wire intercepts. All of these things all work together to be able to get to the higher level people or a whole cell of people operating, not just the one guy with an eight ball.
 - O. Got it. That's helpful.

Okay. I don't know -- and you can tell me if this question doesn't make sense because I know you only worked as the commander for the criminal investigations unit for a year or so. But when you were the commander, did you oversee the narcotics unit?

- A. I did.
- Q. And how many officers were in the narcotics

Page 53 1 unit? Α. There was an interdiction group that had two interdiction guys in it. There was an investigative 3 4 group that had -- I think they were up to eight or ten, I believe. As the commander, I had a lieutenant that 5 was over a sergeant, actually two sergeants, so I had 6 7 a -- I had a lieutenant and two sergeants that supervised those groups. 8 9 Okay. And so what were the narcotics officers 10 doing on a daily basis? 11 Α. Everything I just told you. 12 Ο. So that means undercover work --13 Surveillance. Α. Surveillance? 14 Q. Informants, developing informants to be able 15 16 to gain the information within the organizations. 17 all works together, right? 18 Sure. Q. It's all -- everyone has to do their job to go 19 after the entire network. 20 21 And were the narcotics officers that you 0. 22 supervised, were they doing generic traffic stops, were 23 they out on the side of the road all day pulling people

24

25

over?

Α.

Yes.

- Q. Okay. In addition to the other things that they were doing?
 - A. Yes, that particular group did, yes.

- Q. Okay. And the criminal interdiction unit that you oversaw, what did they do?
- A. They were stopping people all day long, interdiction stops.
- Q. So they didn't do anything, really, other than --
 - A. Exclusive -- exclusive traffic stops.
- Q. Okay. When you were supervising the criminal interdiction unit, how did you evaluate the success of the criminal interdiction unit? I guess one of the things that I'm thinking about here, just to be like transparent, did you evaluate the number of traffic stops to what they found?
 - A. You can't do that.
 - O. Okay. Tell me more.
- A. An officer -- you know, a police officer is much like someone else -- I mean, it's much like being an attorney, right? So, you know, a police officer goes to the academy, you learn the basic thing and you go to work. You figure out something specialized that you like to do. In this case, it's maybe criminal interdiction. There's this learning phase, right?

Like I said, just like an attorney, I mean, you go to law school, you come out, I mean, you don't learn until you get in here, what you're doing, you know, or get into court and try. Not you, but attorneys as a whole, right.

And law enforcement is the same way. So these cops get out and they learn on duty, they learn on the job and so they have to learn. So what you're going to find, and this goes to your question about traffic stops versus -- or searches versus the number of stops.

Q. Okay.

A. An officer just learning interdiction is going to search or ask for consent to search more cars than an officer that's been doing it for a long time, and the reason for that is he hasn't quite learned yet everything he needs to know about the industry to be able to eliminate a lot of the people who are just traveling the highways and may have some stupid story and it doesn't make sense to him. Well, it may make sense to somebody else, right?

So that officer has to learn about that and that officer is going to search more cars than another officer. So those statistics that I would look at would also -- I would have to look at how long they

have been doing it.

- Q. Okay.
- A. Now, if you have an officer that's been doing interdiction for years and he's searching half of the cars that he's stopping, I have a problem I need to address, right?
 - Q. Understood.
- A. Because that's just not the right balance. If -- my experience as an officer, I searched a lot of cars as well, learning this business. But as I became more experienced, I may stop 30 cars in a day and not search anything, but that's because I had gained the experience through things like body language, speech patterns, you know, interview techniques and I developed a roadside interview that I could talk to people and determine basically within two minutes whether I wanted to ask for consent to search or not.
 - Q. Understood.
 - A. So I hope that answers your question about --
- Q. It does. It's helpful. So I guess one of the questions I have for you is, I guess, within the first year of someone doing criminal interdiction, what is the right balance of stops to search? What would -- what would be abnormal for you, as the supervisor, looking at how many stops and searches an officer was

Page 57 1 doing? Α. When they searched everything, every car they 3 stopped. 4 Ο. Okay. And then, I guess, more an intermediate person who has had a couple of years doing it, what 5 would be the right balance? 6 7 Α. Half of the cars that they stop. And then someone who is senior, what would you 8 0. 9 expect there? 10 I would expect them to be searching very few Α. 11 cars that they stop. 12 Q. Okay. 13 MR. BARRON: We have been going for an 14 Do you want to take just a five-minute break so 15 I can use the restroom? MS. HEBERT: 16 Yes, we can do that. 17 (Recess from 10:10 a.m. to 10:24 a.m.) 18 (BY MS. HEBERT) A couple of questions to kind Q. of follow up. You talked about mules. What -- what is 19 20 a mule? Can you make that explicit for me? 21 It's a term that's been given to Α. 22 someone -- a courier, someone driving the vehicle. You 23 know, they have no -- typically, they have no investment in the drugs and -- or where they are going. 24 25 They are basically being paid to transport it.

Page 58

- Q. And you've had a lot of experience doing criminal interdiction stuff. What is the profile of a mule? What does -- what does the average mule look like?
- A. The average mule is anybody that's breathing.

 THE REPORTER: Breathing, you said,
 right?
- MR. BARRON: You might have to elaborate on that.
- Q. (BY MS. HEBERT) So you might have to explain that a little bit.
- A. There is no profile of a mule. It's anybody that can drive a vehicle.
- Q. Okay. And we have talked a lot about drug smuggling. Can you tell me a little bit about human smuggling and how that works?
- A. Human smuggling is not something that was really big back in the day. That's something that's really transpired more over the last, you know, I'd say six, eight, ten years. But it's no different than drug smuggling, right, it's just they are smuggling people instead of drugs. Everything fits the same.

The cartels in Mexico have learned that smuggling people is very lucrative, so they will smuggle people instead of drugs and/or drugs. You

Page 59

know, I would, over the years, encounter human smuggling and you typically knew it because you would stop the car and as soon as you walked up to the car -- like on Interstate 10 one day, I was working in Fayette County, and I stopped the vehicle, it was an SUV, I believe it was a Ford Explorer, dark tinted windows, right, and they pulled over for the violation of dark tinted windows.

I was -- as soon as I walked up to the back of the vehicle, their foot stayed on the brake, which police officers always pay attention to, and once I got close enough, they took off. So, of course, I go back to the Tahoe, I get in, you know, it's a very short -- not even a pursuit. They just wanted to gain some space, they ditched the vehicle, just drove over a cliff, basically, hit some trees and they were -- oh, man, if you watched the video on that, it was awesome. They just kept coming out of the truck, you know, there was like 13, 15 of them. So that's a case of human smuggling, you know, to where they are smuggling people.

Q. Okay. So am I correct to understand that -you said Mexican -- the Mexican nationals or the
Mexican cartel, that's the word you used, are they
smuggling -- is human smuggling going from south to

north, then?

- A. Yes, that is -- that is pretty much most of the time. You're not going to -- unless you're in Canada, right, but we're not going to see that this far down, typically. But it's potentially -- I mean, it happens in Canada and they come south, right?
 - Q. Sure.
- A. Any international border, your smuggling is going to be coming into the United States.
- Q. Got it. And one thing that I think we didn't quite finish before we took the restroom break was talking about maybe your role in supervising the criminal interdiction unit and I want to kind of go back to that.

What would you say is the -- the year mark for a beginner in criminal interdiction? Zero to one year, zero to two years, like at what point would you consider someone a beginner?

- A. Once you're certified through the state as being a peace officer, you're hired at the department through the FTO process, your training process, all of that.
 - O. FTO means?
- A. Oh, field training officer. It's different terminologies. But -- so every agency should have some

form of training program, you know, in addition to the police academy.

O. Sure.

A. You know, you're with an officer -- typically that program is for four months, right. You're with another officer, riding with them, just exclusively watching what they do. And then, you know, the next month you're allowed to do some of the activities, the next month you're doing more of the activities than the last month. It's a ghost phase. You're doing everything and only being observed by that -- that field training officer. Once you pass that and you -- you can go on your own, but you're still under probation as an officer, typically up to a year, before you're really cut loose.

So an interdiction guy with interest, he's still learning how to be a cop, so he hasn't really understood, you know, there's more to being a cop and more to traffic stops. So I would say, you know, three years, a five-year officer is really at that point to where they start understanding more about it, you know.

But, then again, it could be an officer that, you know, he had knowledge of it before he went to the police academy and that's all he ever wanted to

do was be an interdiction officer, so he went to the policy academy for that purpose, so as soon as he gets out of training.

It's hard to answer that question. You know, it's just such an array of personalities and the way officers are.

- Q. Understood. But putting that exceptional individual who just like knew from birth that they wanted to be a criminal interdiction officer, would you say a beginner criminal interdiction -- a beginner in the criminal interdiction world is the zero to five mark; is that fair, based on your summary?
 - A. Probably, yes.

- Q. Okay. And then an intermediate -- someone who is going to practice intermediate criminal interdiction, five to approximately what years?
- A. Well, it depends. A person that is successful at interdiction is someone that gets it, where they are dedicated to training, I mean, so much that they go to training on their own without the department even sponsoring them. They are looking, seeking, like a sponge, this information. That person makes a very good interdiction officer.

Typically, once they start getting loads of drugs or people or merchandise, or whatever it is,

it kind of becomes this -- that's their addiction, basically, to where they love it and they don't want to do anything else. They don't want to go take reports for, you know, little Johnny's bicycle was stolen or, you know, mom and dad are having an argument.

So they want to do criminal interdiction, so some of them last a long time. I mean, them want to do it forever. I have seen guys that, actually, if they were not doing criminal interdiction, they would retire because that's what they love to do, and that's for years.

I mean, if you look at my history, I mean, I spent more than 20 years in drugs, working drug crimes because I love it, right.

Q. And why do you love it?

A. It's -- I have always been raised with morals and I just don't like people to take advantage of other people, and drugs do that. Drugs take lives and drugs harm kids and when kids get drugs, it hurts them and it hurts families. So I take it very personally.

And, in fact, my grown grandchildren have -- have run into this problem here recently, which even supports my -- my mission and supports what I've done even more. You know, I've had three of my grandkids in California, here within the last two

years, found a bag of gummies, all under the age of five, and they all went unconscious and went to the emergency room.

Q. That's good to know.

- A. So, you know, it's personal to me and the fact that my whole career has been to save children from drugs. That's my passion for drug enforcement.
- Q. So I guess one of the questions I have for you is how do you not get discouraged? There's a lot of --
 - A. You know what, it's --
 - Q. There's a lot -- just let me finish that one.
 - A. Of course.
- Q. There's a lot of drugs out there in the world, and would it be fair to say that there are stretches where you don't stop smuggling, drug smuggling or even human smuggling, so how do you stay positive?
 - A. You're asking me what I think?
- Q. Yeah, and in general for the criminal interdiction industry.
- A. Every officer -- that's what makes a good interdiction officer, what we're talking about here. A good interdiction officer is one that is at his best when nothing is happening, you know, it's persistence, you know. This guy -- because the majority of the time, you're not getting anything, you're not seizing

drugs, you're not seeing anything, you're not finding anything.

And if you're a person that's not easily discouraged, you're a good interdiction guy. If -- if you are discouraged or you become bored and it becomes monotonous and it's just not doing it for me anymore, I can't find it, then you don't make a good interdiction officer. And you will see that those guys will move to something else without staying with interdiction.

- Q. I want to talk a little bit about reporting. You've been in various capacities as a police officer. Would you agree that reports generally are more accurate if they are written the same day as an event, generally?
- A. Yes. And I would even go further to say that, you know, with video cameras, they've become even more important to help officers because --
- Q. The video -- sorry, just to clarify, the video cameras have become more important or the reports have become more important?
- A. Well, the video cameras and what's recorded from the video helps the officer with his report because an officer doesn't remember everything that happens. I mean, there's things that happen subconsciously, kind of like the hypnosis, that, you

Page 66

know, we all pick up on. You know, we just don't know that it's there. It's not important right now, but once you watch your videotape and you're writing your report, then you go back and you say, "Oh, that's why that guy that did that, you know, that's why this happened, that's why that happened." And then it goes into the report because it's important. So they play a role with another.

- Q. Understood. So would you -- as a supervisor, would you expect your officers to, if possible, consult their video, their body camera, their dash camera when they are writing their report?
 - A. In a case where a report is necessary, yes.
- Q. Okay. And I guess that begs the question of when is a report necessary?
- A. A report is necessary when someone is arrested, obviously. A report is necessary when there's an investigation into something to where -- you know, it's building blocks, you know, this pieces of the puzzle is important for the next piece, you know.

And like in an undercover case or in a surveillance case, let's make a surveillance example, you know, I get information on someone who may be committing a crime, I go out, I conduct surveillance, I watch the individual. Documentation of what's going on

Page 67 from the very basics of everything is an important --1 is very important in a report. Understood. 3 Ο. 4 Α. Yes. 5 Q. So I guess if an officer wrote a report after a member of the public complained, would you have 6 7 questions about that report? Okay. So help me understand, please. 8 don't -- I guess I don't understand --9 10 This is great because sometimes I ask bad Q. questions. 11 12 Α. Well, I mean, so the question, as I understand 13 it, is that would I have concerns about the report 14 being written after a complaint is filed. Okay, so 15 what -- there's different situations -- I mean, there's different situations for that. Do we want to use this 16 17 case as an example? 18 0. I mean, you can if you want to. 19 Α. Okay. 20 But you don't have to if there are other Ο. examples you prefer to use. 21 Well, with this particular investigation that 22

searched the vehicle and he let the person go without

I've reviewed, it appears that Deputy Babb made a

traffic stop as part of an interdiction stop, he

23

24

Page 68

any charges. In a nutshell, that's what this is about.

I would not expect a report to be written by anybody on a case or an example of that nature because the existence of a CAD system, which is a computer-aided dispatch system, right, there's call modes, there's notes that an officer can type in that may be relevant to something. I've damaged a person's car, right, you know, whatever it is. You can put those in CAD notes without writing a report. So, no, I would not expect a report to be written in that case.

Now, if a person were to complain, file a lawsuit, as in this case, the supervisors are going to tell that officer, "Hey, you need to write a report, right, we've got litigation coming, you need to write a report."

asked that I would have with that, is the memory that the officer had, how long ago was this, because the officer, I would think, in my mind, in a situation like this, would be thinking, "Man, I don't remember anything about that stuff, right, I don't really know what to put in that report because I don't even remember this guy. I make 30 stops a day. You know, I may remember it because the guy -- you know, I damaged his vehicle or something, but, you know, I don't really

Page 69 remember everything that happened." 1 2 So no, I mean, it wouldn't be unusual. Okay. I guess one of the questions I have for 3 Ο. 4 you on reporting, are you familiar with the term "subsequent to prior knowledge"? 5 Α. 6 No. 7 Q. And --Only from reading the deposition. 8 Α. 9 Ο. Okay. 10 Let me correct that. Α. 11 Q. So before reading the deposition, had you ever 12 heard of that as a phrase to use in reports? 13 Α. No. 14 In your experience, what were the most common 0. types of contraband smuggled in a car? 15 16 Marijuana, cocaine, methamphetamine, heroin, 17 ecstasy, Valium, people and then the other things I 18 spoke about before, property -- you know, property 19 crime. 20 Ο. Okay. 21 And then, of course, that's not even talking 22 about wanted people that are fleeing the country or 23 they have warrants and they exhibit a lot of same things. So that's another thing that could be in the 24 25 It's contraband, you know, they are just wanted.

- Q. Got it. I don't know what Valium is. What is Valium?
- A. Diazepam is the real name. It's for like if you have a seizure, you know, it's just a sedative. It calms -- it's a downer.
- Q. Okay. What is -- these others, I kind of know what they look like. What does Valium look like?
- A. It's pill form. It's like prescription pill abuse. But like in my experience, you know, I had a traffic stop one day that had eight pounds of it, you know, it was like 20,000 pills coming from Mexico.
- Q. So if someone has like a giant wrapped thing of pills or a giant -- you know, several boxes of all of these pills, you're going to ask questions. Is that fair?
 - A. Absolutely.
- Q. And Valium, X, those would be just things that that are in pill form?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. For other things, I guess like marijuana, how would you tell if something is marijuana?
 - A. How would you tell what?
 - Q. How would you tell if something is marijuana?
 - A. If it's marijuana, marijuana has a distinct

odor, you can smell it. It has a distinct appearance to it, right, green, you know, it -- the old Mexican marijuana was green and leafy, had a lot of seeds, compacted into bricks with the odor of marijuana. And then you could take a field test kit and then you could put it in a field test kit, see if it has a reaction.

However, that didn't typically occur.

Very rarely does an officer field test marijuana. And there's various reasons for that, but --

- Q. I mean, I probably am illustrating how much of a square I am right now, so just like I am a square.

 But how do you -- what are the -- why don't you field test marijuana?
 - A. Today?

- Q. Yeah.
- A. No one is prosecuting for it, right. It's -- and there's such confliction in the law, right, you know, now you have legalized and then you have municipalities that are trying to legalize it against state law, you know.

So officers -- even though it's still illegal in Texas, you know, typically it's not prosecuted, so officers are not going to field test marijuana. Field test kits are expensive, believe it or not, and they are not going to just, you know, waste

revenue on field test kits for something that's not going to get prosecuted, in a nutshell.

- Q. So let's assume an officer thinks he found marijuana. What does he do?
 - A. Depends on the amount.
 - O. Okay. Tell me more.

A. Let's say in order to be prosecuted for marijuana, it must be a usable amount, okay. A usable amount is something that you can actually take and put it in a bong, light it with a lighter and inhale it or in a joint and smoke it, and it needs a weight. It need to be able to be weighed, right. If there's no weight, then it's typically not a usable amount, so therefore you cannot be prosecuted for it, so therefore you won't field test it.

And there's a term called shake, okay.

Shake is what -- jargon. You know what jargon is,
police jargon? Typically they call it shake. It's
where a person may be rolling a joint in the front seat
of a car and some spills on the floorboard or
something, and it's just little particles of green,
leafy substance that appears to be marijuana, may smell
like -- the car may reek of marijuana, you know, a
reasonable officer can believe that what he's seeing in
the floorboard is shake or marijuana, but it's not

Page 73

prosecutable because there's not enough there, it's not usable. So an officer is not going to field test that.

- Q. Okay. And I guess there -- I cleaned my car because my colleagues were driving in it because I had a lot of -- my kids have been in the car over the holiday break, so it was a wreck. So it's clean right now, but normally there's a lot of stuff on the floorboards, Goldfish, whatever dirt the kids have been rolling in, they are little. How do you know what's -- what's dirt and what's schmutz, for lack of a better descriptor, versus like shake?
- A. Shake is -- I mean, an experienced officer that's seen a lot of marijuana, you know, maybe they have been on search warrants in houses, you know, where they have a tray, you know, where they are rolling marijuana and, you know, an officer sees this over and over and over again. So there's a certain appearance to what they call shake, just little green particles that, I mean, you just become familiar with.

So on interdiction stops, in my history, I ran across it all the time. You know, it would be beside the -- the seat, you know, falling off of the console, in the floorboard or, in some cases, I found it in empty compartments in vehicles where it had been smuggled before and then there's, you know, shake

residue in there where you can see the little green particles.

And so a totality of everything that you've got going on, you know, you determine that it's marijuana shake, right. But nobody is going to prosecute for it. You're not even going to arrest for it.

- Q. So I guess when you say the totality of everything that's going on, there have to be other factors that also help you conclude that this is probably shake?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. One of the things you said was the car smells like marijuana?
 - A. Uh-huh.
- Q. What other factors might prompt you to conclude that the green, leafy substance you are seeing on the floor is shake?
- A. Maybe a criminal history for marijuana, you know, you ran his criminal history and he's got a history for it. Physically, he may have burnt lips. Common times, people that smoke weed, they burn their lips on the pipes or whatever. That's one. Bloodshot eyes, you know, your speech is different, you know, you're like high. You know, you can tell, you know,

"Dude," you know. I mean, you can typically tell when someone is a pothead.

You know, I have nothing against marijuana other than it's illegal and I don't use it, I don't believe in it. But, you know what, people are people and they use it.

- Q. Yeah. So I guess, you know, in the circumstances for me and and you're seeing my car full of Goldfish, would you be prompted to conclude that green, leafy or like what looks like little specs of green on the floor is marijuana?
- A. That's kind of subjective for me in a way because I'm not on the -- like a traffic stop with you to be able to see, you know, how you're behaving, what's going on, where you're coming from, where you're going, you know, did you just come from the bar, you know, or maybe the head shop. So it's hard for me to speculate on that, sorry.
- Q. No, that's fine. I don't want you to speculate. What I'm asking is just based on your knowledge.
- A. I would need all of those other things to be able to really start to gauge whether or not I would think that was marijuana or not.
 - Q. So context matters?

- A. Context matters.
- Q. And how do you know if green particles are something like -- something from the grocery store that's green, basil or spinach, or whatever else I've been schlepping around?
- A. Well, again, everything goes back to the circumstances at hand, right? I mean, it's just hard to answer that question without, you know, a scenario on what's going on with the situation. I couldn't -- I couldn't, you know, elaborate or I couldn't speculate on that.
 - Q. No, that's fine. Thank you.
 - A. Yeah.
 - Q. What are front seat interrogations?
- A. Interrogation is really not a good word for it. You know, people tend to get away from interrogations. It's a little rough around the edges, right. So front seat interviews, if I might comment on, is where an officer places someone in the front seat of their car while they conduct their business.
- Q. Okay. And just to put a pin in that, what is the difference between an interview and an interrogation? You focused on interview and I guess I'm not clear on the difference, so help me understand.
 - A. There isn't. You know, some people use the

word "interrogation." You know, officers try to get around that and, you know, it's an interview. I mean, hardly anyone today, we don't call it an interrogation. You know, everything that we know it as is an interview, I'm interviewing someone, I'm talking with them, right, obtaining information.

- Q. Okay. So someone comes down -- you ask someone to come down to the police station or you arrest someone and you bring them into -- I don't know what they call it -- the room, a conference room?
 - A. Yeah, an interview room.
 - Q. And that would be an interview as well?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. What is your position on front seat interrogations?
- A. I'm not a fan of them, but that's a personal preference. An officer, any police officer, they develop a skill set and they take little pieces of training from one place or another place -- and I tell people in the classes that I teach, "You need to do that, you need to listen to what everybody has to say, you need to take the good things that will benefit you and apply it to what you're doing." And that seems to be the thing today, that seems to be what people are doing. They are doing these front seat interviews.

Page 78

Now, you're asking me what I believe about them. I've had an experience with one of my interdiction officers who was very, very adamant about doing front seat interviews, which I typically did not, you know, believe was the greatest way to do the interviews. However, it's not illegal, it's not against policy.

So in his case, what I told him was you can do front seat interviews, but only after your safety is ensured. So they must be patted down for weapons and you need a backup officer there if they are going to be sitting in your front seat. So that was my expectation for our interdiction.

But as far as saying that you can't do them, you know, there's nothing illegal about it. It's just not my preference.

- Q. Okay. And when you say -- you said something like "it seems to be the thing." Can you unpack that a little bit more for me?
- A. Law enforcement training evolves. As officers, like myself, who did interdiction full time, every day, as I promote through the ranks, new officers begin criminal interdiction, they will go to outside training from somewhere else, they will see some instructor doing front seat interviews so they will

decide, "Hey, I like that, I want to make that part of my every day work," and then they will come back and incorporate that into their -- their interview process.

- Q. Unless -- unless the agency says, "No, we're not doing them." Is that fair?
 - A. That's correct.

- Q. And you talked a little bit about officer safety concerns. Are there -- what else -- is there anything else that prompts you to feel that they are not the greatest -- I think you said you felt that they are not the greatest. Any other concerns with front seat interviews, front seat interrogations?
- A. Well, I mean, is this from my perspective, my training, my experience and the skill set that I have or is it --
 - O. Yes, sir.
- A. -- or is it from the skill set and the expectations that an officer at his level is trying to figure out things? You know, I mean, there's a difference, right.
- Q. I want to -- I want to hear your opinion first and we can talk about this stuff later.
- A. Okay. So I've had a lot of training and I utilize body language, speech patterns, kinesics, basically. I use a lot of that in the interview that I

have structured that works for me. That happens outside of the car on traffic stops. So is --

O. When you do them?

- A. When I do them. I'm comfortable outside the car. I want to be able to see everything about this guy or girl. I want to see their hands, I want to see how they react to the questions that I ask them.
- A. I want to see the responses, I want to see their -- you know, their lips, their eyes, their -- everything about them and then during my interview, then I stress them and de-stress them according to what I hear, the responses that I get from them during my interview.

Now, it's very focused work, right, you really have to focus and you have to be a person that pays attention to everything while you're thinking about the question you're going to ask after the answer they just gave you. So all of that you have to focus on.

So for me, if that person is in the front seat of a vehicle and there's a computer, there's a radio, there's all of these distractions inside that car, that interview may not give you everything.

You're going to miss some things when it comes to body language and speech patterns. Now, you're going to be

Page 81

able to see some and you're going to be able to determine something is going on, but it's not to the level that I expect from my training, my experience and the way that I like to do it.

These youngsters that are out here learning this stuff now, they like it, they believe in it and it's just not my preference.

- Q. Would it be fair to say that with more distractions in the patrol vehicle, there might be a greater degree of error?
- A. Well, I mean, there's no error, per se. You know, you're an officer who knows nothing about what's going on, other than you stopped this person, they are in the front seat of the car with you and you're trying to determine what's going on.

So to say that there's some form of error with the interview process, that's really not good terminology for that because, I mean, the officer has no idea what he's doing right now. He's trying to develop there's a reasonable suspicion, you know, he's trying to see if there's anything going on. There's something he has -- he has -- caught his eye, there's something that he has seen previously or to put him in the front seat to begin with. So he's either going to, you know, decide something is going on and develop his

reasonable suspicion or he's going to let him go.

- Q. Okay. I guess I'm a little confused there, and I want to understand. Let's say that Mr. Fox is a drug smuggler and he's got -- he did not like that, I could see in his reaction.
 - A. He didn't.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. I know. Let's say Mr. Fox is a drug smuggler and he has, you know, a couple of kilos of meth in his car and you stop him, you do a front seat interview with Mr. Fox, and you say, "There's nothing going on here," and you let Mr. Fox go, would you say that's an error?
 - A. No. It happens all the time.
 - O. Okay. So tell me more about that.
- A. There's a difference in drug smugglers, right, just like there's a difference in law enforcement when it comes to experience, right. A mule becomes --
- Q. Mr. Fox is not an experienced drug smuggler, but keep going.
 - A. Okay. All right.
 - Q. No, that's part of the joke.
 - A. Okay, well, let's use that example.
 - Q. For the record.
- A. I like the fact that you used that, okay, because he would be more susceptible to getting away

with it because it's his first time, right, he has no history, he's wearing a suit, he's dressed for the part. As long as his vehicle matches the way he's dressed, odds are that officer may not even ask him for consent to search the car because there's nothing that -- there's no reasonable suspicion that this officer is going to pick up on to lead him to even ask for consent to search the car. So he would leave and he would get away. I've had that happen.

- Q. Okay.
- A. Yeah.
- Q. And that wouldn't be an error?
- A. Well, I mean, it's not an error. It's just the officer doesn't know. You don't know what you don't know.
- Q. Understood. When you said Mr. Fox's suit matches his car, can you explain that to me a little bit?
 - A. Sure.
- Q. I just -- I don't necessarily -- I don't pay attention to cars also. So what kind of cars would match Mr. Fox?
- A. You're going to be an experienced interdiction person by the time we're done.

So a person matches their car -- let's go

Page 84

back to your scenario, okay, we're going to use your car, your scenario. I have my car, I've got Goldfish in the car, the kids tear it up, right, well, if I were to stop someone like that that's a mother that has young kids, I expect that, right.

If I -- if Mr. Fox was in your car, then I would like -- the first thing I would think is why are there car seats in this car and there's no kids in the car seat and he's a male dressed in a suit, right, so that's the first thing I would wonder because why does the mom with the kids not have the car seat?

I had -- that was one of my things on interdiction. When I stopped a car and it was a male subject and there was no family and there was car seats, that was the number one thing I'm looking at because that's what drug smugglers do. They use car seats as a prop, just like they use other things.

You know, they try to copy a vehicle to make it look like something else. Let's say -- DirecTV is a good one, so a DirecTV van, they will take a van and they will wrap it with the exact markings as DirecTV, put ladders on it, dress the guy up with the DirecTV clothing, put him in the truck and send him up the highway with a load of drugs. So that officer has to determine whether that's a real DirecTV van or not.

Okay. So to answer your question about the car matching the person, your car and the way it is when I stop you on a traffic stop would match you. But if I put Mr. Fox in that car on Interstate 35 and he's from Chicago, Illinois and he's down in Austin, Texas in a suit with a car seat full of junk in the floor, it would raise my suspicion.

O. Got it.

- A. So he would not get away with the two kilos of meth.
- Q. And let's pretend the car matches Mr. Fox in whatever way.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. What if Mr. Fox was like very nervous? I mean, I let Mr. Fox drive my car last night, which I was super nervous about, but he did fine, but let's pretend like he's a drug smuggler and this is his first time driving a vehicle and he is so nervous, right, and that's the only thing you've got. What would you do with that?
- A. So a lot of people are nervous on traffic stops. Just the mere red and blue lights on behind you, they become nervous, right. It's the officer's responsibility, particularly an interdiction guy --well, really even any officer, you know, calm that

Page 86

person down, right. It's just a Class C violation, right, it's no big deal, right. To that person, it's a big deal, right, "Oh, my God, my insurance is going to go up, I'm getting points on my driver's license, I'm going to lose my job, I'm late for my job," you know. So an officer needs to understand that.

So you do everything you can do to try to calm them down, calm Mr. Fox down, you know, "Calm down, man, it's not a big deal," right, and it's just a traffic violation, right. So the officer should try to calm him down, not be so threatening. You know how a trooper -- a DPS trooper has got their hats on and they are down above their brow, they're all straight and they walk out there all business, you know, that's intimidating.

So an interdiction officer who is really looking to try to develop that rapport with that person to find out what's really going on, if anything at all is going on, they are going to be nice to that person. They are not going to be that intimidating guy. That's what a good interdiction officer does.

Q. Got it. And if -- even if, you know, you're super nice to Mr. Fox, he's still nervous because he's young, this is, you know, his first job, he doesn't want to lose it, is that normal?

A. Well, again, it goes back to the situation at hand, right. It goes back to the circumstance -- I mean, these are all hypotheticals and I'm enjoying, you know -- I think you guys are learning a lot, actually, which helps you in your position, which is very important. And, you know, it's just -- hypotheticals are those things that they can just go on and on and on, there's never a resolution to a hypothetical.

O. Sure.

- A. So I would really need just like details of everything that's going on, a scenario in front of me that -- you know, to really be able to answer that.
 - Q. Understood. So context matters?
 - A. It has to matter, you know.
- Q. Got it.
 - A. I mean, what's going on at the time.
 - Q. That's helpful.

Have you heard the term -- heard the term "hunting" used in the criminal interdiction context?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And what does that term mean?
- A. Well, it's jargon, it's cop jargon. You know, police officers are out there looking for criminals, you know, they are out hunting criminals, you know.

 It's a cat and mouse game, believe it or not, it is.

Crooks don't want to get caught, cops want to catch them, right, so it's like cops are out hunting for bad guys. So it's common jargon in law enforcement.

- Q. Okay. Have you ever used that term, I guess in your criminal interdiction work?
- A. Sure. I mean, cops -- I mean, I'm sure every police officer has used the words, you know, "Let's go hunting, let's go find something, get into something," you know, it's just slang.
- Q. Got it. I think I know the answer to this, but I'm just going to ask a couple of formulaic questions that you kind of have to ask.

Other than for this case, have you ever been retained by Mr. Barron and his firm?

A. No.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Other than for this case, have you ever worked with Bexar County before?
 - A. In what capacity?
- Q. Well, let's just kind of break it down. Have you ever been paid by Bexar County before?
 - A. No.
- Q. Have you ever coordinated your interdiction activities with Bexar County?
- A. I'm certain that I have, but not -- nothing case specific. You know, being involved or working

with DEA and working with the state and local task forces, we were always going through San Antonio, working in San Antonio, going to the border, going to Dallas, you know. So odds are, you know, we have used Bexar County before and/or San Antonio PD during some stop.

- Q. Okay. Have you -- before being involved in this case, did you know defendant Joel Babb?
 - A. No.

- Q. Any of the criminal interdiction officers with the Bexar County Sheriff's Office?
- A. No, I do not know anybody involved with this case on any report that I have written -- I mean, written -- reviewed, any videos I reviewed, I haven't recognized anybody, never personally met them that I know of.
 - Q. So you haven't met Sheriff Salazar?
- A. Maybe. And the reason I say that is I was the president of Texas Narcotic Officers Association, and as the president of that association, we oftentimes did conferences in San Antonio and we would always invite the sheriff or the police chief to do opening remarks. So I may or may not have met him in the past. I don't know when he was elected, I didn't look into that. But I don't remember ever meeting him.

Page 90 1 Could you pick him out of a crowd? 0. Α. No. A couple more like formulaic questions. 3 0. 4 Who hired you to give an opinion in this 5 case? 6 Α. Well, obviously Blair -- is it Leake or Leaky? 7 MR. BARRON: It's Leake. THE WITNESS: Blair Leake is the one that 8 9 reached out to me and hired me for -- you know, to 10 represent really no one, to give an expert opinion in 11 this matter. The way I view my role here is that I do 12 13 not work for anyone in this room. I work for the jury, who may or may not hear this case, and my job is just 14 to help them understand, just as I think I'm doing for 15 16 you guys, more about what's going on to formulate 17 good -- good decisions and opinions. I have no -- no 18 dog in this hunt with anybody here. (BY MS. HEBERT) Well, thank you. 19 Q. 20 And when you and Mr. Leake and Mr. Barron 21 were discussing this case and thinking about whether 22 you would make a good fit, what information did they 23 give you about the case? I was called and asked if I would be 24 Α. 25 interested in this case because, you know, I'm

Page 91 well-known about drug enforcement in this area. 1 mean, being the president of the Texas Narcotic Officers Association, you know, the experience level. 3 4 I believe there was another expert witness on a use of force case or something that I know 5 and he's the one that referred me to the office because 6 7 he knew that I've taught criminal interdiction. Is that Albert Rodriguez? Does that sound 8 Ο. 9 familiar? 10 No, no, no, it was Mark Sawa. Α. 11 THE REPORTER: What was the last name? 12 THE WITNESS: Mark Sawa, I think it's 13 S-A-U-A or something. He's retired Travis County 14 Sheriff's Office and we go way back. He's an expert witness, I guess, on use of force, and I sat in on a 15 16 recent trial here in Travis County where he testified. 17 So I assume that an expert witness was 18 needed in this case, my name came up in that manner and 19 then that's how they ended up calling me. 20 (BY MS. HEBERT) Okay. And before you were Ο. 21 officially hired, were you asked to give a preliminary 22 review or preliminary opinion? 23 Α. No. Let's take a little bit of a look at your 24 Q.

report. I want to ask you just a couple more form

Page 92 1 questions. 2 Would you mind going to page 30 of your 3 report? 4 Α. I hope that's a good start if we're going to start at 30, right? 5 I like to jump to the end. Let's get to the 6 Q. 7 bottom line and go to lunch. MS. HEBERT: What time is it? 8 9 MR. FOX: It's 11:00. 10 MS. HEBERT: Great, thanks. 11 Q. (BY MS. HEBERT) Do you see the last paragraph 12 on page 30? 13 I do. Α. 14 So I -- you can correct me if I'm wrong, I Q. 15 understand you're charging a flat rate of \$3,700 for the initial case evaluation and report; is that 16 17 correct? 18 Α. That's correct. 19 Okay. But I'm also seeing that you value your Q. 20 time at \$150 an hour; is that also right? 2.1 Α. Yes. 22 MS. HEBERT: So I need my calculator. I 23 might need you to get my calculator. It's in that box. 24 (BY MS. HEBERT) Is \$150 an hour -- if you Q. 25 were charging an hourly rate across this case, would

you expect to be charging \$150 an hour?

- A. I'm not charging a flat rate -- I mean, I'm charging a flat rate.
- Q. Yeah. I guess if you were charging an hourly rate, would your hourly rate be 150?
 - A. For the entire investigation?
 - Q. Yes, sir.

- A. Yeah, sure. I mean, but I think it's only fair that I just do a flat rate because \$3,700 is going to be less than \$150 an hour.
 - Q. Oh, for sure.
- A. You know, so I don't believe that it's fair to, you know, tax anyone any more than that. You know, I enjoy doing the work, I enjoy, you know, learning more, you know, as we go. And so, yeah, I don't -- I think -- I don't gouge people on prices. I just do a flat rate.
- Q. Okay. So how many hours have you spent on this case so far?
- A. I would say it's really hard to tell. I read cases from -- sometimes from 6:00 at night until 3:00 in the morning. Sometimes I would read cases for four hours, sometimes three hours, preparation, on the Internet, you know, reviewing, you know, case law, things of that nature. So it's just hard to say how

Page 94 many hours. It's a lot of hours, though. 1 Okay. So I guess one way to think about about 3 it is how many hours did you spend putting pen to paper 4 to write your report? 5 Α. Many. 6 0. Any estimate? More than ten? 7 Α. Yes. More than 24 hours? 8 Ο. 9 I would say so. Α. 10 Q. Okay. 11 Α. I'm an old school guy, right. I'm not like 12 the youngsters who use artificial intelligence or 13 transcription, right. So I'm -- I'm, hey, let's get in and let's type a report. So, you know, it took a long 14 15 time to write the report, actually. Even though I took 16 a long time, I'm really -- I'm disappointed that I have 17 some spelling errors in here. You know, I'm critical 18 of myself, of course, but --19 That's okay. We all have spelling errors, I'm Q. 20 sure. I love spell check for that reason. 21 Okay. So would you say you've spent, you 22 know, a week writing this report of working time? 23 Α. Sure. 24 Q. I mean, of course you slept. 25 Α. Yeah.

Page 95 1 More than a week? 0. Α. Just the report itself, writing the report itself? 3 4 Q. Just the report itself? Yeah, I mean, a week is fair enough. 5 Α. So, you know, give or take a 40-hour workweek? 6 Q. 7 Α. Yeah. Okay. And then I guess how -- how many hours 8 0. 9 would you say that you put into reviewing materials for 10 this case, whatever they may be? 11 Α. You know, I would say that's hard to tell. I 12 didn't keep track. I would be speculating on how many 13 hours I actually put into it. 14 More than ten? 0. 15 Α. Oh, yeah. 16 More than 20? Ο. 17 Α. Well, more than the time that it took to write 18 the report. 19 Okay. So more than a 40-hour workweek? Q. 20 Α. Sure. 21 Okay. I want to look more at the substance of 0. 22 your report now. 23 Α. Okay. But we're going to still stay focused at the 24 Q. 25 end, I think. Let's go to page 28.

A. Okay.

- Q. And we're looking at Exhibit No. 111, page 28 of 111. I'm looking at the first sentence there and I see the date, March 22nd, 2022. Did you mean March 16th, 2022, the date of the traffic stop in this case? This is not a trick question.
 - A. Yeah.
- Q. I'm going to represent to you that the traffic stop happened on March 16th, 2022.
- A. You know, I think that might be an error in my part, a typographical error, because I may have been reading the date of the report that Deputy Babb had done and I think that may have been dated on the 22nd when they asked him to do the report. So it could have been that during that timeframe, I transposed those numbers.
- Q. So when -- but when you're writing this first sentence in the header "Final Expert Opinion and Conclusion," you're referring to the date of the traffic stop; is that correct?
 - A. I am.
- Q. Okay. I just wanted to make clear. And I'm going to just read this first sentence here. "After review of the material provided to me and from my experience and training, it was reasonable to believe

Page 97 that Deputy Babb was practicing standard law 1 2 enforcement procedures on March 22nd, 2022, upon being informed that -- about Schott traveling north on 3 4 IH-35." Did I read that correctly? 5 Α. 6 Yes. 7 And we just clarified that March 16th, 2022, Q. you meant the day of the traffic stop of Mr. Schott? 8 9 Exactly. My mistake. Α. 10 Q. It's fine. 11 What are standard law enforcement procedures? 12 13 Just standard -- you're abiding by what is set Α. out -- set forth in policy and procedure by your 14 15 department that allows you to go out and do your work. Okay. So the standard law enforcement 16 Ο. 17 procedure depends on the department? 18 Α. Yes. 19 And to evaluate the standard law enforcement Q. 20 procedure, you evaluate what the department policies 2.1 and procedures are? 2.2 Α. Correct. 23 And how do you evaluate what a department's Ο. policies and procedures are? 24 25 In relation to this case? Α.

- Q. No, just in general.
- A. In general?

- O. Like how do you know?
- A. You obtain their policy and procedure, you read policy.
- Q. When you say that, you mean like their written manual?
- A. Written manual. In this case, all of their policies, I believe, from what I have read, is on PowerDMV.
 - Q. I'm sorry, I don't know what that is.
- A. PowerDMV, it's a system that law enforcement agencies use -- we had it, actually, in Williamson County -- where when they do either -- they want an officer to know what the policy is, the officer reads the policy, it is electronically documented that they read the policy and then they have it for future use, right. So that's what PowerDMV is.

If there's any additions to the policy, something has changed, then it's just an easier way that everyone gets the new information, they have read it, they have signed it electronically and it's tracked. That's what PowerDMV is. So the old way of doing policies by paper and a policy manual, it's -- you know, things get missed.

- Q. Okay. So in evaluating whether Deputy Babb was practicing standard law enforcement procedures, you looked to the Bexar County Sheriff's Office's written policies and procedures; is that correct?
 - A. That was provided to me, yes.
 - Q. Okay. Anything else?

- A. No, not -- not related to the Bexar County Sheriff's Office.
- Q. Sure. The Bexar County Sheriff's Office written policy -- if Deputy Babb was complying with the Bexar County Sheriff's Office's written policy, does that mean that Deputy Babb's actions were constitutional?

MR. BARRON: Objection, form.

THE WITNESS: I obviously am not Deputy
Babb, right? I mean, this situation -- every situation
is different, you know, every situation is subjective,
just as the examples that we had earlier. You know,
I'm not there, I don't know exactly what went on, I can
only, you know, evaluate this case from their policy
and their procedure, from his reports, from the videos
that I watched or the video that I watched, you know.
So I couldn't speculate on whether what he did was
constitutional or not, right, in his brain.

Q. Sure. And I guess one thing that I'm trying

Page 100 1 to understand is separate and apart of what Deputy Babb may have believed in that -- in this context, if Deputy Babb was following the written policies and procedures 3 4 of Bexar County Sheriff's Office, does that mean he was practicing standard law enforcement procedures? 5 Α. 6 Yes. 7 I'm just trying to shortcut stuff. Q. Of course. 8 Α. 9 MR. BARRON: We've been going for nearly 10 another hour. Do you want a five-minute break to 11 collect your thoughts? 12 MS. HEBERT: No, we're okay. 13 MR. BARRON: Okay. 14 MS. HEBERT: I'm trying to keep this 15 until lunch, I think. 16 (BY MS. HEBERT) Did everything that Deputy 17 Babb did on March 22nd, 2022 --18 MR. FOX: Do you mean 16th? 19 MS. HEBERT: Yeah. 20 THE WITNESS: I've got everybody messed 21 up, I apologize. 22 Ο. (BY MS. HEBERT) No, that's fine. 23 Did everything that Deputy Babb did on March 16th, 2022 comply with standard law enforcement 24 25 procedures, based on your view?

- A. Based on my training and experience with what I have observed or read in this traffic stop, this is a normal traffic stop, normal interdiction stop that happens across the country every day. There's nothing that sets it apart from anything else.
- Q. Assume for a second that Deputy Babb did turn his dash camera off before the traffic stopped, is that a standard law enforcement procedure?
- A. You know, I wasn't asked to evaluate the policy and the procedure on the cameras. I do have an opinion on what could have happened, but I wasn't asked to evaluate that.
 - Q. What's your opinion?

A. Having been in many, many law enforcement interdiction cases, having worked them, having stopped cars, officers will sometimes catch up to a vehicle that is interesting to them, right. So they may be parked in the median and the vehicle passes and they may see something that that vehicle is -- matches common vehicles that have been seized recently across the country. So they may want to catch up to that vehicle just to see if it's got license plates, you know, whatever the case is.

And when that happens, that officer starts from zero miles an hour, that car may be going

75 miles an hour, so they have to go fast to catch the car. That triggers the camera system. When the camera system triggers, officers hit the off button because it triggers the camera, they don't know whether they are going to stop this car or not, they are just catching up to the car, right. That kind of becomes muscle memory, per se, for some officers. They will hit that trigger, they will reach up, they will cut that camera off because they are not going to stop the car or they don't know if they are going to stop the car.

And in my -- I don't know, but just from reading this case, I can see where that -- that happens a lot with officers, right. Whether it happened in this case or not, I don't know. But that camera will not reactivate when the lights come on, so that could potentially be what happened in this case. I don't know.

O. Sure.

- A. I would be speculating.
- Q. No, that's okay.

I want to ask you a couple questions. When you wrote here that it was reasonable to believe that Deputy Babb was practicing standard law enforcement procedures, are you saying that it was reasonable to believe that -- it was reasonable for

Page 103 1 Deputy Babb to believe that he was practicing standard 2 law enforcement procedures or are you saying that you believe that Deputy Babb was practicing standard law 3 4 enforcement procedures, or something else? Objection, form. 5 MR. BARRON: THE WITNESS: I can't -- I don't know 6 7 what Deputy Babb was thinking or whether he thought that he was performing them according to his policy and 8 9 I don't know. That would be speculation. 10 The second part of that question, whether 11 I believe he was doing it according to his policy, yes, I mean, I do. 12 13 Ο. (BY MS. HEBERT) Okay. And I just -- I wasn't 14 trying to trick you. Yes, of course. 15 Α. 16 I just wasn't exactly sure what the phrasing 0. 17 meant there. 18 Α. Sure. 19 So based on, you know -- looking at this Q. 20 opinion -- sorry, did you --Sorry, I thought he almost fell. 21 Α. 2.2 MR. FOX: Oh, no, no, no. 23 (BY MS. HEBERT) Looking at these conclusions, Ο. I guess one of the questions I have for you is, is it 24 25 your opinion that everything that Deputy Babb did

Page 104

during the traffic stop of Mr. Schott was consistent with standard law enforcement procedures as written in the Bexar County Sheriff's written policies?

- A. Everything is a broad term. You know, again, it kind of goes to speculation again, everything that he did. You know, if you could give me some type of example on what you think that -- you know, you want clarification on, then I would be more than happy to give you a direct answer.
- Q. Well, sure. I mean, I guess the easy way to start with that is you wrote that you believed Deputy Babb was practicing standard law enforcement procedures. What did you mean by that?
- A. I meant that I did not see anything with this traffic stop, with this case, that wasn't just a normal interdiction stop that's conducted across the country every day.

This case in particular, you know, as we discussed earlier, about Mr. Fox and his nervousness, I mean, Deputy Babb exhibited compassion, he was -- he was friendly, right, I mean, he did a lot of things that led me to believe that he has got more experience than your standard officer out there stopping cars every day, if that makes sense. So I kind of looked at that and said, "Well, he's had some training, he's had

some experience stopping people."

You know, I think it's -- this stop in relation to stops that I've done in the past, it's no different, I mean, there's just not -- there's not anything there that I saw that just sticks out.

Q. Sure.

- A. Minus, of course, your video camera issue.
- Q. And when you say "video camera issue," what do you mean?
- A. Well, the video camera not being on, according to policy.
 - Q. And you mean Deputy Babb's dash camera?
- A. Yes. And, you know, that's -- that's beside the point. But related to the traffic stop,

 Mr. Schott, the things that Deputy Babb did, it's a standard narcotic investigation. It's not just a cold stop, it's not just a stop that someone was driving down the road and he decided to stop the car. So there's way more to this traffic stop than just a cold stop, if that makes sense.
- Q. Okay. And what does -- what do you mean by "cold stop"?
- A. A cold stop is a term that people often use when a deputy or an officer sees a vehicle, they believe that vehicle may have some, you know,

Page 106

contraband in it and may be doing something illegal and they decide that they are going to see if they can get probable cause for a traffic violation to stop the car and investigate to see if something is going on. Many times, nothing is going on. Most of the time, nothing is going on. But that would be considered like a cold stop. The officer initiated that traffic stop, no prior information, right.

Compared to my review of this case, from my training and experience, you know, I believe that there was information that he had. It was obvious from his videos, from his interview, from the recordings, from the body cameras that he was communicating with somebody in law enforcement. So he had prior knowledge of this stop, so it was not a cold stop, in my opinion. He had prior information.

Q. Okay. And I think we will get back to that more just like to explore it, but I'm going to continue walking through what we have here.

In this first full paragraph on 28, you wrote, and I'm going to quote here, "Such investigations are -- are often not in the same jurisdiction the officer works. Therefore, communication using telephones, networking apps and radios are common."

Did I read that sentence correctly?

A. Yes.

- Q. And would it be fair to say that you're informing us that it's common to use phones, networking, radios when officers are working across jurisdictions; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. What constitutes an investigation? What is an investigation? What rises to the level of investigation?
- A. Well, an investigation is where you're developing, you know information, you're gathering information to decide whether or not there's a crime here, right. You know, you're investigating whether there's more to what's going on other than the traffic stop.

In this case, there's prior information, right. He obviously knew this vehicle was coming, he knew the description of the vehicle, he knew that there's other people that he was told, according to the videos I watched and the reports, he knew that someone knew something more than he did, right?

So there's a lot of things going on here before the traffic stop and they were credible, they were credible in this way. He was told that the

vehicle was an F-250, it was an F-250. He was told the license plate number was exactly the same. He was told that the vehicle was in Carrizo Springs and it was traveling north on Interstate 35 and left at a certain time, the timeframe matched the time it left Carrizo Springs until the time he saw it.

So there's a lot of things that are credible behind the information that he had, even before the traffic stop. So, you know, that's why I say "investigation." I mean, I don't know, I wasn't there and I don't know who the people are in Carrizo Springs, I don't know what was going -- I do know that there was -- I say "investigation" because this isn't just a cold traffic stop, right? That's the reason I use that word, "investigation."

- Q. Got it. Taking a step back from this particular case for a second, when is it appropriate to rely on information about a vehicle that an average Joe posts on their -- their Facebook wall?
- A. Well, I don't know -- in my experience, I've never taken information from a Facebook wall.
 - O. Why not?

A. Well, I mean, that's not something that I am currently monitoring, you know, during the duties of that I do. I mean, that's not -- do you mean a

Facebook wall, like I'm watching a social media Facebook, like a Meta Facebook page?

- Q. Yeah. You login to Facebook, you see
 Mr. Barron has posted about whatever Mr. Barron posts
 about on Facebook and you say, "There might be a
 vehicle for me to stop."
- A. Oh, all right. With investigations, with the investigative side of the house, sure, narcotic officers do that all the time, you know. I was still on traffic stop here. But, yeah, sure, narcotic investigators to that. You know, they monitor social media sites, Facebook sites, you know, believe it or not, Instagram and Snapchat, Snapchat is a big one. So it's commonly used in law enforcement with drug investigations, any crimes.
- Q. Okay. Well, let's pretend that Mr. Barron is who Mr. Barron is and he's not in the drug industry.

MR. FOX: Pretend.

- Q. (BY MS. HEBERT) Let's pretend and let's just say he posts something about there was a suspicious vehicle on I-35, would you rely on that information?
- A. Well, I mean, rely on the information, it would be one thing that if I'm a guy looking at a Facebook post or monitoring Facebook and, you know, I'm using keyword searches, right, because we do that a

lot, you know, we will type in something, and I discover there's something that interests me, you know, sure, I may -- I may want to take a look at it. It doesn't mean that anything is going on, it doesn't mean that there's a crime. It could be totally innocent, right.

Secret Service and FBI does it every day, you know, for protecting the president, you know, so those keyword searches are important. Does that mean everybody is wanting to, you know, commit a crime against an elected official, no, but somebody may be venting on there and that keyword pops up and it warrants that officer to at least take a look at it to determine if something is going on.

- Q. Sure. But if Mr. Barron posts a suspicious tinted window -- or a suspicious vehicle driving on 35, would you investigate that suspicious vehicle?
 - A. Again, it's subjective.
- Q. If you didn't have anything else going on, would you investigate it?
- A. Well, again, it's subjective. I mean, what's the other information that we have, you know. Again, it goes back to hypothetical situations --
 - Q. Understood.

A. -- that are never ending and, you know, I

Page 111 1 can't give you an answer whether I would or I wouldn't. Q. Understood. So context matters? It matters. I'm sorry, but --3 Α. 4 Q. No, that's fine. -- I can't answer. 5 Α. 6 Q. If Mr. Barron, an average Joe, sent you a 7 Facebook message that there was a suspicious vehicle on I-35, would you investigate based on Mr. Barron's 8 9 Facebook message? 10 What relation would I have with Mr. Barron, I 11 guess is the first thing that I would ask. You know, 12 is Mr. Barron an associate of mine that I met, maybe 13 not even met, but exchanged numbers in like training conference somewhere, is he one of those guys, you 14 15 know? 16 Let's say he's a member of the public, a 17 member of the public you have never met. 18 Α. I don't even know? 19 Right. Q. 20 I wouldn't typically give any credit to that. Α. 21 Sure. And if it's someone you met at a law 0. 22 enforcement training seminar, you exchanged numbers, 23 you know who he is, he works for the city of Austin, would you credit that information? 24

25

Α.

Yes.

Q. Okay. What about if Mr. Barron, who is a lawyer, messaged you and claimed to be a police officer, how would you -- what would you do in that situation and you don't know if he is or not?

A. You know, that's -- that's -- I know where you're going with this related to this case. So typically, any communication platform should be vetted by the department. Do officers do it? They do.

There's oftentimes -- there's oftentimes text groups on the back end that they communicate on, right, when something is going on because it's, you know, "Hey, this guy is going across country." There's a lot of that stuff that goes on, right.

So to answer that question, if it is somebody that I don't know, it's someone that I have no idea who it is, no, I would not investigate or go stop that car or even look for it. If it was someone that I'm in a group messaging with, even if it's not against -- you know, even if it's not, you know, policy, even if you're -- there's no policy around it, right, even if the department -- the administration or the department hasn't vetted this, most of the time they don't even know this exists. These officers just do this stuff, you know.

And, sure, I would hold credibility in --

in that information because I would believe that the person on the other end of that giving me the information is a police officer.

- Q. Would you do -- and what would -- what would shape that belief for you?
- A. Previous encounters or previous things that have occurred on such app or such messaging platform, right, so there may be some history to that. That's why I said when you give me the example of just, you know -- you know, just cold turkey, I don't know the guy, absolutely not. But there is credibility to a group chat of some sort, even being social media, if there has been prior cases that's been made, there's been prior communication, you know, there's credibility in that.

And related to, I guess information from the public that you don't even know, I mean, you could actually go and act upon that information as well to determine whether something is -- it's kind of like a DWI, you know, I mean, you don't know the person calling, but the person says, "Hey, there's a car all over the road and they are driving erratically and almost crashing into people," you know, you don't know that person, but the person is credible.

And, yes, you can affect the traffic stop

and you can go and investigate that, you know, under reasonable suspicion to determine if a crime happened. So that's -- that's my opinion of differences in the two.

- Q. I might be revealing the depths of my ignorance, but in the example you just gave for the DWI, would you have to observe that vehicle weaving, or what have you, before stopping them --
 - A. Sure.

- Q. -- or could you just pull the person over based on the say-so of someone who called in?
- A. No, under the DWI you would want to develop your probable cause.
- Q. Okay. So you would have to then observe the traffic violation, in addition to whatever you had heard before?
- A. Yeah. And it's been my experience -- I've had that happen many times and it's been my experience that, you know, oftentimes they are correct, oftentimes they may have been correct, but now that you're behind them in a police car, you know, they are straight and narrow and I don't have probable cause to stop the car for any traffic violation. So, no, you wouldn't stop the car.
 - Q. Okay. And let's say you are part of a law

enforcement -- or a group, let's say you're part of a text chat, do you do anything to verify who is running the chat?

- A. Again, my personal opinion is that everyone should be vetted. You should know who you're talking with. However, does that happen, it doesn't happen. You know, have there been occasions where I've spoken with people, not on social media, but over the phone who I don't know, sure, you know. Has that information been credible, sure. Have I acted on it, sure. Again, it's subjective according to the case, right.
- Q. And I guess I want to ask you about the -- the phone example. When you speak to someone over the phone about information, do you ask them guestions?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. What kind of questions do you ask?
- A. Well, as much as you can learn from them to understand what's going on, you know, why they believe this person is doing what they are doing, right.

So, you know, you're going to -- you're going to ask -- okay, I guess I'll give you an example of like maybe -- I don't know, I'm trying to get a drug situation -- maybe an airport case, yeah, let's use an airport case, right.

So you may have an officer who has

Page 116

information that a person is smuggling something via commercial airplanes, so they may call. I have no clue who this guy is, but they know you work criminal interdiction at Austin-Bergstrom International Airport and they know your name or they have called another deputy who had a class, you know, "Oh, yeah, call Gary Haston, he works in the airport." So they will call. I don't know who the guy is. But the guy will say, "Hey, there's a passenger getting on the plane in San Francisco, it's a one-way ticket, they paid cash," you know, so you may watch for this guy when they get to Austin, the flight is going to be there at 2:15, right.

Two-hour, three-hour flight, I go to the airport, see the guy get off the plane. Obviously I'm going to find out clothing description, everything about the guy, what he's carrying. He gets to the airport, you know, there he is. He gets off the plane, just like they said. He's got the same clothes on, just like they said. He's got the bag description he picks up at baggage claim, just like they said.

There's a lot of credibility to that,
even though I don't know that guy, right, but he's a
law enforcement officer, he has this information and
you can count on that information. I mean, it's
credible information to add to, you know, what you're

doing. I mean, at that point, I would ask for consent to search just off of that alone.

Q. Okay. So in the example that you talked about, you have the phone call, you verify what law enforcement agency they work for.

Is that -- am I understanding that right?

A. Sure. You would ask who they are.

Q. Right. You ask who they are, you get the information about the individual, you learn that they paid in cash, based on what you talked about, they are going to fly to Austin, you learned what they were wearing, you learned what they were carrying.

Anything else that you learned there -- that you would have learned?

A. Well, I mean, you could ask questions like have they had prior, you know, flights, do you have any knowledge of prior flights, itineraries, you know, have they -- have you had anybody that actually saw them, you know, before they got on the plane, right, things of that nature.

Perfect example, and it's kind of related to what, you know, could be happening in this particular case is hotel/motel interdiction. So what will happen is an officer will be watching a hotel.

They may be in an area, Carrizo Springs is a perfect

example, it's a source area, so you may have officers watching the hotel.

When they see a vehicle that is not from Carrizo Springs or the Valley, let's say from Houston, Austin, San Antonio, and it's at that hotel where they are running license plates, they are seeing if -- who it is.

In this case, from San Francisco, it could have been a hotel case to where someone is watching a hotel, right. And they see this guy, they see the baggage, they see the clothing and then they follow them to the airport, they watch him get the ticket and they get on the plane and fly to Austin. So that whole thing originated around just an officer watching and doing what they call hotel/motel interdiction, right.

- Q. Okay, I understand that. And I guess what I'm having trouble understanding is how do you know that the things that you're talking about, where someone stayed, where they are traveling from, what they are wearing, means that they might be involved in some kind of criminal activity?
- A. Well, you don't know, right. It could be innocent travel, but that's why you go talk to them.

 That's why you go out and you talk to them and then you

Page 119

either confirm or dispel there's criminal activity afoot. If there's no criminal activity, then you let them go. If there is criminal activity, then you put them in jail.

- Q. We have covered a lot of this already, so I'm just trying to skip through the --
- A. I'm sorry to -- I'm sorry to be jumping ahead of you on a lot of this stuff.
- Q. No, you're great. Then it just makes it, you know, quicker.

Should an officer rely on information from another law enforcement agency, let's assume for a second it is another law enforcement agency, if the information is only this vehicle was suspicious, don't learn anything else?

- A. It happens all the time in law enforcement, you know. Again, it goes back to the -- to the experience of that officer who is giving the information. You know, it may be normal travel, it may be -- again, I gave you an example earlier about what an officer believes is suspicious in a car, you know. I mean, it may be suspicious to one officer because he's learning, let's say his travel patterns, you know. I guess let me clean this up for you, I'm sorry.
 - Q. Nothing to apologize for.

Page 120

A. In my -- in my experience, when I was working interdiction and I was learning my traffic pattern on Interstate 35 north of Georgetown, okay, so I would work typically from the Williamson County line to north Georgetown and I would just be back and forth, less traffic, I don't have to deal with local commuters that are going to and from work, right. But what I learned is that there were commuters that were traveling from Waco to San Antonio every day and they were driving cars, that were commonly used to smuggle drugs.

So I told you earlier that there may be a vehicle that the drug cartels use that's got a certain place for a compartment, the officers know this so they want to see if that car is being used. Well, those people driving from Waco to San Antonio every day is in one of those cars and they happen to be in a car without a seatbelt. So I stop the car for probable cause, he has no seatbelt on. I learn that he drives back and forth from Waco to San Antonio every day.

Well, everyday I'm on that highway, I see that same car at that same time pass by. I'm pretty confident that that guy is not a drug smuggler, right, but that experience that I gained and from the beginning, not knowing, to the experience I had later, knowing that that guy is in a drug smuggling type

vehicle, but he's not a drug smuggler may not be the same case with the guy in San Francisco at the hotel, right. So he may think that this is suspicious with this guy because he has never seen it before, but then later on, after he gains more experience, he may realize that that's not suspicious at all.

So when that information comes from that officer, I don't know what his experience level is, but I think it's credible and I think he thinks it's credible. So it's worth taking a look at, it's worth at least going out and seeing if anything is going on illegally.

- Q. And when you say "seeing if anything is illegal," seeing -- stopping that vehicle?
- A. Stopping the vehicle or a consensual encounter, talking with the person, whatever the case is.
- Q. Sure. And is it fair to say that if the information is coming from a law enforcement officer, you're going to assume you can rely on it?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. We can come back to this later. Let's go to the second paragraph on page 28.
 - A. 28, second paragraph.
 - Q. Uh-huh.

A. Okay.

Q. I'm going to read the third sentence, and it starts, "A reasonable officer in the same position would have given credibility to the information considering it was consistent from training and experience that F-250 could have been used to smuggle humans or drugs between Houston, a common destination city, and south Texas, a common source of contraband imported into the United States."

Did I read that correctly?

- A. You did.
- Q. Do human and drug smugglers use vehicles, cars, other than F-250s?
 - A. Yes.
 - O. What are the most common vehicles?
- A. Well, bigger vehicles where more people can be placed in them, that's one way, right. 18-wheelers, you know, is an example of that, larger pickup trucks, vans, the example I gave you earlier on the traffic stop that I did on the Ford Explorer that had 13, 15 people in it. You know, you would never think you could put that many people in a Suburban -- I mean, Ford Explorer, but you can. That's one part of it.

But maybe this human smuggling organization knows that the cops are looking for the

big vehicles, so they are only taking two people at a time. So those two people that are smuggling may be in a Ford Escort, so you just really never know, right. But the bigger the vehicle, the more people are probably concealed, or drugs. Same thing with small cars, if you have a small car, fewer people are going to be smuggled.

Does that make sense?

Q. Yeah, I think so, but I'm not entirely sure. So let me just try to tell you what I'm understanding and you can correct me where I'm wrong.

It seems like what you're saying is all cars can be used to smuggle drugs and people; is that right?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. But bigger vehicles are more suspicious because they can fit more drugs or more people, is that fair?
 - A. Well, I wouldn't say more suspicious.
 - Q. Okay.

- A. You know, I would say that, again, it goes back to the officer's experience and his training. And in this case, from the report that I read -- or not the report, but the video that I watched of Deputy Babb --
 - Q. And when you say video that you watched, what

video are you referring to?

- A. That be would the body cam video, when it was --
 - Q. Deputy Babb's body cam video?
- A. Deputy Babb's body cam video, when it's in the front of his car on the dash, he makes a comment about an 18-wheeler that they had had that had a lot of people being smuggled in it. That led me to believe that he has some experience with human smuggling, right, and the F-250 that he has stopped on side of the road during this traffic stop is also a vehicle that could be commonly used to smuggle people because of the bed topper, right, it's got a bed topper, it's got heavier suspension.

In combination with that vehicle, with the information that he had prior is -- leads me to believe that he may have been thinking -- and, again, I'm not in his mind, but if I was in his position, I would have been first thinking human smuggling would be the possibility, right, not drug smuggling. But it could have been drug smuggling. Who knows.

- Q. Sure. And do people travel between Houston and South Texas for reasons other than human smuggling and drug smuggling?
 - A. Yes.

2.2

- Q. Okay. And are the majority of people who are traveling between Houston and South Texas involved in drug smuggling or human smuggling?
 - A. No.

2.2

- Q. By your estimate, what percentage of people traveling between Houston and south Texas are involved in either human smuggling or drug smuggling?
- A. That's the unknown question. You know, I can't answer that to be specific. I can tell you the answer that I give people in my training classes.
 - Q. Yes, sir.
- A. That's a common question, believe it or not, even with cops, you know, how many people are smuggling drugs on the highway. From my training and experience, talking with people, interviews, dealing with Mexican drug cartels and mules, you know, I would tell people that for every traffic stop that I'm on, drugs or no drugs, ten loads of dope passed me by. Ten cars loaded with drugs passed me by while I'm on one traffic stop.
- Q. So let's assume for a second traffic is going -- how long would the traffic stop be?
 - A. It depends on the circumstances.
- Q. What's your average traffic stop, nothing found, just generally?
 - A. From my experience or from the experience

Page 126 1 where Deputy Babb was? Ο. From your experience? From my experience, you know, ten minutes is 3 4 actually -- I mean, I would -- I would have them cut loose in ten minutes. 5 Ten minutes. Okay, let's say ten minutes. 6 Q. 7 How many cars would you estimate pass by you in a ten-minute timeframe? 8 9 It depends, again, on the highway. You know, 10 on Interstate 35 north of Georgetown, you know, 11 thousands. So would 1,500 be a reasonable amount? 12 Ο. 13 Α. I would not even venture to say -- I would say it would be more than that. 14 15 Okay. 2000? I have no idea. Ο. 16 It's busy, it's packed. You know, that's --17 you really can't do statistics like that in trying to 18 figure things out because there's a difference in 19 traffic, there's a difference in how many cars pass you 20 on Interstate 35 in south San Antonio compared to 2.1 northern Georgetown. 22 Ο. Okay. 23 So I don't -- I can't really come up with a Α. 24 number.

Sure. How about like a percentage? I'm just

25

Q.

trying to get like a -- get my arms around this.

- A. On how much drugs are being trafficked, is that what we're talking about?
- Q. Yeah. Just like the number of people who are using these highways, would you say like 10 percent of folks driving on I-35 are smuggling drugs or people?

MR. BARRON: Objection, form.

THE WITNESS: I wish we knew.

- Q. (BY MS. HEBERT) Okay. So you just don't have a sense?
- A. If you take into consideration the amount of drugs that are seized by the Department of Justice, the Drug Enforcement Administration, the Homeland Security during their investigations, Border Patrol, on the port of entries, on the checkpoints, by every deputy and officer who stops cars every day in the United States, there -- there are a lot of drugs here.
 - O. Understood.

- A. And that means that a lot of drugs pass you by on the highway.
- Q. Understood. And I guess like I want to focus for a second on -- on folks traveling from -- to and from Houston and South Texas. Would you say the majority of folks traveling to and from Houston and South Texas are smuggling drugs or people?

A. No.

- Q. So somewhere less than half?
- A. Absolutely.
- Q. Okay. Does the fact that Alek drove an F-250 and that he drove between Houston and South Texas mean that Deputy Babb had a reason to extend the traffic stop here?
- A. Well, I don't know that -- no, I don't think he -- the extension is not the issue. I believe that plays a role in his original reasonable suspicion to ask for consent. It played a significant role, in my opinion.
- Q. Help me -- so take a step back there. So you believe the F-250 and the fact that he traveled from Houston to South Texas played a role in his decision to ask for consent; is that correct?
- A. In addition to the prior information that he had?
 - Q. Sure.
- A. It's possible, it's common all of the time that people from Houston go to the Valley to pick up drugs in large vehicles with bed toppers. So do all of them do it, no, of course not. But in this case, he had -- according to the information on the video, he had prior experience with human smuggling.

According --

- Q. And by "he," you're referring to Deputy Babb?
- A. Deputy Babb, I'm sorry, yeah. That's my bad.

So Deputy Babb had experience, in some form or fashion, by his own admission in his video, about a tractor-trailer with a lot of people in it.

Deputy Babb's training records indicate that he had a lot of training for human smuggling through courses. I don't have the, you know, syllabus for those. I don't know what -- what occurred in those trainings, but you can reasonably -- an officer could reasonably say he has some experience with smuggling, human smuggling.

So between his training, his experience of prior human smuggling cases, the information that he had from the officer, or whoever it was he was talking with on the -- on the social media platform, all of that would make a reasonable officer believe that this truck traveling on a one-day turnaround from Houston to Carrizo Springs, staying the night at a hotel and encountering another person there and then traveling back northbound, that would add to the reasonable suspicion to ask for consent to search. That plays a big role.

Q. Understood. Are officers supposed to consider innocent explanations for facts like that, facts about

travel?

A. It's been my experience that I don't know what an innocent explanation is until I either confirm or deny everything going on as a -- as a whole, right. So as I'm on the scene as an officer in these situations, I don't know whether the person is lying to me or not. There are good liars and there are bad liars.

So I can only depend on the circumstances at hand, which, of course, I wasn't there, I wasn't Deputy Babb to see everything going on. But from my training and experience, you know, people are good liars, so I don't take everybody for what they tell me.

- Q. So I guess that prompts the question for me of how should an officer assess the explanation they are given for travel?
- A. Yeah, of course. They should ask questions, you know, where are you going, where are you coming from, you know, why are you there, have you ever been there before, things of those natures to determine whether or not that person regularly goes there for business or personal or they are going to see family, you know.

What I have found in my training and experience before on these stops -- and I'll use Interstate 35 as an example -- is, you know, you stop a

Page 131

guy -- and I had one one day, I stopped a guy north of Georgetown. In fact, it was at the county line, so we're 25 miles north of downtown Austin. And I asked the guy, "Hey, how are you doing today? Where are you going?" "Oh, I'm going from -- I'm going from the Valley and I'm going to Austin," right.

Well, immediately that's a red flag to me, so I'm going to dig into that, right. That's an obvious problem, right. But the --

- Q. When you say "obvious problem," it's an obvious problem because it's inconsistent -- the explanation is inconsistent with their action, is that fair, just to make it explicit?
- A. Of course. He's 25 miles north of Austin, but he tells me he's going to Austin. That's common for drug smugglers. From my training and experience, I would see that all the time. So that would be one of the things that would drive me to ask more questions to them, add that to my piece of the pie, right, in order to build a reasonable suspicion to then ask for consent to search the vehicle, right.

So people lie to you, it's just sometimes some lies are better than others and it's hard to detect. And if you're not -- if you have ever been around a very narcissistic person who is a good liar,

sometimes you can't read it. They are very good.

Q. Yeah, Mr. Fox.

- A. They are very good at covering that. So to answer your question, it takes a long time and a lot of experience for an officer to determine whether that person is telling you the truth or not the truth. And even having a lot of experience, you still miss it, you still miss the fact that they lied to you, you know.
- Q. So in terms of assessing someone's claimed reason for traveling and claimed explanation for things, you look for inconsistencies; is that fair?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. What else do you look for?
- A. Are we talking about an interdiction as a whole?
 - Q. Yeah. I guess, you know, when you're assessing someone's explanation, their innocent explanation, their noncriminal explanation for their behavior, how do you determine whether it's true or not? We talked about inconsistency. What other things do you look for?
 - A. During the interview?
 - Q. Yes, sir.
 - A. Body language. So when you're asking someone a question, they respond to you in a certain way and

Page 133

their body tells you things that you don't know your body is telling you, right. So when you ask the question, you're looking for other things. You're looking for gestures, facial expressions, you're looking for hand movements, body movements.

- Q. It makes me automatically like super conscious of my body language. I'm like, what am I doing?
- A. Yeah, and no matter how -- and that's the thing about it is no matter how hard you try, you know, to control it, you can't. And that makes people uncomfortable, just as you're uncomfortable, right.

 And it's like somebody on the side of the road that's committing a crime, it intensifies, you know.

So think about the drug trafficker who knows they have ten kilos of Coke in the car and they are driving north, their whole plan is, "What am I going to do when I get stopped?" So when that does happen and they are -- they are driven up, they are very on the edge. That's what causes the -- oftentimes you hear they were very nervous, right, they were breathing hard, they had dry lips, they were, you know, avoiding eye contact with me, they were -- all of these things are things that you look for, right.

Now, as you said earlier, a lot of people are just that way, even innocent people, so that's why

Page 134

you have to calm them down. You have to make them realize, "You're not going to jail, this is just a Class C violation." That should help them with their body language. They are not going to exhibit these things anymore, you know, that you would pick up on to determine whether what they said was a lie or not a lie, right.

And if that person does calm down, if that person does stop all of these things that the officer is seeing, odds are they were telling the truth. You know, if they continue and they are still doing these things that -- you know, they are stretching or, you know, they are answering a question and they are covering their mouth or hitting their nose, you know, at certain times during the interview, then that is still cause for concern that they are being deceptive.

- Q. How do you know, for body language, what's normal for that person versus a sign of them being nervous or trying to hide something?
- A. Sure. I'm glad you asked. You develop what they call a baseline with nonthreatening questions that have nothing to do with anything illegal. Once you develop that baseline, a person answers a question in a certain way, right.

Page 135

Unbeknownst to them, they are answering,
"Yes, sir, no, sir, yes, ma'am, no, ma'am," right,
we're talking about the weather, the family, things of
that nature. In their mind, they know that the, "Do
you have drugs" question is coming, so their body is
starting to tense, their blood pressure is going up,
maybe their carotid artery starts to pop, you know,
they start getting veins in their head that are popping
because their blood pressure is going up, they start
breathing more. That's -- that's noticeable to an
officer trained to see it.

When that question does come that "Yes, ma'am" or "no, ma'am" turns into a lot of different things. It could be no answer at all. It could be a nervous laugh, "No, of course not, no, I've never used drugs in my life." That's off of baseline. That's not the "yes, ma'am, no, ma'am." That is now something that triggered this guy's response because then you go to, "Do you have any dead bodies in the car?" "No, sir." "Do you have this --" so they go back to baseline.

Now, that in itself doesn't mean anything unless you go back and confirm it again. That's just something that you pick up on. So then your interview should be something nonstressful, go back and confirm

that their baseline is "yes, sir, no, sir" and then when you come back to that question and start talking about that more, they are going to go back to the "no, man," you know, and try to change the subject or do whatever they are doing. That is something that I want to look into a little bit more, whatever that topic is.

So that's how I determine whether or not a person is being truthful with me and the things that I look for during that interview and the baseline.

Q. Okay. Let me summarize what I'm taking away from that and you can tell me what I miss and what I don't get right.

You -- in your interviews, or in good interviews, you -- an officer will move between nonthreatening questions and questions that are investigatory in nature and compare the responses to those questions.

Am I understanding that correctly?

A. Yes.

- Q. And if the two -- if the behaviors in response to the two types of questions are different, that raises a red flag?
- A. It does. It doesn't mean that they are doing anything illegal, right? It's just telling you that you need to revisit whatever that was, right. There

Page 137 1 could be some legitimate reason behind it, you know. 2 Maybe there's not, right. And would you say most of the drivers that 3 4 are -- let me rephrase that. 5 When an officer walks drivers through the alternating nonthreatening investigatory questions, 6 7 would you say that most drivers are unaware of what the officer is doing there? 8 9 Oh, yeah, they don't know. 10 I want to go back to your report, and just Q. 11 take a look at the third paragraph down. And can you 12 just review this paragraph for a second and I'll ask you some questions? 13 14 Α. That starts with "Deputy Babb"? 15 Yes, sir. Q. 16 Α. Okay. 17 Ο. Is there anything illegal in driving from 18 Houston to a remote area of Texas? 19 Α. No. 20 Okay. Is there anything illegal in driving 2.1 from Houston to a remote area and meeting a woman? 22 Α. No. 23 Is there anything illegal in driving from Ο. 24 Houston to a remote area and staying at a Holiday Inn?

25

Α.

No.

- Q. Meeting a woman at a Holiday Inn?
- A. Nope.

- Q. Are the majority of people driving from Houston to a remote area in Texas driving to stash houses?
- A. In the mind of who? In a criminal interdiction, you know, an officer that's looking for drug smugglers, it's a big red flag, right. Houston to the Valley is a big red flag, only because Houston is known as such a destination for large quantities of drugs, right.

So how the drug industry works is it comes across the border into Texas and, many times, the drugs are stashed at a location, big bulk quantities, or a lot of people. A lot of times it's in a rural area, right, and they don't want the cops around.

So the vehicle coming from Houston to the Valley, going to a hotel and then leaving a hotel to go to a remote area with another person that they met at the hotel and then come back to the hotel and then leave and go back to Houston, and that's a one-day turnaround, that's suspicious to an officer, a criminal interdiction officer.

And to answer the question, does that occur a lot, yes, that is a big -- that happens the

majority of the time with drug smuggling cases, right, and officers know that. That's common knowledge within the narcotic investigation world, right.

So that puts more weight on the officer that knows that, that gives more credibility to what he's seeing and makes him want to either confirm or dispel that vehicle is involved simply from those factors.

- Q. Sure. I guess my natural response to that is to think about all of my -- all of my friends who work in the oil and gas industry and who are out in south Texas all the time staying at hotels and going out to remote areas.
 - A. Oh, yeah.

- Q. And that's just a lot of what my friends do.
- A. Uh-huh, it's normal.
- Q. Yeah. So, I guess, is that something an officer should consider when evaluating folks who are going from Houston to a remote area of Texas, whether those folks are going for innocent work?
- A. Well, the majority of the people doing that are going for innocent work, but the officer doesn't know that, right, until he talks with them. But once he talks with that person, just as we said earlier, you know, with Mr. Fox here, that person that's

Page 140

legitimately in an oil field truck driving north on the interstate that gets stopped for a traffic violation, they are not going to exhibit anything to that officer that he will even ask for consent to search the truck.

So, typically, they won't even -- they won't even get searched as far as, you know, ask for consent to search. Nothing. Just like the scenario you gave me with Mr. Fox with the two kilos of meth in your car, they would have never known he had two kilos of meth in his car because it was normal, right, it's normal. They work for an oil company, they answered all of the questions, you know, they stayed at the hotel -- because an oil company worker that works there typically is in the hotel more than one night. They are there a lot, right, not just one time to the Valley. So it's normal, you just have to decide that as you, you know, talk to the people.

Now, I want to address this oil field worker issue that you brought up with your friends, or people that you know, not necessarily your friends. But the drug cartel commonly uses oil field vehicles as smuggle vehicles. It is common. I've seen a lot of them. You know, they smuggle the drugs in the oil tanks, the fuel cells, you know, I've gotten cocaine and marijuana out of fuel cells in the back of these

trucks.

In the case of -- in this case -- and I'll go ahead and tell you this -- in this case, there were two bottles in the back of this truck that Mr. Schott had, right, two yellow bottles. I've gotten drugs out of those bottles, I've gotten cocaine out of those, right. That is a big red flag to me because that is commonly used by drug smugglers in oil field type trucks going northbound on I-35.

So all of those factors fit Mr. Schott's vehicle, right. So it doesn't mean he's a drug smuggler, but it means that drug smugglers commonly use innocent businesses to cover their activities. And it's up to that officer to stop that vehicle and either confirm or dispel that that's a drug trafficker or if it's a person just working.

- Q. Sure. And we have been talking about I-35 and Houston as like the two monikers, the two things. But would you say that everything we are talking about is the same for any major Texas city and any major highway?
- A. It differs in the drug industry because

 Houston is just such a large city, right, and there's a

 lot of drugs that go to Houston. Dallas is a very

 large city, a lot of drugs go to Dallas. Fort Worth,

you don't see as much, right. There are loads of drugs that go there, I've gotten loads of drugs going to Fort Worth on I-35, but not as much as Dallas.

So the bigger cities are often known as being the places where the cartels like to set up shop. That's where they have got their people working to receive the drugs, right. So does every major city have them, sure, they do. But being this is in proximity to Mexico, Houston and Dallas are just -- they are just the biggest ones for this next stop in the process of drug trafficking.

Q. Understood.

MR. BARRON: It's 12:15 and we're nearly at three hours. Do you want to take lunch now or keep going?

MS. HEBERT: Let's go for a couple more minutes because I have a good -- like once we're through this section, it will make a natural, I think, break if we can finish off.

MR. BARRON: Sure.

Q. (BY MS. HEBERT) I want to go to the fourth paragraph and -- so one, two, three, four on page 28, and it's the final sentence. I'm going to read it for you so we all will know where we are.

"In the case under review, a reasonable

Page 143 officer with the same training and experience as Deputy 1 2 Babb would have believed the F-250 driven by Schott was capable -- well, was cable -- " 3 4 Α. That was a misspelling. I meant to tell you 5 about that one earlier too, sorry. That's okay. I normally read straight 6 Q. 7 through. Let me start again. "In the case under review, a reasonable officer with the same training and 8 9 experience as Deputy Babb would have believed the F-250 10 driven by Schott was cable of transporting -- " 11 Α. "Was able," it's supposed to be "able," I'm 12 sorry. 13 Ο. "-- large quantities of drugs and/or people." Did I read that correctly? 14 That's true. 15 Α. 16 Okay. And "cable" should have been "able"? Ο. 17 Α. Yes, ma'am. 18 Q. Okay. 19 I apologize. Α. 20 No, that's okay. And I think that answers my Ο. 21 question because I wasn't quite sure what the --22 Α. Oh, I'm sorry. 23 That's helpful. Q. And I did recognize that earlier and I had 24 Α. 25 that, you know, and I just -- it was one of the

misspells that I had.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Yeah. So, I mean, I guess just to put a fine point on it then, this sentence, you're saying that an officer in the shoes of Deputy Babb would have believed that an F-250 was able to transport large quantities of drugs and people?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
 - O. Okay. Just want to make sure I got it.
 - A. I understand.
- Q. Let's skip to paragraph 11, which is page 30, and it's the first full paragraph on page 30. It starts "Deputy Babb."

Do you see that part?

- A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. And I want to look at the last sentence in that paragraph and I'm going to read it. "Rodriguez versus United States focused on extension of a traffic stop in order to obtain the reasonable suspicion to call for a K9 to search a vehicle, which wasn't applicable in this case."
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
 - Q. Did I read that correctly?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. Okay. As I understand this sentence, you concluded that the case of Rodriguez versus United

States did not apply to this particular case.

Am I understanding that correctly?

A. Well, I'm going to put on the record I'm not an attorney, right. I can't determine what case law says or not says. But what happens is law enforcement has to look at case law to guide them in future, you know, cases, right. So as part of our training, as part of our experience, you know, we oftentimes train officers on case law. However, case law can only be determined or -- you know, by a judge, right, an attorney, someone smarter than me, right.

However, this case with Rodriguez I believe in. I have actually had very -- I have experience with this case because I always believe that an officer should develop reasonable suspicion before asking for consent to search a car, okay, I have always instructed that.

Unfortunately, throughout my career, there have been officers who have attempted to take criminal interdiction cases, turn them into consensual encounters and then, you know, have somebody stopped on the side of the road and say, "Oh, you're free to go, here's your warning," let them walk back to the car, "Oh, by the way, you know, I'm a police officer and my duty is to look for drugs and contraband. Can I search

your car?"

2.2

When instructors would come to Williamson County and they would teach that, it took me a year to clean that up and to get these officers back to doing what they should be doing, and that being don't ask for consent to search the car unless you've got reasonable suspicion to do so. You're wasting your time with the innocent public, right. Don't do it. I was happy that Rodriguez came down because that clarified it from the Supreme Court for everyone that you need to have reasonable suspicion before you ask for consent when you search a car. I believe in the Rodriguez case.

- Q. Okay. So help me understand why you conclude it doesn't apply here.
- A. Because this case, this traffic stop is more than just a cold traffic stop. There was prior information. And in Deputy Babb's mind, at his level of training, his level of experience, as we talked about earlier, he believed, in my opinion, from his videotape and from the reports, he believed that the information that he received through that WhatsApp was credible.

From his training and experience, as he said on his video about the human smuggling, he believed that truck could have been used in human

smuggling. I truly believe that's where -- that's where this investigation was going to begin with.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So there are many things that transpired that are credible or, in his mind at that time, that lead to reasonable suspicion before he even stops the truck, and that being the things we covered earlier, right. So he's received information -- and I've already covered this once -- he received information from someone -- do I need to go over it again?

- Q. We can deal with it more specifically later. But he got information?
- Yeah, he got the information that the truck Α. came up I-35, it was the same license plate, it was the same truck, it was a truck with a bed cover that, in his experience, he believed could be used for human There was probable cause to stop the truck smuaalina. or, in his mind, a traffic violation. He stops the truck -- do you want me to continue with the -- what I believe the reasonable suspicion to lead up -- once he stops the truck, he asks the passenger -- or he asks Mr. Schott about where he was coming from. You know, he talked about the -- you know, sleepy -- he talked about the sleepy thing and Mr. Schott made a comment about he stayed in a hotel last night. Well, that's subjective and could've been subjective in an officer's

mind.

(Discussion off the record)

THE WITNESS: It could have been subjective in an officer's mind at the time, right, because when I listen to that, from my training and experience, that meant something, that confirmed to me the information that he had received from the WhatsApp, that he actually went down for a one-day turnaround, which is big, coming from Houston to the Valley, it adds to the reasonable suspicion, right.

So I said that in this paragraph because all of these things happened before he even put Mr. Schott in the front seat of the car, and they can all be articulated as to why an officer of the same experience, the same training would have believed he had reasonable suspicion to ask for consent.

So that's why I think that the Rodriguez case is not applicable here because that happened all within three minutes and 30 seconds, I believe, of the traffic stop. He had all of those things already. That's where it's important to -- in this particular case, the previous information that he had that he believed was credible in his mind is important. It was not just a cold stop.

Q. Understood. Let's skip to paragraph -- let's

Page 149 1 skip to paragraph 8, which is --2 What page would that be? I wrote numbers on them just because I was 3 Ο. 4 having a hard time. I knew we would want to talk --I'm not hallucinating those. 5 MR. BARRON: Those are your numbers? 6 7 MS. HEBERT: Those are my numbers. MR. BARRON: I'm not hallucinating. 8 9 MS. HEBERT: So that's why I'm like, 10 okay, paragraph 8, which is where. 11 Q. (BY MS. HEBERT) So 29, page 29, and the 12 fourth paragraph down, it starts, "In the case of Rodriguez versus United States." 13 Uh-huh. 14 Α. I'm going to read the first sentence. 15 Q. 16 Α. Okay. 17 Ο. "In the case of Rodriguez versus United 18 States, 575 U.S. 348 (2015), extension of traffic stop, 19 the United States Supreme Court ruled that a traffic 20 stop could not be unreasonably delayed to develop 21 reasonable suspicion in order to call for a K9 to 22 search a vehicle." 23 Did I read that correctly? 24 Α. Yes, ma'am. 25 What does "unreasonably delayed" mean as you Q.

use that term there?

A. It goes back to the example I gave you before when I would have to clean up these trainings, right. You're delaying a person when you stop the person, you've talked with them, you tell them they they are free to leave, they can go and then you try to continue the traffic stop, you know, and then they say no, because that's what happened in the Rodriguez case. They say no. What are your options as a police officer? None, let them go, right?

So that would be delaying -- if you told them, "No, you're going to wait here until I get a dog," they have delayed that person in order to get the K9 there. That's my opinion.

- Q. Okay. And if a traffic stop is not extended because of reasonable suspicion, how long should the traffic stop go?
- A. A normal, everyday traffic stop, you can get it done in ten minutes.
- Q. Okay. The same paragraph, I want to look at the second sentence here. It's -- I'll read it, just for sake of clarity.
 - A. Thank you.
- Q. "Considering the totality of the circumstances faced by Deputy Babb, an officer with the same

experience and training would believe, under the same set of circumstances faced by Deputy Babb, that articulable facts existed and that further investigation would confirm or deny the suspicions perceived by Deputy Babb."

Did I read that correctly?

A. Yes, ma'am.

- Q. And when you wrote, "The same set of circumstances faced by Deputy Babb," what did you mean?
- A. It goes back to the level of experience that Deputy Babb had is that particular time, right. As I said earlier, every officer has -- you know, they learn things as they go throughout their career. And at that particular time, Deputy Babb believed that it was a credible stop, the information was credible, so therefore, in his mind, with his experience, he did everything according to policy and just as any other officer that I have seen in the past do, including myself, I have done the same thing. So that's nothing inconsistent with standard law enforcement.
- Q. Understood. And how did you determine the circumstances of what Deputy Babb believed? Is it fair to say you got facts from Deputy Babb's testimony?
- A. So it goes back to the video, the body cam video of Deputy Babb.

Q. Sure.

A. The reports -- well, I guess I would say the deposition, more so, that you did with him that I read where there's way more detail than this report.

From those two things combined and from my training and experience of being an officer that's had similar circumstances, I can understand -- from his level of training and from where he was at that particular time, I can understand why he did what he did. Obviously, I don't know that for certain, I'm not Deputy Babb, I'm not in his head and I can't, you know, speculate on what he was thinking. But it is consistent with, you know, what I've done in the past.

- Q. Sure. And just so that I'm clear, you reviewed Deputy Babb's body camera and you reviewed his deposition testimony to evaluate what Deputy Babb believed?
- A. Correct, or what a reasonable officer would believe in Deputy Babb's position, more or less. I mean, obviously, again, I'm not Deputy Babb, I can't say what Deputy Babb believed, right. It's just from the position that he was in at that particular time.
- Q. Understood. I think that this is a great spot for a lunch break.

MR. BARRON: Very good.

Page 153 1 (Lunch recess from 12:29 p.m. to 2 1:37 p.m.) 3 (BY MS. HEBERT) So we are back on the record 4 after lunch. A couple of form questions that I always have to ask. 5 6 Did you talk to anybody about this case 7 during the lunch break? Α. 8 No. 9 Great. So let's look back at your report, Ο. 10 which we have marked as Exhibit 111. Let's go to 11 page 1, the official page 1, and I'm going to skip to the second paragraph on this first page and I'll read 12 13 this sentence. "My opinions are based on the 14 documents, recordings and sworn depositions provided to me in this case to date, as well as my experience, 15 16 training, education and research in the field of law 17 enforcement." 18 Did I read that correctly? Yes, ma'am. 19 Α. 20 Okay. Did you do any new research in forming 21 an opinion in this case? 22 Α. No, ma'am. 23 Okay. So would it be fair to say there were Ο. two buckets of information you considered in forming 24 25 your opinion, the evidence and information about this

Page 154 case that you had and your law enforcement training and 1 2 experience? 3 It took me a minute to track with what you're 4 doing, but I'm a visual person so I'm going with you. 5 Got it. Anything else that you did in forming Q. your opinions here? 6 7 Α. No, not that I can remember. Ο. Did you meet with Deputy Babb? 8 9 Absolutely not. Α. 10 Did you meet with any Bexar County Sheriff's Q. 11 officers? 12 Α. Absolutely not. 13 Did you conduct any site visits? Ο. I did not. 14 Α. 15 Did you do any testing? Q. 16 I did not. Α. 17 Ο. Okay. Let's skip to page 5 of your report. 18 Do you see the header "Research and Review," that's 19 where I'm looking on page 5? 20 Α. Yes, ma'am. 2.1 And it goes -- there's a list of items that 0. 22 goes from page 5 to page 6. 23 Do you see that? 24 Α. Yes, ma'am. 25 Does the list on pages 5 through 6 contain Q.

everything that you reviewed in forming your opinion in this case?

A. Yes, ma'am.

- Q. Okay. No other documents?
- A. No other documents.
- Q. No other recordings?
- A. No other recordings.
- Q. Okay. And am I correct to say that these -there's a list of 23 items here, with some of those
 items containing multiple subcategories of things?
 - A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. Okay. And it appears to me that items 1 through 11 are either Texas statutes or case law; is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. How did you identify these sources of law, for a lack of a better descriptor, of one through 11, as relevant in this case?
- A. Texas Transportation Code is where traffic violations are found. The Texas Code of Criminal Procedures guides -- governs law enforcement. The case law is case law that is -- I have found through my training and experience or my research that are relevant to drug interdiction cases or cases that are similar to this one.

Q. Got it. So how did you get copies of these cases?

A. Much of that is from research online. I did not use any case law that I had previously, but many of these cases I have reviewed. You know, Terry versus Ohio, Whren versus United States, all of these cases are cases that we have used in training before and they are cases that, you know, I mean, I'm more familiar with than others.

But in order to keep up with current case law that may have changed, then obviously I do review on the Internet and I find them on the Internet.

- Q. Understood. And when you do review on the Internet, do you read summaries of the cases or the cases themselves or something else?
- A. I read the entirety of the case, for the most part, depending on which one it is. If I find that it's not relevant, then, of course, I won't continue to read it.

But in these particular cases, I try to read -- I'm not going to say I read every one verbatim. I couldn't say that. I do read summaries in a lot of them, but if I find them applicable to the situation at hand, then I'll take more time to read -- read more into the case law, read the whole thing. It's

confusing, I'm not an attorney and, you know, it's Greek to me a lot of times. That's what you guys' specialty are, as far as being an attorney, and I'm not an attorney. But I do enjoy reading it just for it to benefit my knowledge.

- Understood. Did you -- so the cases that are Q. listed here in this list on page 5, did you read all of these cases in their entirety?
- I can't say that I read every one in their entirety, no.
 - Q. I want to ask you a question about No. 11. Do you have access to Westlaw?
 - Α. Not Westlaw, no, ma'am.
- Okay. So how did you obtain a copy of United 0. States versus Redrick?
- So Redrick, I believe, is the California case, if I'm not mistaken, or it's out of California, if I'm not mistaken. I believe that I found that case through -- it may have been from the Institute of Justice website. If I'm not mistaken, that's where I found that case.
- Ο. Okay. So you consulted the Institute for Justice website and it was somewhere on there?
 - Yes, ma'am. Α.
 - Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

- A. It was either a website or it could have been a video that you guys have done, because I believe you guys did a video that I reviewed.
- Q. Oh, okay, I see what you're saying. 14G,
 YouTube video by Institute for Justice dated June 6th,
 2023.
 - A. Yes, ma'am.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- O. Is that what you're talking about?
- A. Yes, ma'am. So I believe that's where I saw the case of United States versus Redrick, which I found interesting because I've never heard of it before.
 - Q. Sure.
 - A. So I thought that I would read it.
 - Q. And then you obtained that case from Westlaw?
 - A. No, I didn't obtain anything from Westlaw.
- Q. Okay. So did you read this United States versus Redrick case?
- A. I read a lot of the case. I don't think that I -- I don't think that case -- I don't think I commented on that case anywhere in my report. Maybe I did. I may be mistaken.
- Q. Page 30, second full paragraph, did you discuss United States versus Redrick in this portion of your report?
 - A. Let me read the paragraph, if you don't mind.

Page 159 1 Sure, take your time. 0. Oh, I was on the wrong paragraph, I'm sorry. I was on the second paragraph, not necessarily the 3 4 complete paragraph. Sorry, I know it's a little tricky. 5 Q. Yes, ma'am, I did write that and I did review 6 Α. 7 that case. Okay. So did you read that case in its 8 0. 9 entirety? 10 Α. No. 11 Q. Okay. I want to look at -- go back to page 5. 12 Sorry, I'm jumping around a little. 13 That's okay. No, I appreciate you pointing Α. that out. Okay. 14 15 And look at item 13 and you see the title 16 "Deposition" is there? 17 Α. Yes, ma'am. 18 For each of these depositions, did you review 0. the entirety of these depositions? 19 20 Α. I did. 21 Okay. And for Martin Molina, I see that 0. 22 there's a date of 04/18/2024. 23 Do you see that? 24 Α. Yes, ma'am. 25 Did you review one deposition transcript for Q.

Deputy Molina?

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

- A. I believe there were two for Deputy Molina.
- Q. Okay. But that second deposition transcript isn't listed here?
- A. It is not. It may be -- there also is not a parentheses at the end, so I may have -- that may be an omission on my part, a mistake on my part.
- Q. And there are one, two, three, four, five, five people listed here under the title "Depositions."

 Is that fair?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. So did you only review depositions from these five individuals listed here on page 5 of your report?
- A. Yes, ma'am. These are the five depositions that I reviewed, yes, ma'am.
- Q. So if there are other depositions in this case you did not read those?
 - A. Not for purposes of my report, no, ma'am.
- Q. Okay. I'm going to hand you what has been previously marked Exhibit 49. Would you mind pulling that out? And before we get to that -- go ahead and pull that out.

Before we get to that, if we did not cite
United States versus Redrick -- Redrick from the Eighth
Circuit in our video, where would you have gotten that

case?

A. At that particular time, that was early in my research of this case, so I was trying to catch up with case law, any new case law that may be relevant. So I Google search and I get on and then I'll read case law and then see if there's any other case law that is, you know, mentioned within that case law that the judgment, the summary judgment was made, so then I'll jump to that case law and read it.

So odds are I could have seen that same case in other -- within other case law or opinions, but in all transparency, the first time I saw it, I think, is whenever I looked at your website and I watched the video on a PowerPoint slide that had something about Redrick. And I'm like, "Oh, that's new. I didn't -- I didn't know anything about that."

- Q. Sure. And -- but you can't say, sitting here today, whether you got this United States versus

 Redrick from our PowerPoint or from Google searching?
- A. I don't remember specifically. I just know that that's the two ways that I -- I would have found it.
- Q. Understood. Okay. Let's look at exhibit -- what has previously been marked Exhibit 49. And I have to get it for myself now. Take a -- take a second to

Page 162 1 review it. Α. Yes, ma'am. If you need to spend some time reviewing a 3 4 couple of pages here, I'm happy to go off the record for a minute. 5 It will take a second because I just want to 6 Α. 7 read and see what it is. MS. HEBERT: Let's just take a 8 9 five-minute break, Donna. 10 (Recess from 1:50 p.m. to 1:56 p.m.) 11 Q. (BY MS. HEBERT) We took a short break. We're 12 back on the record. Did you have a chance to review 13 what's been previously marked Exhibit 49? 14 Α. I did, yes. 15 And have you ever seen this document before? Q. 16 I have not. Α. 17 Q. Okay. Were you provided copies of all of the 18 exhibits that were reviewed during the depositions for the deposition transcripts you reviewed? 19 20 Α. No, I was not. 21 Let's go back to your report. Do you see Ο. 22 item 14 on page 5? 23 Α. Yes, ma'am. And I see the header "Videos." 24 Q. 25 Do you see where I'm looking at?

Page 163 I do. 1 Α. 0. Are these videos that you viewed in forming your opinion? 3 4 Α. It is. Okay. For the first one, the private dash cam 5 Q. of Alek Schott, what dash cam are you referring to? 6 7 Α. That would be a one-minute clip or so of a video from -- that was made from within his vehicle. 8 9 Okay. And was there only a single recording that you reviewed from Mr. Schott's dash cam? 10 11 Α. Yes. 12 B -- body-worn camera, BWC, Joel Babb, do you 13 see that? 14 Α. I do. 15 Did you review one recording from Deputy 16 Babb's body-worn camera? 17 Α. Body-worn camera as far as video from the 18 patrol car, yes, with Mr. Schott, yes. 19 Okay. So you reviewed one recording from Q. 20 Deputy Babb's body-worn camera? 2.1 Α. That's correct. From Deputy Gereb, one recording from Deputy 22 Ο. 23 Gereb? 24 Α. Yes, ma'am, one. 25 THE REPORTER: What was the name?

Page 164 1 MS. HEBERT: Gereb, G-E-R-E-B. 2 THE WITNESS: Well, there may be two on I have marked two videos for that one, 3 4 volume 1, dated 2/27/24, and volume 2 taken 8/1/24 -oh, that's depositions, I'm sorry, I'm sorry. That's 5 my mistake. 6 7 (BY MS. HEBERT) No, no, that's okay. Q. So 14C, BWC, Deputy Joe Gereb, you 8 reviewed one --9 10 One video, yes, ma'am. Α. 11 Q. -- from him? 12 And exhibit D, same, one video? Yes, ma'am. 13 Α. Any of these categories, the 14 -- the videos 14 0. listed there that were multiple videos? 15 16 Not to my recollection, no. Α. 17 Ο. Okay. Other than speaking with the lawyers in 18 this case, did you speak to anybody else in forming 19 your opinion in this case? 20 Α. No, ma'am. 21 Other than providing you copies of documents 0. of evidence in this case, did Mr. Leake or Mr. Barron 22 23 or their colleagues provide you copies of documents to review? 24 25 Other than what we have here? Α.

- Q. No. Other than evidence that relates to the facts of this case, did they provide you any of those documents?
 - A. No.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Okay. Other than providing you documents, did defendants' attorneys identify any assumptions that you should rely on in forming your opinion in this case?
 - A. No, ma'am.
- Q. I don't see any text messages listed in the items on pages 5 through 6.

Am I understanding that correctly?

- A. Text message specifically, no, ma'am.
- Q. Did you review any text messages in forming your opinion in this case?
 - A. Not in forming my opinion, no.
- Q. And in -- otherwise? I'm not talking about your text messages with your kids or your partner or anything like that.
- A. I understand, I understand. No, I don't remember any text messages, per se. I'm trying to think if any of the exhibits that came with that had text messages. I don't -- I don't recall using any text messages in formulating my opinion in the report, so I guess the answer is no, I don't recall.
 - Q. That's fine. Did you watch body-worn camera

of footage -- let me rephrase that.

Did you watch body-worn camera footage of any traffic stops, other than the traffic stop at issue with Mr. Schott?

- A. Let me make sure I understand the question.
- Q. Yeah, so I'm just asking about other traffic stops than the traffic stop with Mr. Schott. Did you review body-worn camera footage from any other traffic stops besides the one between Deputy Babb and Alek Schott?
 - A. From Bexar County Sheriff's Office?
- Q. Yes.

- A. I believe I may have asked -- I can't remember. I may have asked for previous traffic stops just to get an idea of how they make their traffic stops, but I don't recall -- I don't recall whether I reviewed those or not. I just don't remember that. They were not part of my report, no.
- Q. Okay. By "they," do you mean Bexar County Sheriff's Office?
- A. No, no, no. The attorneys that have hired me, Greenhill & Wright.
- Q. Right, but let me just make sure I understand that. So when you asked someone, the attorneys in this case for additional footage --

A. Correct, to review, right.

- Q. -- to review of traffic stops other than the one with Mr. Schott?
- A. I don't recall whether I did or I didn't.

 They are not part of this investigation. I don't -that's what I'm saying is you asked a question that
 has -- you know, I'm trying to review in my head
 everything that I reviewed, which is a lot. So -- and
 I don't want to be dishonest with you and I don't want
 to, you know -- but I don't recall seeing any other
 videotapes from any other stops.
- Q. And if you did, would you list them here and --
- A. Yeah, I would list them if they were -- if they were part of the investigation, but I don't remember that. I don't remember getting any.
- Q. Okay. So as we sit here today, you do not remember seeing any other videos of other traffic stops?
- A. No, not from Bexar County Sheriff's Office, no.
 - Q. That's fine.

One question I have for you, we talked a lot earlier this morning about the stop of Mr. Schott and the additional information that Deputy Babb may

have known from another law enforcement officer.

Putting that information that Deputy Babb may have known from another officer kind of aside --

A. Okay.

- Q. -- if it had just been -- I think you used the term "cold stop"?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. Would your opinion on whether Deputy Babb had reasonable suspicion or not change?
- A. I will respond to that just from my training and experience. An officer such as Deputy Babb, at his level of experience and training, could have believed reasonable suspicion existed. Again, I'm not Deputy Babb, I don't -- I don't want to speculate on what he thought, what he saw because obviously he probably saw and thought things that no one else -- no one is thinking about, none of us were even thinking about.

But under the same circumstances, stopping a truck for a valid traffic violation, having the responses that Mr. Schott made to the questioning that Deputy Babb had asked regarding weapons, his travel, the fact that he stayed in a hotel, the fact that he was coming from Houston and he was going to Carrizo Springs on a one-day turnaround to return back to Houston, the fact that Mr. Schott had brought up and

really avoided questioning about this female, there seemed to be within the reports and within the interviews that I reviewed on the body camera, there seemed to be something hidden regarding this female.

So the answer is yes, he would have had reasonable suspicion to ask more questions and to ask for consent to search, based on those things.

- Q. Okay. I think it might be helpful to examine the next section of your report, page 6. Do you see the title "Sequence of Events"?
 - A. I do.

- Q. What is this section of your report?
- A. It's basically the way that I review the case. I read the depositions, I watch the video footage, everything that I have that I'm going to review.

And I made the report a sequence of events according to what I learned from everything that I reviewed. It's kind of a -- just a way to allow the reader to understand kind of an overview, a summary, if you would, of the case, from the understanding that I had from what I reviewed. It is not the actual events that occurred. I mean, sure, there are other things that could have occurred that I'm not aware of. I'm not there and I would be speculating on that. It is just from the things that I reviewed as part of my

report.

- Q. Sure. Can we briefly go to page 12 and do you see the header "Observations and Expert Opinion"?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. And do you see the second sentence -- I'm going to read it so we're clear -- "The complete summary of facts is documented within the report."

Did I read that correctly?

- A. You did.
- Q. And is that complete summary of facts referring to the sequence of -- sequence of events section?
- A. It's -- no, ma'am. I mean, it's -- it's the facts of my entire report. It says I understand what happened during this traffic stop. The sequence of events is just something that -- like I said, is something, from my understanding, from the things that I reviewed, was pertinent to this investigation, to my -- asking for my opinion on what happened here, right.

There are many other things that did happen, that could have happened, that I don't know that happened and, of course, I can't testify to that and that would be all speculation on my part. So, no, that is not where everything came from. It's the

totality of my report is what that means.

- Q. Okay, I get it. So you're -- what you're saying to me is there might be facts that are somewhere in your report that are in this sequence of events --
 - A. Of course.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. -- section, but the sequence of events section and the report facts that are in your report are the facts that you found pertinent, I think is the word you used --
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. -- or relevant to your analysis. Is that fair?
 - A. From my training and experience, yes, ma'am.
- Q. Okay. But there are facts that you decided weren't relevant. Is that also fair?
 - A. Well --
 - Q. I mean, let's put it this way.
- A. I mean, again, it would call for speculation on my part because there could be things that are more relevant than what I put in here. There could be things that are not as relevant, maybe not relevant at all. Maybe that's speculation on my part.
- Q. Okay. I guess like, for instance, you reviewed five depositions in this case. Is that fair?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.

- Q. You reviewed eight videos, at least what's listed here. Is that fair?
 - A. Yeah.

- Q. And you didn't summarize every fact from the depositions and the videos and put it in your report. Is that fair?
 - A. Oh, that's true, not everything.
 - Q. So how did you decide what facts mattered?
- A. So I was asked to review this case from the objective of what an officer would do under the same circumstances as Deputy Babb, right. Were his actions on this traffic stop consistent with law enforcement standard, with what officers would do under the same circumstances with the same training. So those are really the facts that I was looking at. Those are the facts that I'm talking about.

Deputy Babb, in my opinion, from everything that I reviewed that's listed that you just mentioned, the facts that I've talked about -- maybe "facts" isn't the best word to put there, you know, if we're talking, you know, terminology. But they are important things, they are important details that I reviewed that were relevant to what happened here.

So I guess we're -- you know, we're debating over this word, "fact," and maybe you and I

just look at the word differently. But these are important observations. This is important facts, as I call them, as to what happened and why Deputy Babb did what he did, from what I could tell from all of the information I got.

- Q. Sure. And I guess from what you just said a little bit, you decided what facts were -- or details were important based on what you thought would help answer what you were asked to do in this case?
- A. Okay. So, as I stated earlier, when we first talked, I am not here to represent Deputy Babb, I am not here to represent anyone other than help the jury understand what happens in drug investigations.
 - O. Sure. And I understand that.
 - A. So --

- Q. I'm not trying to cast aspersions. What I'm just trying to ask -- understand is your methodology for how you got to these facts.
 - A. Uh-huh.
- Q. And that's what -- if you want to phrase it in a different way, like how you got here, how did you get to this summary based on what you reviewed?
- A. Just as I just stated. The training and experience of Deputy Babb at the time that he made this traffic stop compared to my experience, my training,

Page 174 what commonly transpires on traffic stops such as this 1 2 one. That's what I'm talking about. So I want to look at item 14D. 3 Ο. Okay. 4 Α. Okay. So is that a page number? It's back on your -- page 5, this 14D. 5 Q. Do you see where I am? 6 7 Α. I do. It's -- it's this Exhibit 50, the patrol car video? 8 9 Yes, sir. Ο. 10 Okay. Α. 11 Q. And did you watch this telephone conversation 12 between Deputy Babb and Sergeant Gamboa? 13 Α. I did. 14 Do you remember the nature of that Ο. 15 conversation? If I'm not mistaken, it was regarding needing 16 17 to write the report, I believe, if I'm not mistaken. 18 When you say "the report," what do you mean? Q. 19 Write the report for the traffic stop after Α. 20 the fact, I believe on the 22nd or something. 2.1 Okay. So something about the report for this Ο. 22 traffic stop? 23 I'm sorry? Α. 24 Q. So something about the report for this traffic 25 stop. Is that fair?

- A. May have been, yes, I think so. 4/12/2022 --
- Q. Okay.

- A. So what I did, ma'am, and it could be my first time of doing this, right, I just listed everything that I reviewed as part of this investigation whether or not there was anything relevant that I put with something within the report. So I may or may not have put something about this within my main report, but I did review it, which is why I put it under things that were reviewed.
 - Q. Understood.
- A. So that's why I'm having trouble -- unless I have a place within the report that I can refer to and I can better answer your question.
- Q. Understood. And at that point, I was really just asking if you did review it and what you remembered. Based on what I see -- I mean, you can correct me if I'm wrong if you can see it somewhere else -- I don't see where you referenced this conversation that was recorded --
 - A. That would be why.
- Q. -- in your report, and so I guess my follow-up question on that is does that mean that you concluded it wasn't relevant to your analysis?
 - A. More than likely, if I not mention it within

the report and I didn't reference this, then it's something that I didn't consider within the report.

O. So --

- A. So I don't remember exactly what that video was about, so I can't answer the question, right.
- Q. So I guess it would be fair to say that your opinion is not based on that video?
 - A. Yes, that's great.
- Q. And it wasn't something that you considered in making your opinion. You reviewed it --
- A. Well, I may have considered it, but, again, without knowing what exactly transpired -- if I heard that conversation again, you know, if I could hear it, then I would remember.
- Q. Understood. You know, I'm not asking -- I'm not asking you to testify under oath what the content of that conversation is.
 - A. Of course.
- Q. I'm just trying to -- to figure out if you decided not to discuss that conversation in your report, does that mean you found that conversation not to be relevant for the purposes of your opinion?
- A. Well, I'm going to refer back to what I told you. Everything that I reviewed I listed in this.

 Whether or not I found it relevant to the case or not,

I mean, I wanted to be transparent about everything.

Otherwise I wouldn't have put the YouTube for the

Institute of Justice, right.

Q. Uh-huh.

- A. I wouldn't have put that, but that's for transparency's sake, right. So the Institute of Justice video and the YouTube video, the news report video, they are all similar to exactly what you're asking me about that one. I didn't mention them anywhere in the report so, therefore, you know, are they relevant or are they not relevant, I don't know. I reviewed them.
 - 0. Okay.
- A. So I guess the Institute for Justice video is it a good example. Did you find the Institute for Justice video to be relevant for your analysis in forming your opinion?
 - A. No.
- Q. Okay. Did you find the KENS5 news report airing June 7th, 2023 to be relevant for your report?
 - A. No.
- Q. And then did you find the patrol car video dated 4/12/2022 to be relevant for your opinion?
 - A. I don't recall.
 - Q. To your memory, did Deputy Molina testify that

Page 178 he found marijuana in Alek's truck? 1 I don't remember who said it, so I'm going to say no to that answer. But I do recall someone saying 3 4 that they saw shake. 5 Q. Sure. Or marijuana residue, I think is what it was 6 Α. 7 called. Okay. So let's look at page 10 of your report 8 0. 9 and I want to go down to the fifth paragraph, if you 10 count down from the top. And I'm going to read that 11 first sentence. 12 Α. Sure. "Deputy Babb, Molina and Gereb concluded 13 that -- concluded they were unable to find any 14 contraband in the F-250." 15 16 Did I read that correctly? 17 Α. Correct. 18 If you recall someone testifying that they Ο. 19 found shake in Mr. Schott's vehicle why did you write 20 that the three deputies concluded that they were unable 21 to find any contraband? Objection, form. 2.2 MR. BARRON: 23 THE WITNESS: Because it isn't contraband. 24 25 (BY MS. HEBERT) Okay. Q.

- A. It's not prosecutable, just as we discussed earlier with the useable amount of marijuana.
- Q. Okay. So what is your definition of "contraband"?
- A. Something that's prosecutable, something that someone can be arrested for, something that somebody can be prosecuted for. In law enforcement world, like we discussed earlier, that shake or small portions of what is believed to be marijuana, officers are not going to take that. They are not going to recover it, they are not going to field test it. It is just not going to happen, so therefore -- and it's not contraband. No one is going to take it, no one is going to be charged with it. So officers are not going to collect that as evidence.
 - Q. Okay.

- A. That's where I was going with that particular statement.
- Q. Okay. But did you -- let me ask you this.

 Did you include in your report anywhere that an officer testified that he found shake in Mr. Schott's vehicle?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Why not?
 - A. Again, it goes back to the fact that an

officer is not trained to take residual anything, right. I mean, it could be cocaine, it could be methamphetamine, it could be anything, right.

I mean, sometimes on search warrants on houses, when a search warrant is executed in a house, sometimes people will take cocaine and they will just, you know, try to destroy and it goes all in the carpet or wherever it goes. It mixes with the dirt, you know. That would be a similar circumstance. We all know that was probably cocaine, right, but we can't prove it so we can't charge them with it. There's nothing to field test. So is it contraband or is not contraband? You know, so it's not something that's relevant if you can't be charged with it, if that makes sense.

- Q. Sure. And you're -- you're making your determination that it's not relevant because a shake amount is not chargeable in Texas?
 - A. It is not.

- Q. And so is that -- is that a yes, then, you're making the determination that the shake amount is not relevant because shake is not chargeable in Texas?
- A. Well, even if it was -- I mean, you can't even prove that it was marijuana. I mean, it's just from the observation of the officer, whoever saw it, from their training, their experience that that's what they

saw. You know, it may or may not have been marijuana. Don't know.

In relation to this particular investigation, again, you go back to the totality of the circumstances -- and I think I know what you're asking. There's a dog alert in this case by a K9 and that K9 alerted to something. That could have been what the K9 alerted to. Maybe he did, you know. Maybe the person in the vehicle has been smoking marijuana and they have got marijuana oil on their hands and then they touch something and then the dog will react to that as well.

So you just really don't know whether what they saw was actually marijuana or not because there's no field test, they didn't take it, so therefore, no contraband was recovered from the truck.

- Q. Understood. Have you ever been a K9 handler?
- A. I have not been a handler, no.
- Q. Okay. Do you consider yourself an expert in K9 practices?
- A. I consider myself someone who has been a police officer a long time, has watched a lot of dogs, you know, I've worked closely with K9 handlers, I have supervised K9 handlers. So I do have a lot of knowledge of K9s and how they operate, but I am not a

Page 182 1 K9 expert. Okay. Can we look at page 9, second -- well, first full paragraph, it starts, "Prior to the K9 3 4 arriving." 5 Α. Yes, ma'am. Do you see what I'm referring to? 6 Q. 7 Α. Gotcha, yes, ma'am. I'm going to read the last sentence of that 8 Ο. 9 "Deputy Babb was clearly communicating with paragraph. 10 someone within a law enforcement capacity who was in 11 South Texas." 12 Did I read that correctly? 13 Yes, ma'am. Α. 14 Okay. If you wouldn't mind just quickly Q. 15 reviewing the paragraph on page 8 before this and the 16 one after it so that I can ask you what you meant 17 there. 18 Α. Okay. 19 Because I think it's easier if we have a 20 little context. 2.1 "During the 18 minutes of the arrival of the Α. K9"? 22 23 Yes, read there to, you know, the paragraph after it because I just want some clarity on what the 24 25 paragraph meant.

- A. Of course. Do you want me -- let me look at one more paragraph.
 - O. That's fine.
 - A. I'm good now.
- Q. Okay. As I understand this -- this first full paragraph on nine to be communicating, I understand you to be saying that Deputy Babb was texting with someone in the law enforcement -- who worked in the law enforcement capacity in South Texas while he was in the patrol vehicle with Mr. Schott. Am I understanding what you're saying in this portion of your report correctly?
- A. Well, not just in the car, but when he was outside of the vehicle. And he also spoke with him on the phone.
- Q. Sure, and I understand that. But what I'm asking about is would this -- in this context, are you referring to -- when you're talking about Deputy Babb clearly communicating with someone, are you referring to the entirety of all of the communications or just what was happening in the K9 vehicle or in the patrol vehicle?
- A. Yes, ma'am, I understand. As an entirety, of the entire things that I reviewed.
 - Q. Okay.

2.2

A. And it's -- from my training and experience, having worked undercover cases, hotel/motel interdiction cases, things of that nature, and how law enforcement works together with communication, and it was clear to me by listening to this to watching the video to reading the depositions that Deputy Babb was communicating with someone. He had more knowledge than -- somebody was on the ground in Carrizo Springs. He -- they even mentioned the female to him and I believe that was -- at some point, they mentioned the female to him and he asked Mr. Schott about the female.

So that -- that is what led me to believe that there's someone he was communicating with via text message, in addition to I hear him talking on the phone with the guy.

- Q. Okay. Can we go to page 9 and the second paragraph from the bottom and I'll throw a monkey wrench in here. I'm going to read what I'm referring to.
 - A. Sure.
- Q. "Without any verbal confirmation from Deputy Molina that the dog had given a positive alert, Deputy Babb asked Schott to move from the front seat to the back seat, explaining that he was being detained."

Did I read that correctly?

A. Yes, ma'am.

- Q. Okay. So if -- based on your review of the facts, how did Deputy Babb know that there was a reason to move Mr. Schott from the front seat to the back seat?
- A. I can't answer that question. I'm not Deputy Babb. I wasn't there. I have no idea.
- Q. Okay. I think now is a good time to just walk through generally what your methodology was in creating this report. We have talked a little bit about it.

 And can you just walk me through the steps of what you did to create your opinion?
- A. Well, first I reviewed and read the depositions. I believe I read Deputy Babb's -- well, first I reviewed the complaint, I'm sorry, I reviewed the complaint first.

Once I reviewed the complaint, then I reviewed the depositions of Deputy Babb, Deputy Molina, Gereb -- I haven't heard anyone say his name, so I apologize. I don't remember.

- O. I won't tell him.
- A. Deputy Gereb, I think is what it is. Also, I reviewed the body cam videos of Deputy Babb?
 - Q. After you reviewed the depositions?
 - A. After I reviewed the depositions. The first

thing that I did was I read everything. Before I watched any videos, I read everything. I say everything; all of the depositions and everything.

Once I read the depositions, then I went back and I watched the videos and then I compared the videos that I watched from the body cam of Deputy Babb, the video from Mr. Schott's truck, the body cam videos of Deputy Molina, Deputy Gereb to compare them to the -- the complaint and to the depositions. I believe I actually watched a video of the deposition of Mr. Schott.

- Q. Yeah. And I remember that being on your list, too.
- A. Okay. And this is all from memory. And then I reviewed -- I asked for -- from the law office, I asked them to send me the policies and procedures for different topics that I thought were relevant within the investigation to see --
 - Q. Right. So you could evaluate the --
- A. And evaluate whether or not the policy was followed.

I wanted to know whether Deputy Babb had training. They had originally sent me all of the training certificates that Deputy Babb had taken. I reviewed all of the training certificates, I reviewed

the internal affairs complaints, I reviewed the dismissal orders, everything to have to do with internal affairs. I reviewed the initial internal affair complaint -- or investigation prior to the second one.

- Q. Okay. And then to kind of shortcut this, just for your sake --
 - A. Sure.

- Q. -- you reviewed basically everything else that was in -- on page 5 that you already listed out?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And then what did you do after you reviewed those materials?
- A. After I reviewed those materials, then, of course, I researched case law. I wanted to see if there was any new case law out there that I didn't know about, so I researched other case law. I, of course, watched the videos, because I wanted to know who you guys were, from the Institute of Justice, so I reviewed your website, which is where I obtained some case law, I believe.

Once I reviewed all of that case law and I reviewed the complaints and I the reviewed videos and I reviewed the policies by the Bexar County Sheriff's Office, then I decided whether or not Deputy Babb acted

Page 188

in accordance to the policy as I read them, and also if Deputy Babb conducted this traffic stop in the manner as any other officer with the same training, the same experience, under the same conditions that he had and decided whether what he did was justified compared to what other officers would have done under the same circumstances.

And that's the way I viewed that, right.

I could not view that from hindsight, I could not review that from my experience to where I am today because that's not where Deputy Babb was that day at his level of training and experience.

So that was my methodology on determining whether or not the traffic stop was a stop that, you know, was normal, like everyone else makes, or if he violated Mr. Schott's civil rights and that he did something that he shouldn't have done, because I 100 percent believe that people have the right and their freedoms and I have always operated that way and officers don't appreciate other officers that violate people's civil rights. So I'm very passionate about that. So I took Mr. Babb's rights into consideration --

- Q. You mean Mr. Schott's?
- A. I'm sorry, Mr. Schott's, you know, into

consideration. However, Deputy Babb, being a police officer, is only working with what he knows at that particular time and he's making decisions according to what he's seeing -- or an officer, not Deputy Babb, but any officer is making decisions according to what they are seeing realtime and the responses that they are getting at that particular time. And that's the way I viewed this case, that's the methodology I used.

- Q. Okay. So what I kind of heard and understand, based on what you just said, is you reviewed the complaint first, you reviewed the depositions next.

 After you reviewed depositions, you watched the videos in this case and you compared the body-worn camera footage, the dash camera footage and the complaint, and then you reviewed the rest of the documents that you had listed. You did some research on the case law.

 You asked for --
 - A. Policy.

2.2

- Q. -- policies from Bexar County. And then looking at all of that evidence together, you weighed whether Deputy Babb complied with those policies. Is that fair?
- A. I weighed whether or not I could see any inconsistencies within the policies that Deputy Babb, you know, may not have followed, right.

Q. Sure.

A. I'm not Bexar County Sheriff's Office, nor am I an administrator for Bexar County Sheriff's Office to determine whether or not he violated any policies. I can't say that. I can only look at the policy, review it, look at what I saw and what I reviewed to formulate an opinion.

From my training and experience, I know that all departments have different policies, they have different procedures and deputies, they perform their job differently, you know. It's not against policy and it's not against the law, you know, for this officer to do something different than another officer. So I did not see any discrepancies, I didn't see anything that was just off the chart different than another reasonable officer under those same circumstances.

Q. Okay. Let's go to page 11 and the second paragraph and I'm going to just read this section. I think it's two sentences that it might just be helpful to read. "In determining whether the actions or decisions of the involved officers are consistent with generally accepted police practices, I applied a reasonable police officer standard of officers based on training and experience in order to objectively evaluate the totality of the circumstances. The

standard asks what information was knowable to the involved police officers at the time the decision was made and not from 2020 hindsight."

Did I read that correctly?

- A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. Is this what you were just kind of trying to explain to me, I think?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. Okay. Can you explain to me the reasonable police officer standard?
- A. Again, a reasonable officer under the same conditions, I mean, I think we went over earlier how a patrol officer is someone who may not have the same experience or the same knowledge or the same desire to really take a traffic stop and see if there's any other crime associated with that particular traffic stop, right. That would be a patrol officer's standard, right.

A criminal interdiction guy, he has more training, he has more knowledge, he has more experience in determining body language. He knows more about the drug industry. He may have some knowledge of other investigative strategies, such as hotel/motel, things of that nature.

So this particular case was evaluated on

Page 192

those standards of that -- that type of officer, right, not from the view of a patrol officer that knows nothing about criminal interdiction. In fact, when reviewing the deposition of Deputy Molina, you could tell that there's a difference between a K9 officer's standards and the way he operates and the standards of Deputy Babb because Deputy Molina -- Deputy Molina appeared to not have any interest at all in being a criminal interdiction guy. He was focused on the K9s, just as another deputy may focus on child molestation cases, another one may focus on, "I don't want to do anything other than be seen by the public and wave."

It takes each of these personalities in order to effectively police the community. You need all of these people. So there's not one set of standards for quasi "a police officer" because there are so many different things out there that these officers have interest in. That's the reasonableness of that the particular -- that's what I'm trying to refer to.

- Q. Okay, thank you. What did you mean by "objectively evaluate the totality of the circumstances"? How do you do that?
- A. So it goes back to if you were to take this same situation and make it a cold traffic stop, like we

Page 193 talked about earlier --1 2 THE REPORTER: A what? THE WITNESS: A cold stop, a traffic stop 3 4 to where he had no knowledge, then there would be a different set of circumstances, right. Many of the 5 same, but probably fewer. He had no knowledge, you 6 7 know, leading up to the traffic stop, right, underneath a different scenario. 8 9 So you have to objectively look at the 10 totality of the circumstances that Deputy Babb was --11 was dealing with that particular day. Any -- any officer has to -- you have to understand what he was 12 13 thinking, the information that he had at that particular time in order to determine whether he was 14 objectively doing what he was doing. 15 16 Okay. Is your task then to kind of collect 17 all of the information and create an objective picture of what was going on? 18 For myself? 19 Α. 20 Ο. Yes. 21 Α. Sure. 22 Ο. You used the word "knowable." What does 23 "knowable" mean? And which -- where did I use this word? 24 Α. 25 "The standard asked what information was Q.

knowable to the involved police officers."

- A. Sure. That is what I'm referring to when I'm saying he had prior information or it appeared that he was communicating with someone.
 - Q. Uh-huh.

- A. Something that he knew ahead of time before the traffic stop. Also, what he knows from his training, his experience, what he knows from prior interdiction stops that he has made compared to what he's seeing on this stop. Knowable, meaning any training or any knowledge he has for body language, right, seeing nervous behaviors, things of that nature that he knows, that's known to him.
- Q. Okay. So you're looking at specifically the facts and experience -- experiences that Deputy Babb has?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And if Deputy Babb did not have a fact, you would not consider it?

MR. BARRON: Objection, form.

- Q. (BY MS. HEBERT) Let me rephrase. If Deputy Babb had a fact -- or if there was a fact -- I don't know how to rephrase this.
- If there's something that Deputy Babb did not know, would you consider it?

MR. BARRON: Objection, form.

THE WITNESS: Well, again, it goes back to I don't know everything at the time that Deputy

Babb -- I don't know everything that happened there. I can only look to see from the things that I reviewed as to formulate my opinion. So Deputy Babb may or may not have known other things, right.

O. Sure.

- A. So I can't -- that would be a subjective answer. I can't do that.
- Q. Sure. So I guess the following question I have for you is you reviewed the evidence in this case. Did you evaluate each of those pieces of evidence for whether Deputy Babb knew them or not?

MR. BARRON: Objection, form.

THE WITNESS: From -- I guess that's why
I evaluate everything from the perspective of the
officer from that particular time, during the training
and experience that he had during that particular time.

And I know I mentioned earlier that an officer who has more experience, who has been doing something, you know, longer, they may or may not view it the same five years from now that they view it today, right. So a reasonable officer with the same experience as Deputy Babb at that particular time would

have viewed this situation the same.

Q. Sure. So I guess to crystallize what I'm trying to express, if an officer is -- if there's an event and the officer is standing there and the light -- the traffic light is red, but the officer, from where he's standing, couldn't have seen whether the traffic light was red, but you now know the traffic light was red based on traffic cameras at the scene, would you consider the fact that the traffic light was red in evaluating the officer's actions?

MR. BARRON: Objection, form.

THE WITNESS: Again, that's a speculation scenario, right. I can't -- I can't say what the officer saw, if the light was red or from what position he was at as far as perspective, you know, from that particular spot. The only thing I can rely on is what the -- is what the officer puts in the report or what they testify to and I can't -- I can't say what -- what else they saw.

Now, many officers, you know, they -they are really -- I guess we're going to get to the -let's talk about the officer's -- the way he describes
something. You know, everybody has different
terminology, right. So an officer may see something,
but not really -- not really be able to explain it or

put it in legal terms or the way that -- you know what I mean. So -- I'm sorry, so I can't say what an officer -- whether he saw that red light or he didn't see that red light.

- Q. I'm not -- I'm not asking you to. I'm just saying like if the officer said, "I couldn't see the light," would you consider outside facts that said the light was red?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. On page 12, if you wouldn't mind looking at the second paragraph, and I read this paragraph as you saying that you're not going to reference case law in your opinions if you testify at trial. Is that fair?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. What would happen if your understanding of case law was incorrect for your opinion?

MR. SAENZ: Objection, form.

THE WITNESS: If some case law was different than the way I perceived it or I read it, then I believe that, you know, a judge who has the ultimate authority to decipher case law will correct me on that.

Q. (BY MS. HEBERT) And how would a jury know if your conclusions were based on a misunderstanding of

Page 198

the case law if you don't reference case law in your -your testimony?

MR. BARRON: Objection, form.

THE WITNESS: Well, again, I would reiterate that this is one of those subject matters that a law enforcement officer is not an attorney, right, and -- but yet a law enforcement officer must review case law in order to do his job correctly, right. So it kind of puts an officer in a position such as this, to where you must depend upon case law to guide you and to make an opinion or formulate an opinion in this case.

However, not being an attorney, not being a judge, who is actually the ultimate decision on case law and what the law says, it puts an officer in a position. So I can only explain it that way to a jury, that we must depend on case law, right, but I can't really testify to exactly what the case law says because I'm not a judge who is the -- you know, the man --

- O. (BY MS. HEBERT) Understood.
- A. -- or the lady.
- Q. Understood. Let's go to page 12 -- we're already on page 12. I want to look at the Evaluating Training Specific to Criminal Interdiction.

Do you see that header?

A. Yes, ma'am.

- Q. What was your overall conclusion with respect to Deputy Babb's criminal interdiction training? I had a little hard time just like understanding what your conclusion on his training was about.
- Q. Deputy Babb -- as I mentioned earlier, with an officer that is a good candidate for being an interdiction officer -- or not necessarily -- any topic, any officer that's specifically interested in any one topic of law enforcement, they are going to seek training relevant to whatever it is that they want to learn about.

In this case, Deputy Babb's training was primarily for criminal interdiction matters and for human smuggling matters. That's what it seemed like he was interested in from the training materials that I saw, the certificates that I saw. And he had taken a lot of classes on it. I believe I was provided with the 53 certificates of training specialized in narcotic enforcement.

Q. Yeah. And that just makes me -- prompts another question. Can you just quickly going to page 18, and the paragraph that starts, "Deputy Babb had 324 accredited TCOLE hours of specialized

Page 200 1 training"? Α. Yes, ma'am. Are these the same? 3 Ο. 4 Α. Yes, ma'am. Okay. So the 53 certificates represent the 5 Q. 324 hours? 6 7 Α. Yes, ma'am. 8 Ο. Okav. 9 So what I did is I looked at the certificate 10 and the amount of hours either on the certificate 11 itself or his TCOLE record and I totaled the hours up 12 from all of these -- these 53 certificates, and that's 13 how I calculated that he had 324 accredited TCOLE hours 14 regarding those two things, or regarding narcotics and 15 specialized investigations. 16 Great. I just want to make sure there weren't 17 53 certificates plus 324 hours. 18 You know, the training certificates for law Α. enforcement, they are confusing a lot of times. And, 19 20 in fact, there were a lot of certificates that were 21 provided that were multiple certificates, so the --22 apparently the -- you know, whoever their -- whoever 23 made --By "multiple," you mean duplicates? 24 Q. 25 Duplicates, right. So there would be like --Α.

I think there was like, I don't know, three or four sets of duplicate certificates, so I did not take into account -- I mean, I found the duplicates and then I found the hours for the training and looked at what he had done.

- Q. So you excluded the duplicates. Am I understanding that correctly?
- A. Yes, I excluded the duplicates within these hours that I totaled. Now, of course, that's probably not all of the training that an officer has taken because there are many classes that they take where they don't get a certificate.
- Q. Sure. And looking back at this -- this page 12, when you say specific to narcotic training, does criminal interdiction fall under that umbrella of narcotic training?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. Okay. Is it fair to say that Deputy Babb had more training certificates than his TCOLE records indicated?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. Okay. Did you evaluate whether all of the training that Deputy Babb took, based on the certificates, was TCOLE approved?
 - A. I did look at that, yes, ma'am.

- Q. Okay. And what was your conclusion?
- A. It was not.

Q. I'm going to read a portion of this sentence here in the same paragraph. "However, it was law enforcement training provided by both government and private training companies that was taken -- that was either taken online, in person or via webcast and completed by Deputy Babb."

Did I read that correctly?

- A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. Simply because a training is provided by a private entity, does that make it a good training, for lack of a better descriptor, however you want to look at it?
 - A. No.
- Q. Earlier today, you talked a little bit about trainings that you found the teachings to be problematic and you did things to work with your officers. Can you talk to us a little more about that?
- A. Are you referring to the front seat interviews? That's what I was referring to.
- Q. I think it might have been front seat interviews. It might have also been folks trying -- folks using after the traffic stops to talk about consensual encounters after the traffic stop had been

over. I think you were talking about something like that, too.

- A. Oh, that was relevant to the Rodriguez case.
- Q. Okay. So -- and you talk about doing --
- A. Not necessarily training.

- Q. -- cleanup trainings. Can you just explain that to me?
- A. Law enforcement training -- and it goes back to the case law, right. So you have case law from different parts of the country, it's viewed differently all over the country. There's state case law and there's federal case law.

There are training companies that train -- people that train law enforcement that may be from one area that has a specific set of case law or facts or policies and procedures that then start training officers in another part of the country and then those officers are learning something that may not necessarily be the way the courts view things in their area. That is common within law enforcement. And that's what I was referring to is when you have to clean up something.

And in that case, it happened to be with, you know, interdiction stops to where they were trying to -- they were teaching people consensual encounters

Page 204

tactics, you know, to people in uniform and trying to make it look like, you know, it's a consensual encounter and not an actual, you know, detention on a traffic stop. And that's just not something that we ever practiced in this area and they began teaching that to officers in this area and then it would take me a year to fix that, you know, to say, "That's not the way we do it."

And, of course, I don't mean just my agency. I mean as a trainer in law enforcement in the whole area. I mean, I don't think I mentioned it. It is in my CV, but I've been an instructor since 1997 for law enforcement classes. So, I mean, I have taught all over the country myself. You have to be careful not to teach people things that's not relevant to their area.

- Q. Understood. And when you, as a supervisor, in your role as a supervisor, if you discover that your officers are learning something that you disagree with or is inconsistent with your department's policy, what do you do?
- A. Because I was a trainer, I always vetted the instructors before the officers were allowed to take that class. So if an officer put in a request saying, "Hey, Sergeant, I want to go take this class," the first thing that I ask them to do is give me, you know,

the curriculum for the class, give me the flier, is what we call it, and that tells me what the class is about, how much it costs, who the instructor is.

And then from that point, I do not want them taking a class from someone that was not credible, so I would vet the instructor. I would research them and then I would determine whether or not there may be some problems, with, you know, what they are teaching for my area and then I would approve or disapprove the training.

- Q. Understood. And let's say an officer didn't ask for someone -- didn't ask for your approval for a training. I mean, some officers take, you know, training on their own time. If you learned that one of your officers or some of your officers was doing something that you found to be inconsistent with your entity policy, what would you do?
- A. Well, you would -- you would have to retrain them. You would have to put them on the right path, you know, review the material. You know, you're probably not going to know until something happens, to be honest with you, right. There's no way a supervisor can keep up with everything that their officers are watching and being trained, you know, especially with online classes now. So you really -- you don't know.

If they turn a certificate in to get credit for TCOLE, then they will turn it into the training staff and then the training staff will submit it to TCOLE for a credit. And then that will be on the record, so you will know that they have taken that training. But they may take something that you don't know about. So until something happens and they have done something or a supervisor or a coworker says, "Hey, man, you know, that's not -- you know, I've never seen that before," then typically I'm not even going to know about it.

Q. Understood. Let's go to page 13, and I'm going to go to the paragraph at the very bottom of this page and I'm going to read a sentence to you from that paragraph. "The training provided by instructors associated with Street Cop Training were only a small percentage of criminal interdiction training Deputy Babb had received from other training providers."

There's more sentence there, but I'm just going to read that portion.

Did I read that correctly?

A. Yes, ma'am.

2.2

- Q. Okay. Did you evaluate how much Deputy Babb relied on the Street Cop training?
 - A. Well, I don't know how much he relied on the

Street Cop training. There's nothing that I could review that would tell me that, other than the number of certificates that I reviewed, right, which there were a lot of certificates for Street Cop -- Street Cop Training. Many of them were online or they were webcast and I think only one of those was submitted for TCOLE approval, and that being the one from Hays County.

Other than that, none of it was approved for TCOLE, so I can't say how much he depended upon that particular training.

Q. That's fine. Thank you.

Let's go to page 15 of your report. I'm looking at the second paragraph from the bottom and it starts, "There wasn't a video."

Do you see where I'm referring to?

A. Yes, ma'am.

- Q. Do you see where you reference the one-minute video recorded from the F-250?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. Did you review a single one-minute clip from Alek's dash camera footage from March 16th, 2022?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. Okay. Did you ask Deputy Babb's attorneys whether there was additional footage for you to review?

A. I did not.

Q. Let's read the last sentence of that paragraph. I'm going to read that sentence in its entirety. "My opinion, based from the video provided by Schott, is that the video had some form of manipulation or it wasn't the entire video depicting the incident as it was only one-minute in duration and ended abruptly while Schott was mid-sentence in a telephone conversation."

Did I read that correctly?

- A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. In your opinion, did you conclude that the footage from Alek's dash cam that you reviewed was manipulated?
- A. It was obviously manipulated. It's a one-minute clip and I'm assuming, from my training and my experience in watching videos in the past that the video recorder has been going the whole time he's been driving. So if there's only a one-minute clip, there's a five-minute clip or there's a ten-minute clip, it's been manipulated because he's cut off mid-sentence when the video ends, right, and there's only one minute.

So the video has been manipulated in some form. It's not an entire video that shows from when the video camera was turned on until the time the

Page 209 vehicle was turned off. That's my -- that's what I'm 1 talking about, manipulated. Understood. And is there any other reason to 3 4 conclude that Alek's dash camera footage had been manipulated, other than this one-minute aspect? 5 No, not from what I could tell. 6 Α. 7 Q. Are all dash cameras generally the same? No, there's a lot of different cameras. 8 Α. 9 Okay. Do different types of dash cameras have 0. 10 different mounting systems? 11 Α. They do. 12 Ο. Do different types of dash cameras have different types of lenses? 13 14 Α. They to. 15 Do different types of dash cameras create video with different resolutions? 16 17 Α. They do. 18 Do different dash cameras have different types 0. of storage systems, for instance, the cloud versus an 19 20 SD card? 21 Α. Sure. 22 Ο. And do different types of dash cameras have 23 different recording configurations? They do. 24 Α. 25 Do you recall Alek Schott testifying that he Q.

Page 210 had a BlackVue dash camera? 1 2 I do. In fact, BlackVue is on the screen itself. 3 4 Ο. Oh, okay. Are you familiar with BlackVue dash 5 cameras? 6 Α. No, ma'am. 7 Have you ever used a BlackVue dash camera? Q. Not BlackVue. 8 Α. 9 Okay. Did you do any research into how 0. 10 BlackVue dash cameras record and store files? 11 Α. No. 12 Ο. I'm going to hand you what has been -- from --I'm going to hand you from our files Exhibit K. 13 14 going to mark that. This is going to be marked 15 Exhibit 113. 16 (Exhibit 113 marked) 17 Q. I'll hand that to you. 18 Α. Thank you. 19 Sure. Now, I thought about -- to be honest, Q. 20 full transparency, I thought about connecting my laptop 21 to the computer so we could walk through how to get 22 this. But can we agree that this is a printout -- or 23 at least -- you don't have to, you know, represent beyond that. 24 25 Α. Sure. No, we're good.

- Q. What does this printout purport to be from?
- A. BlackVue Camera Systems.

- O. Okay. What's the title of this article?
- A. "Recording Video Segment Length."
- Q. Okay. And can you read the first sentence of the content for me?
- A. Of course. "BlackVue cameras are built to produce one-minute segments," and it's highlighted in red, "of video recordings."
- Q. And based on this -- this information, if this is correct, would you still believe that Alek's dash camera has been manipulated?

MR. BARRON: Objection, form.

THE WITNESS: So, again, my opinion about the manipulation of the video with the one-minute segment, there's more video. There are -- if it's a one-minute segment, then it would have been important for me to see, as someone evaluating this case, the other minute before the minute -- particularly the minute afterwards, right, even the ten minutes afterwards.

So these recording devices, true enough, they do record in one-minute segments. However, they store all of the information typically up to -- you know, I have one similar to this, believe it or not,

and it records in one-minute segments, but it stores
45 minutes worth. So I can go back and review all 45
one-minute segments, right, which would have been
relevant and important in this case, in order to see.

Now, that potentially could answer as to why the video cut off. However, it would have been important to listen to and see the video two minutes, three minutes, four minutes after this.

- Q. But you didn't ask defendant's attorneys for any other videos?
 - A. I did not.
- Q. Did you do any research to evaluate whether the speed reported by a dash -- a BlackVue dash camera is accurate?
- A. From my training and experience with video cameras, and particularly having video cameras, having a telephone that has GPS on it, all of these video cameras and telephones, they -- they are really accurate with GPS coordinates. So there was no reason for me to believe that the speed on that camera was not correct.
- Q. Sure. And when you talk about your experience as a -- I can't remember the phrase, mobile --
 - A. Video instructor.
 - Q. -- video instructor. As a mobile video

2.2

Page 213

instructor, that experience was focused on teaching law enforcement how to use their dash cameras; is that correct?

- A. Yes, that and positioning the cameras.
- Q. Okay. And the only other experience you have with dash cameras is your personal experience; is that correct?
- A. Oh, no. So "only" is a strong word, right.

 So in law enforcement, I mean, we always view dash cameras, we review telephones, all sorts of video. So it's not just a small dash camera. I mean, videos are reviewed in law enforcement throughout your entire career as an officer. I have watched and, you know, have a lot of experience with video cameras, and many of those cameras are even button cameras, right.

From the undercover perspective, we're always dealing with cameras and positioning of cameras, the importance of cameras, the location of cameras to be able to keep up with with undercover officers. So, you know, I just -- the word "only experience" is not fair.

- Q. Sure, understood.
- A. I believe in my -- in my experience in law enforcement, I've had a lot of experience with video cameras.

Q. Okay. Let me ask you a more, I guess -- you're entirely right to point that out.

Have you ever used dash camera recordings to do accident reconstruction?

A. No.

- Q. What is more accurate -- can you tell me they are the same accuracy or, you know, you can't make a comparison, so don't feel like you're constrained for the question.
 - A. I understand.
 - Q. A speedometer or a GPS speed indicator?
- A. In my personal opinion, I would -- the GPS indicator, they are pretty much right on target.
- Q. Okay. To your knowledge, has GPS calculation improved in more recent dash camera models?
- A. I think everything improved in more recent dash camera -- any camera system, you know, whether it's like you mentioned, the resolution or, you know, the angles, the view from the camera. Camera systems are -- I agree, they are unique, you know, to each individual camera, which is why it's important in this case.

And, you know, something that looks far away in the lens may actually be closer than it really was, right, so certainly. I mean, looking at a video

on this computer screen from a camera that has a lens this small is going to look different, you know, than the small screen on the camera may have.

Q. Understood. And so would it be possible to say that the speed calculation on a 2017 dash camera would be less accurate than a camera today?

MR. BARRON: Objection, form.

THE WITNESS: You know, I'm going to date myself again, but 2017 wasn't that long -- wasn't that long ago and, you know, GPS technology was really good in 2017.

Now, if you would have used that scenario of 1998, I would agree with you. I'm sorry, but, you know, 2017 is not that long ago. There was good technology in 2017.

- Q. (BY MS. HEBERT) Sure. But can you offer an opinion on whether the technology has changed or not?
 - A. The GPS technology?
 - Q. Yes.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. Well, everything improves, you know. I can't deny that. I mean, Elon Musk has satellites in the air now that's doing wonders, you know. So, of course, since 2017, GPS has improved.
- Q. Let's return back to your report. Let's go to page 15, if you will. And do you see the header

"Probable Cause, Texas Transportation Code"?

A. Yes, ma'am.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And we're going to walk through some of this section. But as I understand your report, you conclude that a reasonable officer in Deputy Babb's shoes could have pulled Alek Schott over for two offenses; is that right?
 - A. Had they observed the offenses, yes.
 - Q. Okay. And what are those two offenses?
- A. One would be, obviously, the speeding, considering that the GPS indicated 84 miles per hour. Number two would be the failure to drive in a single marked lane.
- Q. Okay. And let's look at page 17, the second paragraph. It's the first full paragraph, for the avoidance of ambiguity?
 - A. Okay. I'm sorry, tell me -- page 17?
 - Q. Yes.
 - A. The first -- first full --
- Q. The first full paragraph, but the second paragraph.
 - A. Yes, ma'am, I'm tracking.
 - Q. And I'm going to read, I think, that first sentence. "After reviewing the video recorded by Schott and from the testimony of Deputy Babb in his

deposition, a reasonable officer would believe that
Schott crossed the yellow line before approaching
Deputy Babb and after passing Deputy Babb, he crossed
the broken white line in proximity to the Ford truck
traveling in the right lane."

Did I read that correctly?

A. You did, ma'am.

- Q. Okay. In this sentence, you reference the dash cam or video recorded by Schott and the testimony of Deputy Babb in his deposition. Did you consider any other evidence in evaluating whether a reasonable officer would have concluded that Mr. Schott failed to maintain his lane?
 - A. Not that I recall.
 - O. Did you conduct a site visit?
- A. I did not. I did review Google Earth, you know, just so that I'm familiar with the area. I'm also -- I mean, I've traveled that road many times, you know. But other than that, I did not go to the site, no, ma'am.
- Q. Okay. Did you evaluate the place where Deputy Babb's vehicle had been parked compared to where Alek Schott's vehicle had been traveling?
 - A. I did not go on a site visit, no.
 - Q. Okay. Did you evaluate how Deputy Babb's line

of sight might have been blocked by cars moving in the right lane?

- A. I did see cars in the right lane and I did consider that, yes, ma'am.
- Q. And when you see -- when you said "see cars in the right lane," you saw cars on --
 - A. It would be Mr. Schott's video.
- Q. Okay. So you reviewed Mr. Schott's video and saw that there were cars in the right lane?
 - A. In the right lane, yes, ma'am.
- Q. Okay. Did you evaluate what Deputy Babb's line of sight could have been after Mr. Schott passed him?
- A. The only evaluation I did with what Deputy Babb testified to is from his deposition, from the questions that he answered.
 - Q. Okay.

- A. And he did -- in the questions or in his responses, he did say in his deposition that he saw Mr. Schott cross over the line, but he couldn't recall exactly how many times.
- Q. So based on what we just reviewed in your report, I understand your testimony to be that

 Mr. Schott crossed over the line twice, based on what you saw. Is that fair? Okay, I was imprecise. Let me

be more precise.

Do you plan to testify to the jury that a reasonable officer in Deputy Babb's shoes would have concluded that, one, Alek Schott crossed the yellow line before approaching Deputy Babb and, two, Alek Schott crossed the broken white line after approaching Deputy Babb?

- A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. Okay. Is there any other conduct you conclude that was relevant for the failure to maintain a lane?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
 - Q. What other conduct?
- A. From reviewing the video from Mr. Schott's vehicle, you can see that when he passes the Amazon truck, the 18-wheeler in the right lane, when you look at the contour lines of the truck and as he passes the Amazon -- the Amazon truck, there's a slight curve in the road and it is clear that Mr. Schott veered far to the left as he went around that truck and then veered back into his lane.
 - O. Okay.
- A. That's one occasion. As he approached Deputy Babb's vehicle, I mean, you could see Deputy Babb's vehicle from the camera angle. Now, I can't tell you what Deputy Babb saw. I wasn't there. I'm only

testifying to what I observed on the actual camera, the view that I saw in the video.

- Q. And by "the video," you're referring to Alek --
 - A. Schott, yeah.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- O. -- Schott's dash camera?
- A. And in combination with what Deputy Babb had said that he -- when he saw Mr. Schott cross the line, before he approached and after he passed, while he was behind them or catching up with them.

So, yes, in my opinion so, yes, he did cross the line on numerous occasions while he was passing the Amazon truck, while he was approaching Deputy Babb and then after he passed Deputy Babb.

- Q. So the -- in your mind, there were three crosses?
 - A. Can I refer to my report? It's in my report.
- Q. Yes, please do. I'm trying to understand, so feel free to refer to your report.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. I guess what I'm trying to do is understand is how many crosses there were --
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
 - Q. -- and which -- where they were.
 - A. May I just read my report?

Page 221 1 Well, we have to keep in mind --0. Α. Just the part -- just the part of the times --3 Ο. Sure. 4 Α. -- on the video? 5 Q. We will give you a few minutes to read it. No, I mean, out loud --6 Α. 7 Oh, yeah, sure. Q. -- to answer your question. 8 Α. Oh, absolutely. And just tell me where you 9 Ο. are so I can follow along. 10 11 Α. Okay. So this is page 16. Uh-huh. 12 Ο. And this would be the -- let's see -- one, two 13 Α. 14 three, this is the third full paragraph that starts with, "At 11:12:26." 15 16 Yes, I see where you are. Ο. 17 Α. "Schott rapidly passed the vehicle in the 18 right lane and then Amazon truck, which several car 19 lengths ahead -- was several car lengths ahead. 20 According to the distance of the right line of the hood 21 in relation to the yellow line, in my opinion, Schott 22 swerved to the left in the curve as he passed the 23 Amazon truck at 84 miles an hour. I reviewed the posted speed limit on the portion of I-35 as being 75." 24 25 That's not what I was -- that's not the

Page 222 1 violation I was -- . Ο. Okay, so we'll call that, you know --That's one. 3 Α. -- No. 1. 4 Q. Okay, let's see. In relation to the -- okay, 5 Α. so this would be the paragraph starting with, "In 6 7 relation to tires --8 Ο. Sure. 9 -- and striping being recorded on the video 10 at the same time --" let's see. The "as Schott approached at 11:12:49" paragraph. 11 12 Q. Okay, so the last one? 13 Α. It would be the very last paragraph. 14 Q. Sure. 15 "Schott received a telephone call just before 16 passing Deputy Babb, slowed to 72 miles an hour, never 17 regaining the speed after passing the patrol unit. 18 Schott continued the telephone conversation passing the 19 Ford truck. A noticeable change in position of the 20 yellow line in relation to contour line was observed 21 and depicted from -- on, according to Deputy Schott's 22 video, 11:12:56 to 11:12:59." 23 And there's just one correction, you said Ο. Deputy Schott at one point. 24 25 Uh-oh, did I? I'm sorry. Α.

- Q. Deputy Schott's video, but I think you mean --
- A. I'm sorry, I meant Mr. Schott's video.
- O. Sure. So that's No. 2?
- A. Yes, ma'am.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Okay. And then can you identify for me No. 3?
- A. At that same paragraph and it continues to the next page, "At 11:13:13 and during the phone conversation, Schott overtook the Ford truck at which time the left contour line was completely over the broken -- the broken white line, potentially at an unsafe distance to the truck in the right lane."

Now, this is where that correction that I told you about earlier --

- Q. Yes.
- A. -- when he first started, that should have been right contour line and not the left contour line.
 - Q. Yep, I see it. So the top of 17?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
 - Q. Got it.
- A. "... potentially at an unsafe distance to the truck in the right lane. There wasn't a video depicting the exact location of where the Ford truck was traveling in the right lane next as he passed, but at 11:13 Schott is verbally heard saying, "Oh, crap" as the video and the audio abruptly ended during

conversation."

Q. Okay. That was super helpful.

So there are three incidences, as you reviewed the dash camera video --

- A. Yes.
- Q. -- where you concluded that Alek Schott's vehicle crossed either the yellow or the white lines?
- A. Well, again, I'm -- I'm not there, right. I'm not in person, I can't see it. I actually can just say that from the video, from the testimony of Deputy Babb on what he saw, according to the deposition, then it matches what the video is depicting that I observed on the video. That's what I'm saying.

I can't definitively say, you know, that he crossed the white line. I can't say that. I can only say that there was a variance in the contour lines at different times and that it appeared to me that he crossed over the lines. But I wasn't there and that would be speculation on my part.

- Q. So help me understand a little bit, based on what you just said there. I understood -- I understood you to say just now that you can't say whether Alek Schott's vehicle crossed the line or not; is that correct?
 - A. Bad terminology. I can't say what Deputy Babb

saw when Deputy Babb said that he saw him crossing or his tires on the line, right. I can't see that.

That's speculation, right. So I can only go from what I saw in my training and experience and it's what I believe from the video and from the testimony by Deputy Babb that he did commit the traffic violation. I believe it's supported in the video, from my training and experience, but I wasn't there personally to see him commit the traffic violation. That's all I'm trying to say.

- Q. Understood. Let's get -- maybe this will help some, too. Let's go to page 16 and the first -- the third paragraph, but the second full paragraph.
 - A. Yes, ma'am.

- Q. Can you review this paragraph for me?
- A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. And in this paragraph that we just reviewed, were you summarizing what you had seen on Alek's dash camera footage?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. And you used the -- the word -- the phrase "distinct contour lines."
- Do you see where I'm referring, to that first line?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.

Q. What do you mean by "the distinct contour lines"?

A. When looking at Mr. Schott's video, the very beginning, I mean at the still shot before the video begins, you can see two contour lines on the hood, you know. They are symmetrical, they come from the -- the top of the hood where the windshield is and they kind of curve in towards the front of the hood. Those are the contour lines that I'm referring to.

At the very beginning, according to this paragraph, he's within his lane, obviously so, and they are equal to the broken white stripe on the right and the solid yellow stripe to the left. Those contour lines are equal.

- Q. Okay. I'm just going to pause you for a second there.
 - A. Okay.

- Q. When you say "the yellow line to the left," do you mean the yellow line on the left of Alek's travel lane as you saw in his dash camera footage?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. When you say "the broken right -- the broken white line on the right, are you referring to the broken white line you saw on the right in Alek's dash camera footage?

- A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. Okay.

- A. That separates the right from the left lane of the northbound Interstate 35.
- Q. Got it. And so when you used the phrase "crossed over the yellow line," do you mean that Alek's truck passed over the yellow line?
 - A. Either over it or on it.
- Q. Okay. And how would you know which way -- which one worked, which -- how would you know which it was?
- A. Well, looking at the -- at the video, looking at -- you can see that there's a yellow line and then there's several inches -- I don't know how many there are -- and then there are rumble strips. They are the indentions within the shoulder to let you know that you're crossing the line.
 - O. We've all driven on those.
 - A. You've driven on those before, right?
 - Q. Yep.
- A. So I do not believe he was on the rumble strips. I do not believe he was all the way across that far. I do believe, from the video, that his left tires had gone over that line, over the yellow line on it, but not far enough to hit the rumble strips.

- Q. Okay. So it's -- it's your opinion, based on the dash camera footage that you reviewed, that

 Mr. Schott's tires crossed over the yellow line, but did not hit the rumble strips?
- A. Yes, ma'am. And that particular time was after he passed the Amazon truck.
- Q. Understood. Was that the only time that you believe that the tire moved over the yellow line, but did not hit the rumble strips?
- A. I believe there was one more time that I mentioned.
- Q. Sure. And is that the No. 2 time we talked about where right -- right -- just before passing Deputy Babb on 16, the last paragraph?
- A. So that would be the second one. I do not believe that one was as noticeable, so I do not believe he went as far as he did on the first one.
 - Q. Understood.

- A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. But, in your opinion, Mr. Schott's tire crossed over the yellow line?
 - A. Either over or on the line.
- Q. Okay. Did you do anything to evaluate the relationship between what Mr. Schott's dash camera showed and the positioning of his tires?

A. No, ma'am.

- Q. So based on your review of the dash camera footage, could you say where Mr. Schott's tires were located?
- A. No, ma'am. That is not -- you can't see that from the angle of the video.
- Q. Can we go to page 16 and the first full paragraph, it starts with, "In relation to tires and striping."

Do you see that?

- A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. I'm going to read some of this so we have the entirety. "In relation to tires and striping being recorded on video at the same time, it was nonexistent. Therefore, my opinion is based solely on my experience and training having instructed law enforcement officers on the use of mobile video during my career and from what I reviewed on the video as part of this case."

Did I read that correctly?

- A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. When you wrote "it was nonexistent," what were you referring to? I just -- I don't quite understand.
- A. The question that you just asked me, could I see the tires and the yellow line in the same frame.
 - Q. Okay.

A. And I could not.

Q. So just to finish that out, I think, for the failure to maintain a lane offense, it is your opinion that will Alek Schott crossed the yellow line twice and the broken white line once, based on the review of his dash camera footage and Deputy Babb's testimony.

Is that a fair summary of your opinion?

- A. I would like to make a comment about the deposition that I read and reviewing this, if I may.

 And there seems to be a lot of focus, from what I read in the deposition, on the yellow line and what deputy saw -- Deputy Babb saw as the vehicle approached him, where the vehicle was located when --
- Q. When you say "the vehicle," you're referring to --
- A. Mr. Schott's vehicle, I'm sorry. Learning curve for me.

But there wasn't much conversation about what transpired after Mr. Schott passed Deputy Babb.

There has not been very much testimony. However,

Deputy Babb did testify that he did cross over and failed to maintain his lane after he passed him and while he was trying to catch up with him.

That offense, to me, was very unsafe had the white truck alongside him been in the position in

Page 231

the right lane that he was because Mr. Babb -- not Mr. Babb. Mr. Schott, I'm sorry. Mr. Schott had received a telephone call as he approached Deputy Babb's vehicle, which will distract a lot of people on a phone call, right. You know, not many people can answer a telephone, in my experience, being personal experience as well, without looking at the telephone to answer it. That potentially is significant when Mr. Schott answers the phone, takes his attention away from the road as he's approaching Deputy Babb, which could have caused him to cross the line. He's on a phone conversation after he passes Deputy Babb.

As he passes the white Ford truck in the right lane while Deputy Babb is attempting to catch up with him or is -- has caught up with him -- we don't know because there's no vehicle on exactly his positioning -- you can hear Mr. Schott say, "Oh, crap," which, in my experience in law enforcement, you know, and actually been in those positions is you just got lit up by the police, right.

So -- and there's a distinct right -- the distinct discrepancy. I mean, that -- that contour line on the right side is way further over than it had been at any one time.

Q. And when you say "contour line on the right

side," you're referring to the --

- A. On the hood of Mr. Schott's truck.
- O. And in relation to --
- A. To the right broken stripe on the right, separating the right and the left lane of the northbound lane.
 - Q. Okay.

A. And that coincides with what Deputy Babb had said in his deposition, that he observed that as he was behind the vehicle. So I just want to make sure that, you know -- I saw that, you know, it's in my report.

So, in my opinion, that was very unsafe with the truck being in the right lane. Mr. Schott, being on the telephone, being distracted and looking in the rearview mirror as a patrol car is behind him, which is obvious from the video by him saying "Oh, crap."

- Q. Okay. Did you evaluate what Deputy Babb could have seen while he was driving to catch up with Alek Schott's truck?
- A. Well, I mean, again, that would be speculation on what Deputy Babb saw. I don't know what he saw.

 Obviously, he has a computer. Obviously, there were some things that I reviewed where he may have been running license plates on the computer. That in itself

doesn't necessarily mean he's distracted or can't see anything.

Officers all the time are on the computer typing, you know, while they are driving. Officers don't just look at the computer the entire time they are driving and not look at the road, you know. They are trained and they have experience in doing that. So it's been my experience with these computers in the car and following someone that I can still see the traffic violations that occur in front of me, even though I may be running a license plate or, you know, anything of that nature.

So, yes, he very well could have been looking or running license plates, according to the records, but I don't think that distracted him from seeing what he saw.

- Q. Understood. Did you evaluate whether texting and driving could have impacted what Deputy Babb saw?
 - A. Same scenario.

Q. Okay. So you just talked about the -- after Alek Schott passed Deputy Babb, it is your opinion, based on the dash camera footage and from Deputy Babb's testimony, that Mr. Schott's car crossed over the white lines on the right side of the travel lane that he was on?

- A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. And before passing Deputy Babb, Alek Schott's vehicle crossed over the yellow line twice?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. Any other conduct that led you to conclude that Mr. Schott committed the offense of failure to maintain a lane?
- A. You know, I watched that video many times, you know, second by second and, you know, I really tried to determine whether -- or where -- had I been in Mr. -- or in Deputy Babb's position, where would I have been able to see Mr. Schott's vehicle to be able to see this violation. So I really considered that a lot, right.

And I came to the conclusion that, from my training and my experience in this business, having worked interdiction many, many years, police officers who are looking for a certain vehicle, they are fine-tuned focused on looking for a vehicle, and in this case, Deputy Babb was looking for an F-250.

So his ability -- again, I'm not Deputy Babb, but my ability to be able to see an F-250 and recognize that one is coming would be at a greater distance than probably a vehicle that I'm not looking for. Is that fair to say?

Q. Sure.

- A. So -- so having already known the vehicle, make, model and even having a photograph of that truck, I believe I would have been able to see that truck from a greater distance and what it was doing, particularly in relation to other vehicles, like the distance between the vehicles, to determine whether they crossed over the line, I would be able to see that. So I took that into consideration in this case.
- Q. Sure. And I get that. That makes total sense to me. But what -- in terms of the conduct, there were three times, based on your perception of the testimony and your review of the dash camera footage, that you saw Mr. Schott's vehicle cross out of its lane.

Am I understanding that correctly?

- A. Yes, ma'am, in combination of everything I reviewed, not what Deputy Babb saw. Only what I reviewed.
- Q. Sure. And in evaluating the offense of speeding, I'm going to move on to speeding, if that's okay. What evidence did you consider for speeding?
- A. In this case, that was the first thing that I noticed on the video, to be honest with you.
- Q. And when you say "the video," you're referring to --
 - A. I'm sorry, I do apologize. Mr. Schott's

video, from Mr. Schott's --

- Q. So let's start that again. In this case --
- A. Yes, ma'am. In this case, Mr. Schott's video that I reviewed, the first thing that I noticed was he was passing traffic at a rapid speed, and that is when I looked at the entire, you know, bottom frame of the video and I saw the GPS speed of 84 miles an hour.

 That explains why he's passing traffic at such a rapid pace.

As an officer that has stopped thousands of cars and having also, you know, been certified in the use of radar to be able to write tickets for that, you know, an officer is trained to first observe a vehicle and if it appears that vehicle is speeding and you judge that by the other traffic. So in this case I think that's why I noticed that first.

And then once I noticed that and I noticed the speed, then I began looking for the failure to drive in a single lane had he or had he not at that particular time.

- Q. Sure. So other than the dash camera footage and Deputy Babb's testimony, did you have any other evidence you -- you reviewed in making the conclusion that Alek Schott was speeding?
 - A. No, ma'am.

Q. Okay. I want to talk a little bit about source of information.

A. Okay.

2.2

- Q. What is a source of information?
- A. You know, it's more police jargon. A source of information -- I'm going to use the Drug Enforcement Administration here as an example. When you write DEA-6, which is a report with the Drug Enforcement Administration, the DEA-6, if you're using a confidential informant then oftentimes they refer to them as confidential sources, which would mean CS for short, right. At my department, we use the words CI, confidential informant, not confidential source, so it would be CI.

But if it's an unknown person, someone that is phoning in information and you don't necessarily know who it is, then they are a source of information. It's someone who you do not have the identity to, that being whether you're, you know, having it in a confidential informant file or you're putting the name in the report. So that's what a source of information is --

- Q. Got it.
- A. -- for this report.
- Q. Okay. So then as you use the term "source of

Page 238 information" in your report, you're using that term 1 because you didn't know who the source of the information is. Is that fair? 3 4 Α. That's fair. Okay. Who is Kiki? 5 Q. I have no idea. 6 Α. 7 Okay. Do you know what the Laredo Fusion Q. Center is? 8 9 Uh-huh. So not necessarily the Laredo Fusion 10 Center, but what a fusion center is, is where 11 information, intelligence --12 Ο. Sure, sure. And I'm not asking you what a 13 fusion center is more generally, but do you know what 14 the Laredo Fusion Center is specifically? 15 Not unless it's one of the fusion centers within the network. 16 17 Ο. Sure. Did you do anything to evaluate whether 18 the Laredo Fusion Center exists? 19 I'm certain they have one, but no. Α. 20 Okay. Did you do anything to learn who Kiki Ο. 2.1 was? 22 Α. No, ma'am. 23 Okay. As you sit here today, do you know if Ο. Kiki was a law enforcement officer? 24 25 I do not. Α.

- Q. Let's look at page 6 of your report. No. 6, do you see the last paragraph here?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.

- Q. I'm going to read the first sentence there.

 "Prior to the traffic stop, Deputy Babb utilized a

 messaging program called WhatsApp to receive

 information that the 2019 F-250 displaying Texas LP

 LJR-4135 was northbound on IH-35 in Carrizo Springs, a

 city located in South Texas."
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
 - Q. Did I read that correctly?
 - A. You did.
- Q. Okay. Just for ground rules, what is WhatsApp?
- A. WhatsApp is a messaging program that's -- they don't use -- it's Internet based, it's not telephone based, WhatsApp. So, you know, it's where you can create group messages, group -- you know, it's much like a group text message, but it's utilizing WhatsApp, which is merely just one of the programs that offers that service.
- Q. Is WhatsApp a messaging program only for law enforcement?
 - A. No, ma'am.
 - Q. Who can use WhatsApp?

A. Anyone with a phone.

Q. To better understand your analysis, it would be helpful to kind of have an understanding of your perspective on the inputs to your analysis. So feel free to consult your report as we go, but I want to understand your view of the facts.

What information did Deputy Babb have about Mr. Schott before he turned on his lights to make the traffic stop?

A. It's my understanding from the deposition, from the body cameras that I reviewed that -- and that's the body cameras of Deputy Babb, body cameras of where he was speaking with Deputy Molina, which had a lot of the same information, and it could have been even Deputy Gereb. He commonly referred to information that he had prior to the stop. He has very hesitant to give up too much information that he had knowledge about.

That is -- in my opinion and my experience in law enforcement, it's because he was trying to protect some ongoing investigation that may have been occurring where he got that information from. He mentioned information about one-day turnaround, he mentioned information about frequent trips, about a potential female with him as he went to Carrizo Springs

from Houston, about a female at a hotel in Carrizo Springs, about the truck leaving the hotel, going to an offsite place, information that the female was taken back to the hotel and information that the truck was heading northbound on I-35 and then arrived within the timeframe.

So from my training and experience and from reviewing all of that, from having experience on the investigative side of narcotics, I can confidently say that there was information he had that was -- that was confirmed by what he saw. According to the depositions, you know, he had information.

- Q. Understood, understood. And I'm -- I'm just trying to capture all of the information.
 - A. Yes, ma'am.

- Q. So it is your testimony that before Deputy
 Babb turned on his lights to make the traffic stop of
 Alek Schott, he had information about the one-day
 turnaround, the frequent trips, some lady who had
 traveled with Mr. Schott, a female at the hotel, that
 Mr. Schott had moved from the hotel to offsite and
 Mr. Schott had driven north on I-35 and Mr. Schott
 arrived at the timeframe he was expected to arrive in
 Bexar County; is that fair?
 - A. If I can, let me refer to my report. I have

Page 242 1 those, I think, outlined in the report. Let me see. 2 (Discussion off the record) 3 THE WITNESS: So on page 19 of my report. 4 Ο. (BY MS. HEBERT) Sure. 19, okay. Okay, got it. 5 No. 1, I outlined some of those things that 6 Α. 7 you're asking about. Ο. Yeah. 8 9 Deputy Babb had prior -- had prior knowledge 10 about the description, color and license plate of the 11 vehicle, so that would be one thing. The F-250 had 12 been scanned by LTR in Fayette County traveling 13 westbound on IH-10 the night before. That would be two 14 things. 15 Q. Sure. 16 Deputy Babb was told by a member of law 17 enforcement texting group, the F-250 had frequent 18 patterns to and from South Texas on multiple occasions. 19 That would be three. 20 Deputy Babb was told that the F-250 was 21 possibly traveling in tandem with a secondary vehicle 22 during trips to South Texas. That would be four. 23 Let's see. There's that date of 24 March the 22nd again, so I'm sure that's a typo.

He's referring to item 5.

25

Q.

A. Item 5, right. Deputy Babb was informed via messaging app the F-250 left Carrizo Springs at the specific time utilizing I-35. That should've been March the 16th, not the 22nd. That would be five.

Let's see. Deputy Babb observed the F-250 driven by Schott on IH-35 confirming the information that he had received leading up to that, basically. That's No. 6. So that would be six things that related to the information that he had prior to the traffic stop.

- Q. Okay. So these six things are the only six things that Deputy Babb knew before the traffic stop?
- A. I don't know what else he knew. He may have had other conversations via phone. I don't know. That would be speculation.
- Q. Sure. Well, we just talked about -- we talked about a couple of things, about a female at the hotel and a lady who traveled with him. I don't see those in items 1 through 6. Is that fair? Unless I'm missing them.
- A. Well, so No. 4 is that Deputy Babb was told that the F-250 was possibly traveling in tandem with the secondary vehicle during trips to south Texas, right. So that -- that is the same as the female that's involved.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 244

Originally, there was confusion with whether the female was in another car, at what point she was in another car. And I don't think Deputy Babb was clear on whether or not the female was coming from Houston or the female met him in Carrizo Springs. So, you know, you can't pinpoint that particular topic because I don't think it was clear at any point where she was or where she -- other than that we know she was seen, according to Deputy Babb's conversation on the phone, that's recorded on his body cam, while he's searching the truck of Mr. Schott, he calls and he -it has been assumed that that telephone call was to a supervisor, right, and in the -- from the depositions that I read -- well, actually, it's in the complaint as well, the the complaint reads that he was in contact with a supervisor.

Well, I believe that was the person in Carrizo Springs that he was talking about, not a supervisor on that particular call. And this was recorded on his body cam. But -- so there's confusion. You cannot pinpoint exact details from these conversations. So what these are, one through five or six, really, is really just a generalization of everything for totality of the circumstances.

So I can't -- I can't tell you what time,

you know, the female was in the truck, but I can tell you that that was part of my recording that there was a secondary vehicle.

- Q. Understood. So this summary of items that you have on one through six, this is a summary of information that you understand that Deputy Babb had at some point about Mr. Schott; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.

- O. Okay.
- A. And I believe that those -- those particular things were information that I either observed on the video, I read in depositions, that he knew before the traffic stop.
- Q. Okay. So I'm going to ask this question again. Can you tell me what information Deputy Babb had before the traffic stop, based on your review of the evidence?
 - A. It's the things that I just read.
 - Q. So items 1 through 6?
 - A. One through six.
- Q. So Deputy Babb had all of the information listed in items 1 through 6 on page 19, as you understand it, before he made the stop of Alek Schott?
- A. According to what I have observed during the case evaluation, yes.

Page 246 1 Q. Okay. 2 MR. BARRON: Do you want to take a break 3 now? 4 MS. HEBERT: This will be a great time. (Recess from 3:52 p.m. to 4:03 p.m.) 5 (BY MS. HEBERT) Mr. Haston, are you ready? 6 Q. 7 Α. I'm ready. Thank you for the break, actually. I needed it, too. 8 0. 9 I want to look at page 20 of your report. 10 And do you see the third paragraph, you talk about Stan 11 Walters? 12 Α. I do. How does Stan Walters' instruction materials 13 Ο. 14 help you detect detection -- detect deception? 15 So Stan Walters is a -- is a well-known 16 instructor of kinesics. I have taken a lot of his 17 classes over the years. In fact, he was the first 18 person who, you know, introduced me to body language to 19 help me understand what I was seeing. Everybody 20 understands and sees body language, it's just a lot of 21 people don't know how to interpret it. 22 Stan Walters assisted me by -- through 23 his training programs that I had taken to help me understand what I was seeing and to develop the 24 25 interviews in order to, you know, determine whether

something else is going on with the traffic stop, in this case. So that's how he helped me, his classes, his courses. He's a very well-known instructor of kinesics.

- Q. Can you just explain what kinesics is?
- A. Body language, speech patterns, things of -exactly what we've been talking about, you know, it's
 about how the body -- how the subconscious mind reacts
 under stressful situations.

And that -- it's really a lot like hypnosis, which is why I enjoy the hypnosis class because it's still a lot about the subconscious mind and what's retained and how people react, you know, to questions or to interviews or to situations, you know.

- Q. Okay. Can you, in broad strokes, give me the big takeaways you've learned from Mr. Walters?
 - A. The big takeaways from Mr. Walters?
- Q. And I'm not -- this is not -- this is not a quiz. Like if you missed one, you're not going to like lose points. I just kind of want to understand the general framework from your perspective.
- A. It's a lot of what I just said. I mean, the big takeaways from body language and speech patterns is to be able to interview a person -- this is in my own words -- be able to interview a person, observe the way

Page 248

their body reacts to the questions that I ask them, to be able to respond and ask another question from the answer that they gave me.

Are you tracking with me?

- Q. Yeah, I did. I followed you on that one.
- A. And once they respond to the question, I determine from what I see, body language-wise, whether or not I need to revisit that particular topic or whether I need to move on to something else. That's one thing.

The other thing, related to criminal interdiction, is the way a person reacts upon meeting a law enforcement officer in an official capacity, especially one who is committing a crime, such as drug trafficking. Many of those indicators are stretching. You know, there's many times when you stop a vehicle and the person is a drug trafficker, they know they have drugs, we talked about this earlier. They're thinking about what they're going to say when they're stopped. So you take that, you know, into consideration. And now they are stopped. Now, when they get out of the car, maybe they are in the car, they stretch a lot, right, so they stretch. Big indicator. I learned that from Stan Walters. It happens a lot.

Page 249

Another indicator when you're talking with them is let's say you want to pat someone down, and say, "Hey, I need to pat you down," then they turn around and put their hands behind their back. Well, typically, when you're going to pat someone down, they are going to raise their hands up, but when they put their hands behind their back, it's almost like they want to be handcuffed. So that's the subconscious thing that they do, that they think they are under arrest because they know they've got something going on, potentially. It's not every time, but, I mean, it's just things that you look for.

Other things are clusters of being deceptive. So when someone is lying, then your body does certain things that tells me that you're lying.

Not -- no one certain thing means that you're being deceptive because everyone does it at one time or another, but when there's clustering of things, meaning that there are several things going on during that time, such as they clear their throat, they, as I mentioned before, may have a nervous laugh whenever they answer a question after the baseline changes. We discussed that earlier. I can go over it again, if you would like.

O. But this came from Stan Walters?

- A. All of that came from Stan Walters' training, yes, ma'am.
- Q. Okay. And you find Mr. Walters' ideas to be helpful in detecting deception?
 - A. He's one of the instructors, yes, ma'am.
 - Q. Okay. Do you list any other instructors here?
- A. Where is my training and experience section? Okay.

No, ma'am, because there are a lot of them.

Q. Sure.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

- A. So this is the one that I attended numerous classes that he had. There's also one by the name of Rhoades, if I remember his name.
 - O. R-H-O-D --
- A. Maybe Stephen Rhoades. R-H-O-A-D-E-S, maybe, Rhoades. I can't remember. His was a long time ago. I've taken a few of his classes.

I have not depended upon any body language classes, any -- any anything of that nature from law enforcement officers who teach in an interdiction setting; only from people whom I consider to be experts in the matter.

Q. Sure. And you consider Mr. Walters to be an expert in the matter?

Page 251 1 Yes, ma'am. Α. Q. Okay. MS. HEBERT: Let's look at Exhibit G. 3 4 What number are we on? MR. FOX: 114. 5 (Exhibit 114 marked) 6 7 (BY MS. HEBERT) We're going to hand you Q. what's been marked Exhibit 114. 8 9 Α. Sure. 10 I'm not going to ask you to review --Q. 11 MR. BARRON: Do you have another copy for 12 me? 13 MR. FOX: Yeah, here you go. 14 (BY MS. HEBERT) I'm not going to ask you to Q. review the entirety of this document. I want you to 15 16 just look at the cover page and then to the bio, which 17 is the next page after the cover page. 18 Α. Yes, ma'am. 19 Is this the Stan Walters whose trainings you Q. 20 have attended and his materials you have reviewed? 21 Α. Yes, ma'am. 22 Let's go -- the pages are numbered, but they 23 don't start until a few pages in. 24 Α. Okay. 25 Can you go to the page numbered No. 4? Q.

A. Okay.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. And can you tell me what the copyright here is on this page at the bottom?
 - A. 2024.
- Q. Okay. And let's go to page 7. And I'm going to go -- I'm going to read this second block of text.

 It's about midway through the page. "Interview and interrogation is a very labor-intensive mental process.

 One very common mistake interviewers make is spending so much time and energy on their analysis of their subject's behaviors that they forget the most important part of interviewing: Listening."

Did I read that correctly?

- A. You did.
 - Q. Do you agree with this statement?
- A. I do.
- Q. Have you seen officers make this mistake?
- A. I have seen myself make this mistake, and I almost got killed over it.
 - Q. That sounds pretty traumatic.
- A. Well, I almost lost my life. This is great stuff. Body language, interview techniques, patterns. It's great stuff. I learned a lot from it. I was very successful in my career using it. However, when -- and I'll give you my example of what happened to me.

2.2

Page 253

I focused so much on finding drug traffickers and utilizing body language and speech patterns that I had challenged myself to be able to find drug smugglers and get them to tell me where the drugs were in the car without me even having to ask for consent to search. That's how I challenged myself in this business. And I became fairly successful at it and I made many loads on Interstate 35 to where I found the car, I talked with them, I interviewed them and they told me the drugs -- where they were, what they were and where they were going, right.

And that was, you know, I was -- I really liked it, right. I mean, it was very successful work. But what I failed to do was pay attention to my police training 101, and that is a lot of what happened with Deputy Babb, or what didn't happen with Deputy Babb, and that is I failed to watch the signs of a person that's armed because I was so focused on interviewing people through training I had gotten from Sam Walters, right.

And that almost cost me my life because the person that I'm speaking about had two 45 handguns on him, he had a tactical shotgun with a lot of rounds in the truck and he was out to kill people. And I did not pat him down because I was so focused on not

listening and doing what I was doing. So, yes, I do agree. This is a great tool, you know. Investigation of bad guys is a great tool, but it's a real business.

Q. Understood.

- A. And if you do not pay attention to everything and you lose focus and you don't listen, you will get killed in this business, which is why you pat people down for safety.
- Q. Understood. And I'm sorry that happened to you.
- A. Oh, no, I learned from it. That was a learning experience for me.
- Q. When you reviewed the body camera footage of Deputy Babb and he had Alek in the front seat of his vehicle, or before that, so just when you reviewed the body camera footage of Deputy Babb, did you evaluate whether Deputy Babb was making the same mistake you made, failing to listen?
- A. I don't -- I can't -- again, I can't say what Deputy Babb was thinking or what he saw.
 - O. Of course.
- A. But, however, from my training with deception, with body language, that's why I say, and I keep saying that at Deputy Babb's experience level that he had, that put him at a different category from where --

where other officers may be, right. So Deputy Babb was learning. He was in the process of learning body language, speech patterns and he didn't necessarily do everything like this training tells you to do.

Q. Sure.

- A. Right, because he hasn't formally had a lot of this training and he hasn't put it to real-life use, you know, in interview settings. There's a difference in interviewing a person with all of this education from deception and body language and making that work on the side of the road is two total different types of an interview. You're using basically the same training, you're using the same methods, but it's different because you don't have a controlled room like we're in today and you can't just sit and focus on that person in the front seat of that car and that's why I prefer not to use the front seat approach is because I cannot watch and listen and use these tactics with body language to the level that I prefer.
- Q. I think something that might help us, let me -- actually, will you look at page 13?
 - A. On this -- The Lie Guy.
- Q. Yes, The Lie Guy. And are you familiar with Mr. Walters' discussion of contamination?
 - A. I would have to read this. It's been so long

since I've been to any of his classes.

Q. Sure. And I'll just -- look midway down the pages, "What can contaminate a subject's behavior? The list can be endless. External distractions, such as noises, too many people around while watching or listening, cell phones, knocks at the door, other investigators or supervisors interrupting, temperature extremes, most importantly in the interview, aggressive behavior, condescending behavior, accusatory behavior, encroachment on a subject's personal space, not listening, interrupting the subject, yelling, anger, finger pointing and many, many more."

Did I read that correctly?

A. You did.

- Q. Is that what you're referring to, distractions, other things that might cause -- or interfere with your ability to detect dishonesty?
- A. As I put in my report, yes, ma'am, that's what I was referring to.
- Q. Okay. Let's go to page 14, which is the next page, and I'm going to read the second paragraph. "One of the biggest killers of all effective interviews is what I call the preconception assassin. If you're wandering through the woods looking for signs of Big Foot because you believe there's one hiding in your

Page 257 backyard, you are going to find signs of Big Foot." 1 2 Did I read that correctly? You did. 3 Α. 4 Ο. Can we refer to, for just like shorthand, this paragraph as "the Big Foot problem," just between you 5 and I, just rather than like go through this again? 6 7 Α. Yeah. Can we call this the Big Foot problem? 8 Ο. 9 Α. Absolutely. Are you familiar with the concept of the Big 10 Q. 11 Foot problem? 12 Α. It occurs in law enforcement as a whole. 13 Ο. Okay. Tell me about your understanding of the Big Foot problem. 14 15 Well, I mean, I haven't necessarily heard of 16 this particular -- you know, this is probably something 17 that was, you know, after my time of going to his 18 classes. 19 Q. Sure. 20 So I don't recall hearing this in his classes before, plus he has different levels of the classes. 21 22 So, you know, this may be one of the classes that I did 23 not attend with this curriculum. However, every law enforcement officer 24 25 and investigator should -- they can create false

confessions simply by interviewing, right, because they become so focused on what they believe that, you know, if they don't look at everything as a whole, they can actually misread what's going on. It happens all the time with homicide investigations, right. That's how innocent people end up in prison.

And that's a lot of what you're reading here. You know, I mean, if your -- if your preconceived thought is this and, by golly, I'm going to prove that through product body language or whatever, then you're losing focus. The officer is losing focus on everything else to exonerate this person, right.

So in law enforcement training you're taught not to do that. You're taught to look at everything. In fact, prove this person isn't guilty, you know, don't look at it like he is guilty, and that's the way you should view it. So I hope that helps me explain that that's what this is.

Q. That does help.

Can we skip to page 35? And this -- the top of this page says, "Chapter No. 4, Verbal Behaviors."

Did I read that right?

A. You did.

Q. And I'm going to skip down to the section that says, "Voice Quality."

Do you see where I am?

A. I do.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. I'm going to read that -- this portion.

"Changes in voice quality are not a sign of deception.

Pitch changes: Up - most consistent with frustration, anger and excitement; down - most consistent with isolation, depression. Volume changes: Louder - most consistent with anger -- excitement, anger. Softer - most consistent with depression, disinterest."

Did I read those correctly?

- A. You did.
- Q. Do you agree with Mr. Walters that changes in voice quality are not signs of deception?
 - A. If you will look at the top of this page.
- Q. Sure.
- A. And I'm assuming this was downloaded off of the Internet?
 - Q. This is what Mr. Walters provided us.
 - A. Provided for you?
 - O. Yeah.
- A. So it's a basic guide, right. So when you take this one page with voice quality analysis, it could be construed that way. But if you -- this is

Page 260

intended for if it's just this, right, if it's just the change in pitch or the tone and you have nothing else.

That's what I said earlier. There's no one certain thing that means that a person is lying. However, if you have a cluster of things, you have the voice and pitch changes along with the stretching, along with the interview at particular times where this occurs each and every time and they cover their mouth and they nervous laugh and they have speech patterns, they are part of the cluster and they are signs of deception.

And, you know, if you were to watch the video of where I had the guy with the guns and I realized that he had the guns --

- O. It's not a video in this case?
- A. No, it is not a video in this case. However, I'm giving that as an example of my --
 - Q. Sure. Just clarifying the video for the jury.
- A. Oh, okay. My pitch in my voice, upon realizing what was going on, went very high, skyrocketed, right, because my brain is now saying, "Oh, man, you just made a mistake, you know, you almost got yourself killed." And so my pitch changed because I was under extreme stress during that time. And you can see that -- I use that video in my law enforcement

training, believe it or not, it's the last video that I use, to show change in voice quality and pitches when a person is under stress.

So I do not agree with this just one page by itself in the circumstances of the case that I reviewed or -- because it's all about timing and clusters, things of that nature.

Q. Understood, understood. In the example that you just talked about with the mistake you made in one of your policing encounters, you had a voice change that went really high.

Is that my fair understanding of what you're saying?

A. Yes.

- Q. And your pitch change wasn't because you were trying to be deceptive?
- A. No, not -- not in that circumstance, but it was a stress. It's about stressing a person, right. It's about interviewing a person, having a baseline on the way they answer on nonthreatening questions, nonstressful questions compared to stress questions, and then you evaluate those changes in voice and pitch changes.

Some people will not say anything at all. Their voice will get so low. I've had -- I've had

occasions on interdiction stops, when I ask a question about drugs, they won't even answer. They will shake their head no where every other time they have been saying yes or no. So that would be a change in pitch because they didn't say anything at all.

Q. Right.

- A. So that's what this refers to.
- Q. Okay. Let's go to page 39. Now, is this -- is the title of this page "Chapter 5, Nonverbal Behaviors"?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. I'm going to read some of the second paragraph, probably just the first sentence. "Errors in the analysis of spotting deception are most frequently made when diagnosing body language."

Did I read that correctly?

- A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. Do you agree with that statement?
- A. I do.
 - Q. I'm going to read the first sentence of the next paragraph. "The largest portion of those nonverbal cues are associated with changing levels of stress that our subject may be experiencing. However, we must remember a sign of stress does not always equate with deception."

Page 263 Did I read that sentence correctly? 1 2 Α. You did. Do you agree with that statement? 3 Ο. 4 Α. I do. Let's go back to your report. I think we're 5 Q. done with this exhibit. 6 7 Α. Okay. And we're returning to Exhibit 111, and let's 8 9 go to page 7. In the last full paragraph, do you see 10 it starts, "Deputy Babb asked Schott"? 11 Α. On page 7? 12 Q. Oh, sorry, wrong one, page 7. This one. 13 MR. BARRON: What page? 14 MS. HEBERT: Hold on. Let me figure out 15 right where I am. 16 (BY MS. HEBERT) Okay. Do you see page 7, the Ο. 17 last full paragraph? 18 Α. Yes, ma'am. 19 It begins "Deputy Babb began"? Q. 20 Α. Yes, ma'am. 2.1 The second sentence, "Schott appeared," do you Ο. 22 see that? 23 Yes, ma'am. Α. 24 I'm going to read the entirety of that 25 sentence.

A. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. "Schott appeared to have visible deep and rapid breathing, noticeable by the rise -- deep rise and fall of his chest and opening his mouth with respirations, including tightening his lips on several occasions. That in itself could be construed as nervous behavior of a person receiving a traffic citation. However, in this case, Deputy Babb had indicated the infraction would be a warning."

Did I read that correctly?

- A. You did.
- Q. Okay. Did you see these behaviors and body language on the body-worn camera from Deputy Babb?
- A. Yes, that was placed on the magnet mount within the vehicle.
- Q. Okay. So you, independent of Deputy Babb, saw these things that you're describing --
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
 - Q. -- on Deputy Babb's body-worn camera footage?
 - A. Yes, ma'am, very clear.
- Q. Okay. Did you consider whether these body reactions were signs of stress?
 - A. They were signs of stress, in my opinion.
 - Q. Okay. Did you conclude that they were signs of deception?

A. No.

- Q. I think let's take a five-minute break and then I can tell you whether we're done for the day.
 - A. Okay.
 - Q. Does that make sense?
 - A. Sure, yeah.

(Recess from 4:29 p.m. to 4:35 p.m.)

O. (BY MS. HEBERT) A couple of final questions.

When an officer is going to pull someone over for speeding, how do they evaluate whether someone was speeding?

A. As I mentioned earlier, from my training, my experience and the things that officers should do is, first, you should identify the car, right, in comparison to other traffic, which car is going faster or appears to be passing other cars. That's the first thing.

The second thing is to determine with a measurement device, that being a radar or a lidar, depending. It could be a radar that -- you know, a radiowave radar or it could be a lidar that's basically a laser that hits the front plate of the car and then, you know, determines the speed. And that way you're checking whether or not that person that you saw passing traffic faster is indeed actually speeding or

maybe the other traffic is going slower and that person is actually going the speed limit.

- Q. Okay. And would you ever pull someone over for speeding without doing the second step?
 - A. Yes, you can.

- Q. And when would you do that?
- A. When -- you can pace which -- pace a car to where you can get the behind the car and then pace it to see what speed that it's going. You can do that.

And then it also depends on the traffic behavior. I mean, depending on traffic, how fast the car is going, you know, it may not be the speed. It may be considered reckless driving. And then if it's considered reckless driving, that's -- that's not even a Class C misdemeanor.

Then you're into a Class B misdemeanor to where they are committing, you know, numerous violations in a reckless manner, you know, like if they're weaving and they almost caused a crash and they appear to be going fast, you may not have gotten them on radar, but you saw something that was potentially a crash, right. So you can stop them not necessarily for a radar stop where they have seen the actual speed, but you can stop them for the reckless driving behavior.

Q. Okay. When you're stopping them for reckless

behavior, you're not stopping them for just speeding. Is that fair?

- That's fair. Most of the time it's a combination. We always -- you know, three or more traffic violations, right. That is not the case, I believe, in this circumstance, a reckless driving case.
- So I just want to understand the end of that. Q. Reckless driving is three or more traffic violations?
- Well, not necessarily. It's -- you know, it's almost like a drunk driver, you know, maybe in a crash, almost a crash, traveling 110 miles an hour, things of that nature, right. That's why I don't necessarily consider this a reckless driving case by any means.
- Understood. Okay. I just wasn't 0. understanding exactly what you were saying.

So when someone is speeding, you identify how the car is moving compared to traffic and you do kind of one or -- one of two things. You either use radar or you pace the car.

Am I understanding your testimony?

- That's exactly right, yes, ma'am. Α.
- Ο. Can you give me an estimate about how far you can see, like what's your distance of your sight?
 - Me personally? Α.
 - Uh-huh. Q.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

A. You know, I mean, we're, what, one, two blocks away. There's a silver SUV that's about to make the right turn at the corner. I can clearly see the color of the vehicle. It looks like it's a Toyota, maybe, you know. So, I mean, I can see that distance, at least. That's two, 2-1/2 blocks away and I can clearly see the color and the make of the car.

- Q. Let the record reflect that the witness is looking out the window --
 - A. I'm sorry.

Q. -- to see what's going on outside the window to evaluate how far he can see.

And based on your view out the window, you're saying you can clearly see things two blocks away. Is that fair?

- A. Oh, yeah, easily. So if I'm in the position of where I'm looking for a specific vehicle, as in this case, an F-350 that's dark in color, I'm going to be able to see that truck even further than -- than from here to two or 2-1/2 blocks.
- Q. Okay. So, I mean, do you think you could see three blocks away, you could see the vehicle?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And three blocks away, do you think you could see whether the driver had a seatbelt on?

A. No, I could not.

- Q. So is it fair to say that you could identify the vehicle from three blocks away, but you might not be able to see other things that are happening with the vehicle?
 - A. That's correct.

MR. BARRON: Objection, form.

THE WITNESS: That's correct.

- Q. (BY MS. HEBERT) Did you do anything to evaluate what Deputy Babb could have seen in terms of the operation of the vehicle compared to the lane of travel from where he was sitting, how far he could see there?
 - A. I don't -- I can't --
- Q. I don't think I did a good job of phrasing that question, so let me think of a better way to phrase it.

Did you do anything to evaluate at what point when Mr. Schott was approaching Deputy Babb,

Deputy Babb could have seen if Alek Schott's tires crossed the lines on marking the lane of travel?

A. I based my evaluation on the video from

Mr. Schott's vehicle, right. There's a curve in the

road -- Interstate 35, there's a slight curve. There

was an Amazon 18-wheeler in the right lane and the road

straightens out and then it goes -- it's straight.

There was a tree in the east median, there was another vehicle in the right lane ahead of that.

During that time, just from the video that I observed, I viewed, I could see Deputy Babb's patrol car in the -- in the right ditch facing the freeway. That's -- again, it goes back to resolution of the camera, the lens of the camera, but that's a very small lens on that camera and I can see Deputy Babb's patrol car. A reasonable person could believe that Deputy Babb, who is looking for the F-250, could see the F-250 from the same distance.

Q. Sure, understood.

- A. So that distance is what I used to gauge my opinions on.
- Q. Sure. And is there a difference between seeing the vehicle and seeing the vehicle commit a traffic violation?
- A. Again, I can't speculate as to what Deputy
 Babb saw. I can't say how far away he saw the car.
 It's not clear to me from any testimony exactly where
 the vehicle was, other than he had stated it was before
 it reached him and after it passed him.
- Q. Understood. But my question is, is there a difference between seeing the vehicle and seeing the

traffic violation?

- A. Again, I can't answer that question in this particular case.
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. I can't answer that.
- Q. So is it your testimony that if you can see the vehicle, you can see the failure to maintain the lane?

MR. BARRON: Objection, form.

THE WITNESS: Again, that's a speculation question. I can't say that. There are so many variances in that.

Q. (BY MS. HEBERT) Sure. At we sit here today, is your opinion that Deputy Babb had probable cause to stop Alek Schott?

MR. BARRON: Objection, form.

THE WITNESS: From -- again, from everything that I reviewed, from the videotape from Mr. Schott's vehicle, from the depositions by Deputy Babb, who stated that he saw the violation of failure to drive in a single marked lane, I can only conclude that he's truthful in his answer and that the violation occurred according to what I saw in the video.

Q. (BY MS. HEBERT) So is the answer -- the answer to that question yes?

Page 272 1 MR. BARRON: Objection, form. 2 THE WITNESS: Again, I can't speculate on what he saw. 3 4 Ο. (BY MS. HEBERT) Okay. I can only answer in the way that I answered 5 Α. it. 6 7 Okay. Is there anything that we reviewed Q. today that caused you to doubt your opinion as put 8 9 forth in this report? 10 MR. BARRON: Objection, form. 11 Q. (BY MS. HEBERT) So anything that we reviewed 12 today that caused you to doubt your conclusions in this 13 case? 14 No, absolutely not. Α. 15 Okay. Do you intend to amend your report? Q. 16 At this time, I do not. Α. 17 Q. We have no further questions today, but we're 18 going to hold the deposition open should you amend your report --19 20 Α. Of course. 2.1 -- or something of that nature. Ο. 22 MS. HEBERT: But, otherwise, we pass the 23 witness. 24 Okay. So subject to -- so MR. BARRON: 25 subject to him amending his report, you pass this

	Page 273
1	witness?
2	MS. HEBERT: Sure.
3	MR. BARRON: Understood.
4	Hector, are you going to do this to me?
5	Do you have questions?
6	MR. SAENZ: No, sir. We will reserve our
7	questions until the time of trial.
8	MR. BARRON: I appreciate that. And I
9	reserve my questions for the time of trial as well.
10	Thank you.
11	(Proceedings concluded at 4:46 p.m.)
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

```
Page 274
 1
                      UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                        WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
 2
                          SAN ANTONIO DIVISION
 3
       ALEK SCHOTT,
           Plaintiff,
 4
                                      ) Civil Action No.
       v.
 5
                                      ) 5:23-cv-00706-OLG-RBF
       JOEL BABB, in his individual )
 6
       and official capacity;
       MARTIN A. MOLINA III, in his )
 7
       individual and official
       capacity; JAVIER SALAZAR,
 8
       in his individual and
       official capacity; and BEXAR )
 9
       COUNTY, TEXAS,
           Defendants.
                                      )
10
11
                  REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION OF THE ORAL
12
                       DEPOSITION OF GARY HASTON
                            JANUARY 10, 2025
13
14
                 I, Donna Wright, a Certified Shorthand
15
       Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of
16
       Texas, hereby certify to the following:
                 That the witness, GARY HASTON, was duly
17
18
       sworn by the officer and that the transcript of the
       oral deposition is a true record of the testimony given
19
2.0
       by the witness;
21
                 That the original deposition was delivered to
22
       Ms. Stephen Barron;
23
                 That a copy of this certificate was served on
       all parties and/or the witness shown herein on
24
25
```

I further certify that pursuant to FRCP Rule 30(3) that the signature of the deponent:

__X__ was requested by the deponent or a party before the completion of the deposition and that the signature is to be before any notary public and returned within 30 days from date of receipt of the transcript. If returned, the attached Changes and Signature page contains any changes and the reasons therefore:

____ was not requested by the deponent or a party before the completion of the deposition.

I further certify that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties or attorneys in the action in which this proceeding was taken, and further that I am not financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of the action.

Certified to by me on this, the 14th day of January, 2025.

DOLRawright

DONNA WRIGHT, Texas CSR 1971
Expiration Date: 11/30/26
VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS
300 Throckmorton Street
Ft. Worth, Texas 76102
Firm Registration No. 571

Page 276 1 Stephen Barron, Esq. 2 sbarron@w-g.com 3 January 14, 2025 4 RE: Schott, Alek v. Babb, Joel, et al. 5 1/10/2025, Gary Haston (#7035888) The above-referenced transcript is available for 6 7 review. Within the applicable timeframe, the witness should 8 9 read the testimony to verify its accuracy. If there are 10 any changes, the witness should note those with the 11 reason, on the attached Errata Sheet. 12 The witness should sign the Acknowledgment of 13 Deponent and Errata and return to the deposing attorney. 14 Copies should be sent to all counsel, and to Veritext at 15 cs-midatlantic@veritext.com. 16 Return completed errata within 30 days from 17 receipt of testimony. 18 If the witness fails to do so within the time allotted, the transcript may be used as if signed. 19 20 2.1 22 Yours, 23 Veritext Legal Solutions 24 25

Caho++	Alok r	abb Tool of a	1
		sabb, Joel, et al	L •
Gary Has	ton (#703		
D. C.		ERRATA SI	
PAGE	LINE	CHANGE	
		CHANCE	
		CHANGE	
		CHANGE	
REASON			
PAGE	LINE	CHANGE	
REASON			
PAGE	LINE	CHANGE	
REASON			
PAGE	LINE	CHANGE	
Gary Has	ton		Date

		Page 278
Schott, Alek v.	. Babb, Joel, et al.	
Gary Haston (#7	7035888)	
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF DEPONENT	
I, Gary Has	ston, do hereby declare that I	
have read the f	foregoing transcript, I have m	ade any
corrections, ad	dditions, or changes I deemed	necessary as
noted above to	be appended hereto, and that	the same is
a true, correct	t and complete transcript of t	he testimony
given by me.		
Gary Haston	Date	
*If notary is r	required	
	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BE	FORE ME THIS
	DAY OF	, 20
		_
	NOTARY PUBLIC	

[**& - 2023**] Page 1

&	110 3:10 9:12	14 3:12 162:22	1994 40:6
	9:13,14 11:12	164:14 256:20	1995 26:16
& 1:22 2:14	13:24 267:11	276:3	1997 22:24
166:22	111 2:20 3:11	14c 164:8	43:18 204:12
0	11:14,16 96:2	14d 174:3,5	1998 215:13
00706 1:5	96:3 153:10	14g 158:4	1:37 153:2
274:5	263:8	14th 275:17	1:50 162:10
04/18/2024	112 3:12 13:22	15 59:19	1:56 162:10
159:22	13:23 14:3	122:20 207:13	2
1	113 3:13	215:25	
1 23:1 153:11	210:15,16	150 32:25	2 3:2 23:1
153:11 155:12	114 3:14 251:5	34:23 92:20,24	164:4 223:3 228:12
164:4 222:4	251:6,8	93:1,5,10	2-1/2 268:6,20
242:6 243:19	11:00 92:9	16 221:11	2/27/24 164:4
245:19,22	11:12:26	225:12 228:14	20 63:13 95:16
1,500 126:12	221:15	229:7	246:9 278:15
1.1 22:2	11:12:49	160 3:19	20,000 70:11
1/10/2025	222:11	16th 96:5,9	200 2:15
276:5	11:12:56	97:7 100:18,24	200-9697 2:16
10 1:14,19 59:4	222:22	207:22 243:4	2000 126:15
127:5 178:8	11:12:59	17 10:19	2000s 42:10
242:13 274:12	222:22	216:14,17	2015 149:18
100 25:19	11:13 223:24	223:17	2016 24:16
188:18	11:13:13 223:7	18 122:17	2017 215:5,9,11
101 253:15	12 170:2	124:7 182:21	215:14,15,23
10:10 57:17	197:10 198:23	199:24 219:15	2019 239:7
10:24 57:17	198:24 201:14	269:25	2020 19:4,5
11 3:11 144:10	12,000 20:9	19 242:3,4	22:19 191:3
155:13,17	12:15 142:13	245:22	2022 96:4,5,9
157:11 190:17	12:29 153:1	19082 275:21	97:2,7 100:17
11/30/26	13 59:19	1971 275:22	100:24 207:22
275:22	122:20 159:15	1990 42:3	2023 158:6
	206:12 255:21	1990s 42:4	177:20

[**2024 - 8/1/24**] Page 2

2024 14:19,20	29 149:11,11	4	571 275:24
252:4	2:15 116:12	4 3:5 243:21	575 149:18
2025 1:14,19	3	251:25 258:22	5:23 1:5 274:5
19:6 274:12	3 23:1 52:14	4/12/2022	6
275:18 276:3	223:5 275:2	175:1 177:23	6 154:22,25
210 2:22 3:13	3,700 92:15	40 95:6,19	165:10 169:9
22203 2:10	93:9	4135 239:8	237:8,9 239:1
22nd 96:4,13	30 56:11 68:23	43 23:10	239:1 243:8,19
97:2 100:17	92:2,5,12	45 212:2,2	245:19,22
174:20 242:24	144:10,11	253:22	682-9320 2:11
243:4	148:19 158:22	4700 1:22 2:15	6:00 93:21
23 22:23 155:9	275:2,6 276:16	480-5936 2:6	6th 158:5
24 14:21,22	300 275:23	49 3:19 160:20	7
17:10,25 94:8	324 199:25	161:24 162:13	7 32:14 33:2
25 14:20,21	200:6,13,17	4:03 246:5	252:5 263:9,11
131:3,14	348 149:18	4:29 265:7	263:12,16
250 108:1,1	35 26:18,20,22	4:35 265:7	703 2:11
122:6 124:10	85:4 97:4	4:46 1:19	703 2.11 7035888 276:5
128:4,14 143:2	108:4 109:21	273:11	277:2 278:2
143:9 144:5	110:16 111:8	5	72 222:16
178:15 207:19	120:3 126:10	5 21:14,18,22	75 102:1
234:19,21	126:20 127:6	154:17,19,22	221:24
239:7 242:11	130:25 141:9	154:25 157:7	76102 275:24
242:17,20	141:17 142:3	159:11 160:13	78205 2:21
243:2,6,22 270:11,12	147:13 221:24	162:22 165:10	78701 2:5
250s 122:13	227:4 239:8	174:5 187:10	78723 2:16
250s 122:13 251 3:14	241:5,22 243:3	242:25 243:1	7th 177:20
271-7877 2:22	243:6 253:8	262:9	8
271-7877 2.22 274 3:6	258:21 269:24	5,000 48:11	
28 95:25 96:2	350 268:18	50 18:7,8 174:7	8 149:1,10
106:20 121:23	39 262:8	512 2:6,16	182:15
121:24 142:22	3:52 246:5	53 199:20	8/1/24 164:4
121.24 142.22		200:5,12,17	
		, , , -	

Veritext Legal Solutions

[816 - advantage]

Page	3

Г			
816 2:4	248:2 253:3	acknowledge	145:13 148:8
84 216:11	268:19 269:4	278:3	181:14 186:10
221:23 236:7	abnormal	acknowledg	198:14 214:24
840 2:21	56:24	276:12	224:9 231:19
9	above 1:18	act 113:18	244:14 246:7
9 3:10 182:2	86:13 276:6	acted 115:10	255:21 258:4
184:16	278:7	187:25	265:25 266:2
900 2:10	abruptly 208:8	acting 51:20	adamant 78:3
901 2:10	223:25	action 1:4	add 116:25
90s 25:10 27:7	absolutely 28:7	131:12 274:4	129:21 131:19
27:9,10,11,11	38:12 70:16	275:14,16	addiction 63:1
970 2:5	113:11 128:3	actions 42:21	addition 28:14
9:07 1:19	154:9,12 221:9	99:12 172:11	54:1 61:1
	257:9 272:14	190:20 196:10	114:15 128:17
a	abuse 70:9	activities 61:8,9	184:14
a.m. 1:19 57:17	academy 54:22	88:23 141:13	additional
57:17	61:2,25 62:2	activity 29:23	12:23 13:1,4,5
abcdefghijkl	accepted	51:10 118:22	166:25 167:25
35:6	190:22	119:1,2,3	207:25
abiding 97:13	access 157:12	actual 26:7	additions 98:19
ability 234:20	accident 38:22	169:21 204:3	278:6
234:21 256:17	214:4	220:1 266:23	address 56:6
able 8:5 33:15	accordance	actually 13:6	140:18
33:17 44:9	188:1	17:7,10 19:12	adds 148:10
52:15 53:15	account 201:3	19:16,22 23:5	administration
55:18 72:12	accredited	26:1 31:13	23:24 24:10,16
75:14,23 80:5	199:25 200:13	33:10,13,15	112:21 127:13
81:1,1 87:12	accuracy 214:7	34:23 35:7	237:7,9
143:11,11,16	276:9	36:1 40:25	administrator
144:5 196:25	accurate 65:13	42:17 53:6	190:3
213:19 234:12	212:14,19	63:8 72:9 87:4	admission
234:12,21	214:6 215:6	94:15 95:13	129:5
235:3,7 236:12	accusatory	98:13 113:18	advantage
247:24,25	256:9	117:18 126:4	63:17

Veritext Legal Solutions

[affair - answered]

Page	4
------	---

affair 187:4	air 25:13	alert 181:6	amounts 26:14
affairs 187:1,3	215:21	184:22	26:15
affect 113:25	airing 177:20	alerted 181:7,8	amtrak 24:4
afoot 119:2	airplane 51:4	allotted 276:19	analysis 171:11
age 22:4 64:1	51:14	allow 169:18	175:24 177:16
agencies 21:5	airplanes 116:2	allowed 16:5	240:2,4 252:10
22:10 98:13	airport 20:24	61:8 204:22	259:24 262:14
agency 16:11	115:23,24	allows 97:15	anger 256:11
16:14 60:25	116:4,7,14,17	alongside	259:8,10,10
79:4 117:5	118:12	230:25	angle 219:24
119:12,13	al 276:4 277:1	alphabet 35:6	229:6
204:10	278:1	35:10	angles 214:19
agent 46:19	albert 91:8	alternating	answer 6:8,21
aggressive	alcohol 8:16	137:6	7:1,3 28:23
256:8	26:1,8	amazon 219:14	62:4 76:8
ago 4:9,19,22	alek 1:3 4:8	219:17,17	80:17 85:1
17:10 39:24	128:4 163:6	220:13 221:18	87:12 88:10
68:18 215:10	166:9 209:25	221:23 228:6	104:9 111:1,5
215:14 250:17	216:6 217:22	269:25	112:14 125:9
agree 6:6,20	219:4,5 220:4	ambiguity	125:10 132:4
65:12 210:22	224:6,22 230:4	216:16	135:14 138:24
214:20 215:13	232:19 233:21	ambulances	165:24 169:5
252:15 254:2	234:2 236:24	21:2	173:9 175:14
259:14 261:4	241:18 245:23	amend 12:9,12	176:5 178:3
262:18 263:3	254:14 269:20	272:15,18	185:6 195:10
agreed 18:15	271:15 274:3	amending	212:5 221:8
ah 37:21,21,21	276:4 277:1	272:25	231:6,8 248:3
ahead 48:3	278:1	america 24:6	249:22 261:20
119:7 141:3	alek's 178:1	amount 72:5,8	262:2 271:2,5
160:21 194:6	207:22 208:13	72:9,13 126:12	271:22,24,25
221:19,19	209:4 211:11	127:11 179:2	272:5
270:3	225:18 226:19	180:17,20	answered
aided 68:5	226:24 227:6	200:10	140:11 218:16
			272:5

Veritext Legal Solutions 215-241-1000 ~ 610-434-8588 ~ 302-571-0510 ~ 202-803-8830

[answering - asking]

Page 5

answering 6:12	appearances	approval	arrive 241:23
6:14 7:13	3:2	205:12 207:7	arrived 241:5
134:13 135:1	appeared 192:8	approve 205:9	241:23
answers 5:23	194:3 224:17	approved	arriving 182:4
56:19 134:24	263:21 264:2	201:24 207:9	art 21:24,24
143:20 231:9	appears 67:23	approximately	artery 135:7
antonio 1:2	72:22 155:12	62:16	article 211:3
2:21 45:20	236:14 265:16	apps 106:24	articulable
89:2,3,5,21	appended	area 23:20,22	151:3
118:5 120:9,15	278:7	27:24,25 49:6	articulated
120:19 126:20	applicable	91:1 117:25	148:14
274:2	33:25 144:20	118:1 137:18	artificial 94:12
anybody 58:5	148:18 156:23	137:21,24	aside 168:3
58:12 68:3	276:8	138:4,16,19	asked 7:16 13:6
89:12,15 90:18	applied 190:22	139:19 203:15	13:9 15:1,3
117:18 153:6	apply 77:23	203:20 204:5,6	16:1 21:12
164:18	145:1 146:14	204:11,15	68:17 90:24
anymore 65:6	appointed 25:2	205:9 217:17	91:21 96:14
134:5	appreciate	areas 48:16	101:9,11 131:3
apart 100:1	159:13 188:20	139:13	134:21 166:13
101:5	273:8	argue 7:3	166:14,24
apartment	approach	argument 63:5	167:6 168:21
40:22	255:17	arlington 2:10	172:9 173:9
apologize	approached	armed 253:18	184:11,23
100:21 119:25	219:22 220:9	arms 127:1	186:15,16
143:19 185:20	222:11 230:12	array 62:5	189:17 193:25
235:25	231:3	arrest 51:24	229:23 263:10
app 113:7	approaching	74:6 77:9	asking 6:13
243:2	217:2 219:5,6	249:10	64:17 75:20
apparently	220:13 231:10	arrested 17:16	78:1 132:24
200:22	269:19	17:19 66:17	145:16 166:6
appear 266:20	appropriate	179:6	170:19 175:16
appearance	108:17	arrival 182:21	176:15,16
71:1 73:17			177:9 181:6

Veritext Legal Solutions 215-241-1000 ~ 610-434-8588 ~ 302-571-0510 ~ 202-803-8830

[asking - babb] Page 6

183:17 197:5	attached 1:24	audience 37:17	babb 1:5 2:13
238:12 242:7	275:7 276:11	audio 223:25	4:23 36:9,17
asks 147:20,20	attempted	austin 1:22 2:5	67:23 89:8
191:1	145:19	2:16 19:18,19	96:12 97:1
aspect 22:12	attempting	20:18,19 21:9	99:1,10,16
209:5	231:14	85:5 111:23	100:1,3,17,23
aspersions	attend 257:23	116:4,12	101:6 102:23
173:16	attended	117:11 118:5	103:1,3,7,25
assassin 256:23	250:12 251:20	118:13 131:3,6	104:12,20
assess 130:14	attention 32:24	131:14,15	105:15 123:24
assessing 132:9	42:25 43:2,11	authority	126:1 128:6
132:17	45:3,4,8 59:11	197:22	129:2,3,4
assets 19:17	80:16 83:21	automatically	130:10 137:14
assigned 23:20	231:9 253:14	37:22 133:6	143:2,9 144:4
27:23	254:5	available 276:6	144:12 150:25
assistant 31:2	attorney 13:10	avenue 2:4	151:2,5,9,11,14
assisted 246:22	15:19 17:19	average 58:3,5	151:22,25
associate	54:21 55:1	108:18 111:6	152:11,16,20
111:12	145:4,11 157:1	125:23	152:21 154:8
associated 34:3	157:3,4 198:6	avoid 5:25	163:12 166:9
191:16 206:16	198:13 276:13	avoidance	167:25 168:2,8
262:22	attorney's	216:16	168:11,14,21
association	15:20	avoided 169:1	172:11,17
89:19,20 91:3	attorneys 6:24	avoiding	173:3,11,24
assume 6:9	8:9 55:4 165:6	133:22	174:12 178:13
72:3 91:17	166:21,24	aware 9:1	182:9 183:7,18
101:6 119:12	207:24 212:9	12:15 169:23	184:6,23 185:3
121:20 125:20	275:14	awesome 59:17	185:7,18,23
assumed 40:24	auction 19:13	b	186:6,22,24
244:12	19:14,15,24	b 46:10 48:19	187:25 188:2
assuming 47:17	20:2,13 22:3	163:12 164:1	188:11 189:1,4
208:16 259:18	22:16	266:16	189:21,24
assumptions	auctioneer		192:7 193:10
165:6	19:21		194:15,18,22

[babb - based] Page 7

194:24 195:4,6	274:5 276:4	138:20,21	bankruptcy
195:14,25	277:1 278:1	140:25 141:4	21:7
199:7,24	babb's 12:23	145:23 146:4	bar 75:16
201:18,23	99:12 105:12	150:2 151:10	barron 2:14
202:8 206:18	124:4,5 129:7	151:24 153:3,9	4:22 9:13 10:4
206:23 216:25	146:17 151:23	159:11 162:12	10:7 21:17
217:3,3,10	152:15,19	162:21 168:24	57:13 58:8
218:15 219:5,7	163:16,20	174:5 176:23	88:14 90:7,20
219:25 220:7	185:14 188:22	179:25 181:4	99:14 100:9,13
220:14,14	199:4,14	184:24 185:4	103:5 109:4,4
222:16 224:10	207:24 216:5	186:5 192:24	109:16,17
224:25 225:1,6	217:22,25	195:2 201:13	110:15 111:6
228:14 230:12	218:11 219:3	203:8 212:2	111:10,12
230:19,21	219:23,23	215:24 219:20	112:1 127:7
231:1,2,10,12	230:6 231:4	241:4 249:4,7	142:13,20
231:14 232:8	233:22 234:11	263:5 270:7	149:5,8 152:25
232:18,22	236:22 244:9	backup 78:11	164:22 178:22
233:18,21	254:24 264:19	backyard	194:20 195:1
234:2,19,21	270:5,10	257:1	195:15 196:11
235:16 239:5	back 17:20	bad 6:18 22:21	198:3 211:13
240:7,12	24:9,11,17	67:10 88:2	215:7 246:2
241:17 242:9	31:8 44:15	129:3 130:7	251:11 263:13
242:16,20	49:14 58:18	224:25 254:3	269:7 271:9,16
243:1,5,12,21	59:10,13 60:14	badge 24:19	272:1,10,24
244:3 245:6,15	66:4 76:6 79:2	bag 64:1	273:3,8 274:22
245:21 253:16	84:1 87:1,2	116:19	276:1
253:16 254:14	91:14 106:17	baggage 116:20	barron's 111:8
254:16,17,20	108:16 110:23	118:11	bars 48:8
255:1 263:10	112:10 119:17	balance 56:8,23	based 49:20
263:19 264:8	120:5,19	57:6	62:12 75:20
264:13,16	121:22 123:22	ball 51:25	100:25 101:1
269:10,19,20	128:13 129:21	52:17	103:19 111:8
270:11,20	135:20,23,25	bankruptcies	114:11 117:10
271:14,20	136:2,3 137:10	21:5	153:13 169:7

[based - big] Page 8

173:8,22	beer 40:17	103:1,3,11	151:22 152:17
175:8,22	began 204:5	103.1,3,11	151.22 152.17
185:2 189:10	236:18 263:19	104.22 103.23	179:9
190:23 196:8		113:1 115:18	
	beginner 60:16		believes 119:21
197:25 201:23	60:18 62:10,10	124:8,17	benefit 77:22
208:4 211:10	beginning 19:6	125:12 128:9	157:5
218:22,24	120:24 226:4	128:14 129:17	bergstrom
224:20 228:1	226:10	145:13,14	116:4
229:2,15 230:5	begins 226:5	146:12 147:1	best 6:17 8:6
233:22 235:11	263:19	147:19 148:19	64:22 172:20
239:16,17	begs 66:14	151:1 152:19	better 24:22
245:16 268:13	behalf 15:21,24	157:16,18	47:3 73:10
269:22	15:24	158:2,9 160:2	131:23 155:17
baseline 134:22	behaving 75:14	166:13 174:17	175:14 202:13
134:24 135:16	behavior	174:20 184:10	240:2 269:16
135:21 136:1,9	132:19 256:3,9	184:12 185:14	bexar 1:8 2:19
249:22 261:19	256:9,9 264:7	186:9 187:21	5:3 13:7 88:17
basic 54:22	266:11,24	188:18 197:21	88:20,23 89:5
259:23	267:1	199:19 211:11	89:11 99:3,7,9
basically 25:4	behaviors	211:25 212:20	99:11 100:4
33:12 44:6	136:20 194:12	213:23 217:1	104:3 154:10
56:16 57:25	252:11 258:23	225:5,7 227:21	166:11,19
59:16 63:2	262:10 264:12	227:22,23	167:20 187:24
79:25 169:13	belief 113:5	228:8,10,16,16	189:19 190:2,3
187:9 243:8	believe 9:17	235:3 244:17	241:24 274:8
255:12 265:21	14:17 17:8,12	245:10 256:25	beyond 210:24
basics 67:1	19:4 34:8 38:3	258:2 261:1	bicycle 63:4
basil 76:4	53:5 59:6	267:6 270:10	big 29:19 52:10
basis 53:10	71:24 72:24	believed 100:2	58:18 86:2,3,9
bastrop 28:9	75:5 78:1,5	104:11 143:2,9	109:13 123:1
bear 36:7	81:6 87:25	144:4 146:19	129:23 138:8,9
beat 40:24	91:4 93:12	146:20,25	138:14,25
bed 124:13,13	96:25 98:9	147:15 148:15	141:7 148:9
128:22 147:14	102:22,25	148:23 151:14	247:16,17,23

[big - busy] Page 9

249,22 256,24	boat 51:14	border 48:17	broken 11:1
248:23 256:24 257:1,5,8,10,14	boats 50:2	60:8 89:3	217:4 219:6
	bodies 135:19	127:14 138:13	
bigger 122:16			223:10,10
123:4,16 142:4	body 44:14,15	bored 65:5	226:12,22,22
biggest 142:10	44:17 56:13	bottles 141:4,5	226:24 230:5
256:22	66:11 79:24	141:6	232:4
bio 251:16	80:24 106:13	bottom 92:7	brought 8:20
birth 62:8	124:2,4,5	184:17 206:13	9:9,23 140:19
bit 36:2 44:19	132:24 133:1,2	207:14 236:6	168:25
50:18,22 58:11	133:5,7 134:4	252:3	brow 86:13
58:15 65:10	134:18 135:5	boulevard 2:15	buckets 153:24
78:19 79:7	151:24 152:15	box 92:23	build 24:12
83:18 91:24	163:12,16,17	boxes 70:13	131:20
136:6 173:7	163:20 165:25	brain 36:5	building 66:19
185:10 202:16	166:2,8 169:3	99:24 260:21	built 211:7
224:20 237:1	185:23 186:6,7	brake 59:10	bulk 138:14
blackvue 3:14	189:13 191:21	brand 45:13,22	bumped 33:6
210:1,2,4,7,8	194:11 240:11	break 7:8,14	bumps 32:18
210:10 211:2,7	240:12,12	8:2 57:14	bunch 22:25
212:13	244:10,20	60:11 73:6	burn 74:22
blair 90:6,8	246:18,20	88:19 100:10	burnt 74:21
blanche 50:17	247:6,8,23	142:19 152:24	buses 24:4,4
block 252:6	248:1,7 249:14	153:7 162:9,11	51:4
blocked 218:1	250:19 252:22	246:2,7 265:2	business 21:6
blocks 66:19	253:2 254:13	breathing 58:5	22:12,17 52:5
268:1,6,14,20	254:16,23	58:6 133:21	52:6 56:10
268:22,24	255:2,10,18	135:10 264:3	76:20 86:14
269:3	258:10 262:15	bricks 71:4	130:21 234:15
blood 135:6,9	264:12,13,19	briefly 170:2	253:7 254:3,7
bloodshot	264:21	bring 33:11	businesses
74:23	bong 72:10	77:9	141:13
blue 85:22	bootlegging	broad 104:4	busy 29:24
board 35:1,1	26:3,5	247:15	126:16
	I	zal Calutions	I .

[button - car] Page 10

button 102:3	called 28:15	214:3,15,17,17	cans 40:18
213:15	35:25 72:16	214:19,19,21	capable 143:3
buyer 51:21	90:24 114:11	215:1,3,5,6	capacities
buys 52:13	116:5 178:7	219:24 220:1,6	65:11
bwc 163:12	239:6	224:4 225:19	capacity 1:6,7
164:8	calling 91:19	226:20,25	1:8 38:7 45:25
c	113:21	228:2,24 229:2	88:18 182:10
	calls 244:11	230:6 233:22	183:9 248:13
c 2:1 86:1 134:3 266:15	calm 85:25	235:12 236:21	274:6,7,8
cable 143:3,10	86:8,8,8,11	254:13,16	capital 23:20
143:16	134:1,8	264:13,19	23:22 27:24,25
cad 68:4,9	calms 70:5	270:8,8,9	capture 43:14
calculated	cam 124:2,4,5	cameras 24:21	241:14
200:13	151:24 163:5,6	34:14 39:1	captured 5:25
calculation	163:10 185:23	40:6 42:18	40:16
214:14 215:5	186:6,7 208:13	44:9,15,17	car 20:5,6
calculator	217:9 244:10	65:16,19,21	23:15 24:19,21
92:22,23	244:20	101:10 106:13	26:25 32:23
caldwell 28:10	camera 3:14	196:8 209:7,8	33:7 40:9,17
california	40:8,10,12,15	209:9,12,15,18	40:21,23 43:4
50:14 63:25	42:21 43:4,12	209:22 210:5	43:5,9 44:25
157:16,17	44:14 66:11,11	210:10 211:7	45:13,21 48:8
call 68:5 72:18	101:7 102:2,2	212:16,16,18	48:11,18,20,22
73:18 77:3,10	102:4,8,14	213:2,4,6,10,14	48:23 49:1,7
116:2,6,7	105:7,8,10,12	213:15,15,17	49:13 57:2
117:4 118:15	152:15 163:12	213:17,18,18	59:3,3 68:8
134:22 144:19	163:16,17,20	213:25 240:11	69:15,25 72:20
149:21 171:18	165:25 166:2,8	240:12,12	72:23 73:3,5
173:3 205:2	169:3 189:13	cams 44:3	74:13 75:8
222:2,15 231:3	189:14 207:22	canada 60:4,6	76:20 80:2,5
231:5 244:12	208:25 209:4	candidate	80:23 81:14
244:19 256:23	210:1,7 211:2	36:24 199:8	82:9 83:5,8,17
257:8	211:12 212:13	candidates	83:25 84:2,2,3
	212:20 213:11	37:17	84:6,8,8,9,11

[car - case] Page 11

04.10.14.16	0.1.004.14	100 10 107 16	144.00.05
84:13,14,16	careful 204:14	120:12 125:16	144:20,25
85:2,2,4,6,11	carotid 135:7	142:5	145:1,4,6,9,9
85:15 101:25	carpet 180:7	case 5:4 11:24	145:12,14
102:2,5,6,9,10	carrizo 108:3,5	12:2,5 14:13	146:12,15
105:18 106:3	108:11 117:25	14:25,25 15:4	148:18,22
112:17 113:21	118:4 129:19	15:5,22,23,25	149:12,17
114:21,22,24	168:24 184:8	16:3,7,8,10,16	150:8 153:6,15
119:21 120:14	239:8 240:25	16:25 17:9,13	153:21 154:1
120:16,17,21	241:1 243:2	17:13,15,21,24	155:2,13,18,21
123:6 124:6	244:5,18	18:9,11,13	155:22 156:4
133:15 135:19	carrying	21:21 34:4	156:10,16,25
140:9,10	116:16 117:12	39:12 54:24	157:16,18,21
145:16,23	cars 20:4,8,10	59:19 66:13,21	158:10,14,17
146:1,6,12	21:2,9 25:19	66:22 67:17	158:18,19,20
148:13 163:18	26:23 28:16	68:3,10,12	159:7,8 160:16
174:8 177:22	44:9 45:14	78:8 88:13,16	161:1,3,4,4,5,6
183:13 221:18	46:10 49:6,8	88:25 89:8,13	161:7,9,11,11
221:19 232:15	49:12,22 50:2	90:5,14,21,23	164:18,19,22
233:8,23 244:2	50:4 55:14,23	90:25 91:5,18	165:2,7,14
244:3 248:22	56:5,10,11	92:16,25 93:19	166:25 169:13
248:22 253:5,9	57:7,11 83:21	93:24 95:10	169:20 171:24
255:16 265:14	83:21 101:16	96:6 97:25	172:9 173:9
265:15,22	104:23 120:10	98:8 99:20	176:25 181:6
266:7,8,12	120:16 122:13	101:23 102:12	187:15,16,17
267:17,19	123:6,13	102:14,16	187:20,22
268:7 270:6,10	125:18 126:7	104:15,18	189:8,13,16
270:20	126:19 127:16	106:9 107:17	191:25 195:12
card 209:20	218:1,3,5,6,9	108:17 112:6	197:13,17,19
cards 22:3,5	236:11 265:16	115:11,23,24	197:22 198:1,1
career 64:6	carte 50:17	117:23 118:8,9	198:8,10,12,14
145:18 151:13	cartel 59:24	121:2,16	198:17,18
213:13 229:17	140:21	123:23 128:23	199:14 203:3,9
252:24	cartels 27:2	141:2,2,3	203:9,11,12,15
	48:4 58:23	142:25 143:7	203:23 211:18
	I		

[case - child] Page 12

212.4.214.22		4°C°4- 2.6	-1 240.22
212:4 214:22	categories	certificate 3:6	changes 249:22
229:18 234:19	164:14	200:9,10	259:6,7,9,14
235:8,21 236:2	category	201:12 206:1	260:6 261:22
236:3,15	254:25	274:23	261:23 275:7,8
245:25 247:2	caught 81:22	certificates	276:10 278:6
260:15,16	88:1 231:15	186:24,25	changing 14:21
261:5 264:8	cause 1:18	199:18,20	262:22
267:5,6,13	12:11 30:8	200:5,12,17,18	chapter 258:22
268:18 271:3	106:3 114:13	200:20,21	262:9
272:13	114:22 120:18	201:2,19,24	charge 49:16
cases 15:10,10	134:16 147:16	207:3,4	180:11
16:17 23:7,13	216:1 256:16	certification	chargeable
29:19 73:23	271:14	32:4 41:21	180:17,21
93:21,22	caused 231:11	42:2 274:11	charged 179:14
101:15 113:13	266:19 272:8	certifications	180:14
129:14 139:1	272:12	31:18,19,22	charges 68:1
145:7,20	causes 133:19	38:22 39:18	charging 92:15
155:24,24	cell 52:16 256:6	certified 33:23	92:25 93:1,2,3
156:2,5,6,7,8	cells 140:24,25	60:19 236:11	93:4
156:14,15,20	center 238:8,10	274:14 275:17	charles 2:20
157:6,8 184:2	238:10,13,14	certify 274:16	chart 190:15
184:3 192:11	238:18	275:1,12	chat 113:12
cash 116:10	centers 238:15	challenged	115:2,3
117:10	ceo 52:6,7	253:3,6	chebert 2:6
cast 173:16	certain 45:10	chance 162:12	check 94:20
cat 87:25	49:6 73:17	change 136:4	checking
catch 88:1	88:24 108:4	168:9 222:19	265:24
101:16,21	120:12 132:25	260:2 261:2,10	checkpoints
102:1 161:3	134:15,25	261:15 262:4	20:25 127:15
230:23 231:14	152:10 234:17	277:4,7,10,13	chest 264:4
232:19	238:19 249:15	277:16,19	chicago 85:5
catching 102:5	249:16 260:4	changed 98:20	chief 89:22
220:10	certainly	156:11 215:17	child 192:10
	214:25	260:23	

[children - come] Page 13

children 64:6	claim 116:20	clear 5:20,23	clusters 249:13
christen 2:3 4:7	claimed 112:2	6:2 76:24	261:7
ci 237:12,14	132:9,10	96:22 152:14	cocaine 51:25
cid 24:9 34:6	clarification	170:6 184:5	69:16 140:24
34:21	104:8	219:18 244:4,7	141:6 180:2,6
circuit 21:19	clarified 97:7	249:20 264:20	180:10
160:25	146:9	270:21	code 155:19,20
circumstance	clarify 16:6	clearheaded	216:1
87:2 180:9	65:18	8:11	coincides 232:8
261:17 267:6	clarifying	clearly 182:9	coke 133:15
circumstances	260:18	183:19 268:3,6	cold 105:16,19
75:8 76:7	clarity 150:22	268:14	105:22,23
125:22 130:8	182:24	clients 20:16	106:6,15
150:24 151:2,9	class 86:1	cliff 59:16	108:14 113:10
151:22 152:7	116:6 134:3	climb 51:22	146:16 148:24
168:18 172:11	204:23,24	52:4	168:6 192:25
172:14 181:5	205:1,2,5	clink 40:18	193:3
188:7 190:16	247:11 266:15	clip 163:7	collar 21:21
190:25 192:23	266:16	207:21 208:16	22:1
193:5,10	classes 77:20	208:19,20,20	colleagues 73:4
244:24 261:5	125:10 199:19	close 59:12	164:23
citation 264:8	201:11 204:13	closely 181:23	collect 100:11
cite 160:23	205:25 246:17	closer 45:8	179:15 193:16
cities 142:4	247:2 250:13	214:24	color 48:20
city 19:18,18	250:18,20	clothes 116:18	242:10 268:3,7
20:17,19	256:1 257:18	clothing 84:23	268:18
111:23 122:8	257:20,21,22	116:15 118:11	colorado 50:14
141:20,23,25	clean 9:25	cloud 209:19	combination
142:7 239:9	10:15 73:6	clue 116:2	124:15 220:7
civil 1:4,23	119:24 146:4	cluster 260:5	235:15 267:4
15:5,25 16:8	150:3 203:22	260:10	combined
18:13 41:1	cleaned 73:3	clustering	152:5
188:16,21	cleanup 203:6	249:18	come 15:16
274:4			16:1 22:9

[come - computers]

Page 14

23:12 31:8	commercial	communicati	complain 68:11
33:3 36:1	116:2	183:20	complained
49:21,22 55:2	commit 36:13	community	67:6
60:6 75:16	110:10 225:6,9	192:14	complaint
77:8 79:2	270:17	commuters	40:25 67:14
102:15 121:22	committed	120:6,8	185:15,16,17
126:23 135:12	234:6	compacted	186:9 187:4
136:2 138:20	committing	71:4	189:11,14
146:2 226:6	49:3 66:24	companies	244:14,15
comes 12:6	133:13 248:14	19:23 20:5,8	complaints
43:23 50:3	266:17	21:6,8,8,9	187:1,23
77:7 80:24	common 69:14	202:6 203:13	complete 9:3
82:17 121:7	74:22 88:3	company 19:13	159:4 170:6,10
138:13	101:20 106:25	19:14,15,24	278:8
comfortable	107:4 122:7,8	20:2,13 140:11	completed
80:4	122:15 125:12	140:13	202:8 276:16
coming 59:18	128:20 131:15	compare	completely
60:9 68:14	139:2 140:22	136:16 186:8	11:1 223:9
70:11 75:15	203:20 252:9	compared	completion
107:18 121:19	commonly	106:9 126:20	275:4,11
130:17 135:5	23:25 109:14	173:25 186:5	complex 40:23
138:17 147:21	120:10 124:12	188:5 189:13	complicated
148:9 168:23	140:21 141:8	194:9 217:22	47:25
234:22 244:4	141:12 174:1	261:21 267:17	complied
commander	240:15	269:11	189:21
18:20 25:2	communicate	comparison	comply 100:24
29:4,6 31:5	112:10	214:8 265:15	complying
52:21,23 53:5	communicating	compartment	99:10
comment 76:18	106:13 182:9	120:13	computer 68:5
124:6 147:23	183:6,19 184:7	compartments	80:21 210:21
230:8	184:13 194:4	49:7 73:24	215:1 232:23
commented	communication	compassion	232:25 233:3,5
158:20	106:24 112:7	104:20	computers
	113:14 184:4		24:19 233:8

[concealed - contain]

Page 15

concealed	conducted	confusion	153:24 176:9
123:5	104:16 188:2	244:1,20	176:11 234:13
concept 257:10	conducting	congress 2:4	266:13,14
concern 134:16	51:11	connecting	considering
concerns 67:13	conference	210:20	122:5 150:24
68:16 79:8,11	77:10 111:14	conscious	216:11
conclude 74:10	conferences	33:12 133:6	consistent
74:17 75:9	89:21	consensual	104:1 122:5
146:13 208:12	confessions	121:15 145:20	152:13 172:12
209:4 216:4	258:1	202:25 203:25	190:21 259:7,8
219:9 234:5	confident	204:2	259:10,11
264:24 271:21	120:22	consent 55:14	console 73:23
concluded	confidential	56:17 83:5,8	conspiracy
144:25 175:23	237:10,11,13	117:1 128:11	24:5
178:13,14,20	237:13,20	128:16 129:22	constitutes
217:12 219:4	confidently	131:20 140:4,7	107:8
224:6 273:11	241:9	145:16 146:6	constitutional
conclusion	configurations	146:11 148:16	99:13,24
96:19 199:3,6	209:23	169:7 253:6	constrained
202:1 234:14	confirm 119:1	consider 60:18	214:8
236:23	130:3 135:23	129:24 139:18	construed
conclusions	135:25 139:6	176:2 181:19	259:25 264:6
103:23 197:25	141:15 151:4	181:21 194:19	consult 66:10
272:12	confirmation	194:25 196:9	240:5
condescending	184:21	197:7 217:10	consultant 14:8
256:9	confirmed	218:4 235:20	14:12,15,24
conditions	148:6 241:11	250:22,24	18:12
188:4 191:12	confirming	264:21 267:13	consulted
conduct 66:24	243:6	consideration	157:22
76:20 154:13	confliction	127:11 188:23	contact 32:20
217:15 219:9	71:17	189:1 235:8	133:22 244:15
219:12 234:5	confused 82:2	248:21	contain 12:1,4
235:10	confusing	considered	154:25
	157:1 200:19	48:12 106:6	

[containing - count]

Page 16

	150 4 10	207.4	270.0
containing	179:4,13	207:4	278:8
155:10	180:12,12	copies 156:1	correction 3:11
contains 275:8	181:16	162:17 164:21	9:21 10:19
contaminate	contract 19:19	164:23 276:14	222:23 223:12
256:3	20:2,4	cops 40:11 55:7	corrections
contamination	contracting	88:1,2,6	278:6
255:24	20:12	122:25 125:13	correctly 97:5
content 176:16	contracts 19:23	138:16	107:1 122:10
211:6	20:7	copy 11:10	136:18 143:14
contesting	control 133:10	84:18 157:14	144:22 145:2
17:18	controlled	251:11 274:23	149:23 151:6
context 75:25	255:14	copyright	153:18 165:11
76:1 87:13,19	conversation	252:2	170:8 178:16
100:2 111:2	6:16 7:11	corner 268:3	182:12 183:12
182:20 183:17	174:11,15	correct 4:10	184:25 191:4
continue 13:25	175:20 176:13	11:20 16:21	198:8 201:7
41:11 106:18	176:17,20,21	17:24 18:22,23	202:9 206:21
134:11 147:18	208:9 222:18	22:21 27:13,17	208:10 217:6
150:6 156:18	223:8 224:1	43:6 49:22	229:19 235:14
continued	230:18 231:12	59:22 69:10	239:11 252:13
222:18	244:9	79:6 92:14,17	256:13 257:2
continues	conversations	92:18 96:20	259:12 262:16
223:6	243:14 244:22	97:22 99:4	263:1 264:10
contour 10:25	cool 14:2 22:15	107:6 114:19	corrupt 17:20
11:2 219:16	34:7,19	114:20 123:11	cost 253:21
222:20 223:9	cooperating	123:15 128:16	costs 205:3
223:16,16	23:9	132:12 152:18	could've
224:16 225:22	coordinated	155:8,11,14,15	147:25
226:1,5,9,13	88:22	163:21 167:1	counsel 4:22
231:22,25	coordinates	175:18 178:17	12:23 17:21
contraband	212:19	197:15,22	275:12 276:14
69:15,25 106:1	cop 61:17,19	211:11 212:21	count 22:20
122:8 145:25	87:22 206:16	213:3,7 224:24	116:24 178:10
178:15,21,24	206:24 207:1,4	245:7 269:6,8	

[counter - criminal]

Page 17

counter 32:16	142:16 153:4	covered 119:5	46:7,8,13
counties 23:15	162:4 243:17	147:6,8	66:24 69:19
28:5,6,13	265:8	covering 132:3	107:13 110:5
country 24:7	courier 57:22	134:14	110:10 114:2
49:22 52:3	course 7:19	coworker	133:13 191:16
69:22 101:4,21	8:10 25:7	206:8	248:14
104:16 112:12	34:10,13,16	crap 223:24	crimes 25:14
203:10,11,17	36:6 39:24,25	231:17 232:17	25:15 46:19
204:14	42:7,9 59:12	crappie 19:10	63:14 109:15
county 1:9 2:19	64:12 69:21	crash 266:19	criminal 15:7,9
5:4 13:7 15:20	94:18,24 100:8	266:22 267:10	15:10,22,23
16:18,21,23	103:15 105:7	267:11	16:10,16,17,24
18:19 19:3	128:23 130:9	crashing	18:9,21 24:3
22:22 23:2,8	130:16 131:14	113:23	25:2 26:8 28:2
25:24,25 26:1	135:15 156:18	create 185:12	28:11 30:14,17
27:22,23 28:7	170:23 171:5	193:17 209:15	30:21 31:8
28:9,9,10,10,10	176:18 183:1	239:18 257:25	43:18 44:20,22
28:10,23 59:5	187:15,17	creating 185:9	44:24 45:1,7
88:17,20,23	201:9 204:9	credibility	45:11,15,17,23
89:5,11 91:13	211:7 215:22	112:25 113:11	45:24 46:1,3,6
91:16 98:14	254:21 272:20	113:14 116:21	46:11,12 47:5
99:3,7,9,11	courses 129:8	122:4 139:5	47:8 50:24,25
100:4 104:3	247:3	credible 107:24	51:10,11 52:21
120:4 131:2	court 1:1 4:21	107:25 108:8	54:4,11,13,24
146:3 154:10	15:8,16 16:5	113:24 115:10	56:22 58:2
166:11,19	17:7,20 18:6	116:25 121:9	60:13,16 62:9
167:20 187:24	21:8 55:4	121:10 146:22	62:10,11,15
189:19 190:2,3	146:10 149:19	147:4 148:23	63:6,9 64:18
207:8 241:24	274:1	151:15,15	74:19,20 78:23
242:12 274:9	courtroom 5:17	205:5	87:19 88:5
couple 5:10	courts 203:19	credit 111:20	89:10 91:7
57:5,18 82:8	cover 141:13	111:24 206:2,4	116:3 118:22
88:11 90:3	147:14 251:16	crime 24:10	119:1,2,3
91:25 102:21	251:17 260:8	32:13,13 37:1	138:6,22

[criminal - deal] Page 18

145:20 155:20	csfrigeriolaw	dallas 45:19	275:6,22
191:19 192:3,9	2:22	48:22 89:4	277:24 278:12
198:25 199:4	csr 1:20 275:22	141:24,25	dated 96:13
199:15 201:15	cues 262:22	142:3,9	158:5 164:4
206:17 248:11	curious 36:25	damaged 68:7	177:23
criminals 46:10	37:4	68:24	daughters
87:23,24	current 156:10	dark 59:6,7	34:10
critical 38:20	currently	268:18	day 21:13
94:17	108:24	dash 39:1 40:9	35:15 40:15
crockett 25:10	curriculum	43:4 44:3	42:18 49:8
25:12,15,24	44:7 205:1	66:11 101:7	53:23 54:6
26:13 27:6	257:23	105:12 124:6	56:11 58:18
crooks 88:1	curve 219:17	163:5,6,10	59:4 65:13
cross 218:20	221:22 226:8	189:14 207:22	68:23 70:10
220:8,12	230:17 269:23	208:13 209:4,7	78:22 79:2
230:21 231:11	269:24	209:9,12,15,18	97:8 101:4
235:13	customers	209:22 210:1,4	104:17,24
crossed 217:2,3	20:15	210:7,10	110:7 120:9,15
218:24 219:4,6	cut 24:20 61:15	211:11 212:13	120:19 127:16
224:7,15,18,23	102:8 126:4	212:13 213:2,6	129:18 131:1
227:6 228:3,21	208:21 212:6	213:9,11 214:3	138:21 148:8
230:4 233:23	cv 1:5 3:13 14:5	214:15,17	168:24 188:11
234:3 235:6	23:1 25:5	215:5 217:9	193:11 240:23
269:21	31:12,13 39:5	220:6 224:4	241:18 265:3
crosses 220:16	39:7,10,16	225:18 226:20	275:17 278:15
220:22	204:12 274:5	226:24 228:2	days 275:6
crossing 225:1	d	228:24 229:2	276:16
227:17	d 164:12	230:6 233:22	de 80:11
crowd 90:1	250:15,16	235:12 236:21	dea 20:3 23:24
crystallize	dad 63:5	data 12:23 13:4	24:1 29:10
196:2	daily 29:23,23	date 40:5 96:4	89:1 237:8,9
cs 237:11	53:10	96:5,12,19	dead 135:19
276:15		153:15 159:22	deal 34:16 86:2
		215:8 242:23	86:3,9 120:6

[deal - deputy] Page 19

147:10	deemed 278:6	237:12	218:15,19
dealing 125:15	deep 264:2,3	department's	224:11 230:9
193:11 213:17	deeper 45:6	97:23 204:19	230:11 232:9
debating	defects 5:7	departments	240:10 272:18
172:25	defendant 2:13	190:9	274:12,19,21
december 19:4	5:4 12:23	depend 130:8	275:4,11
19:5	15:21,24 89:8	198:10,17	depositions
deception	defendant's	depended	14:1 153:14
246:14 250:4	13:10 212:9	29:24 207:10	159:18,19
254:22 255:10	defendants 1:9	250:19	160:9,12,14,16
259:6,15	2:18 16:4	depending 13:1	162:18 164:5
260:11 262:14	165:6 274:9	156:17 265:20	169:14 171:24
262:25 264:25	define 44:22	266:11	172:5 184:6
deceptive	definition	depends 62:17	185:14,18,24
134:17 249:14	179:3	72:5 97:17	185:25 186:3,4
249:17 261:16	definitively	125:22 126:9	186:9 189:11
decide 79:1	224:14	266:10	189:12 241:12
81:25 106:2	degree 81:10	depicted	244:13 245:12
107:13 140:16	delayed 149:20	222:21	271:19
172:8	149:25 150:13	depicting 208:6	depression
decided 105:18	delaying 150:4	223:22 224:12	259:9,11
171:14 173:7	150:11	deponent 275:2	depths 114:5
176:20 187:25	delivered	275:3,10	deputies 25:1
188:5	274:21	276:13 278:3	178:20 190:10
decipher	deny 130:4	deposed 5:11	deputized 24:1
197:22	151:4 215:21	deposing	deputy 4:23
decision 128:15	department	276:13	36:9,17,20,20
191:2 198:14	23:23 25:12	deposition 1:12	67:23 96:12
decisions 90:17	26:16 27:7,16	1:16 5:7 69:8	97:1 99:1,10
189:3,5 190:21	29:5 33:21	69:11 152:3,16	99:12,15 100:1
declare 278:4	41:1 60:20	159:16,25	100:2,16,23
dedicated	62:20 97:15,17	160:3 162:19	101:6 102:23
62:19	97:20 112:8,21	186:10 192:4	103:1,3,7,25
	112:22 127:12	217:1,10	104:11,20

[deputy - developed]

Page 20

105:12,15,24	195:3,6,14,25	264:13,16,19	detective 24:10
116:6 123:24	199:4,7,14,24	269:10,19,20	29:13 33:24
124:4,5 126:1	201:18,23	270:5,9,11,19	34:5
127:15 128:6	202:8 206:17	271:14,19	detects 46:13
129:2,3,4,7	206:23 207:24	describes	detention 204:3
130:10 137:14	216:5,25 217:3	196:22	determination
143:1,9 144:4	217:3,10,21,25	describing	180:16,20
144:12 146:17	218:11,14	264:17	determine
150:25 151:2,5	219:3,5,7,22,23	description 3:9	56:16 74:4
151:9,11,14,22	219:25 220:7	107:19 116:15	81:2,15 84:25
151:23,25	220:14,14	116:19 242:10	110:14 113:19
152:11,15,16	222:16,21,24	descriptor	114:2 130:19
152:19,20,21	223:1 224:10	73:11 155:17	132:5,19 134:6
154:8 160:1,2	224:25 225:1,5	202:13	136:7 145:4
163:15,20,22	228:14 230:6	desire 191:14	151:21 190:4
163:22 164:8	230:11,12,19	desk 10:3,5	193:14 205:7
166:9 167:25	230:21 231:3	destination	234:10 235:6
168:2,8,11,13	231:10,12,14	49:12 122:7	246:25 248:7
168:21 172:11	232:8,18,22	138:10	265:18
172:17 173:3	233:18,21,22	destroy 180:7	determined
173:11,24	234:2,11,19,20	detail 23:4	145:10
174:12 177:25	235:16 236:22	46:13 152:4	determines
178:13 182:9	239:5 240:7,12	details 87:10	265:23
183:7,18 184:6	240:13,15	172:22 173:7	determining
184:21,22	241:16 242:9	244:21	188:13 190:20
185:3,6,14,18	242:16,20	detained	191:21
185:18,22,23	243:1,5,12,21	184:24	develop 30:6,7
186:6,8,8,22,24	244:3,9 245:6	detect 131:24	44:6 77:18
187:25 188:2	245:15,21	246:14,14	81:20,25 86:17
188:11 189:1,4	253:16,16	256:17	114:12 134:21
189:21,24	254:14,16,17	detecting 250:4	134:24 145:15
192:4,7,7,7,10	254:20,24	detection 45:23	149:20 246:24
193:10 194:15	255:1 263:10	246:14	developed
194:18,21,24	263:19 264:8		27:12 56:15

[developing - doing]

Page 21

dovolonina	254.25 255.11	diamarin a	diatriat 1.1.1
developing	254:25 255:11 255:14 257:21	discussing 90:21	district 1:1,1
30:13,21 53:15			15:19 274:1,1
107:12	differently	discussion 9:19	ditch 270:6
device 265:19	173:1 190:11	148:2 242:2	ditched 59:15
devices 211:22	203:10	255:24	division 1:2
diagnosing	differs 141:22	dishonest 167:9	18:21 274:2
262:15	dig 45:6 131:8	dishonesty	document 7:22
diazepam 70:3	digital 39:12,25	256:17	7:25 8:1,20,23
dicaprio 21:25	40:8,8 42:5	disinterest	14:4 162:15
difference	dillard's 45:20	259:11	251:15
31:13 45:2	direct 104:9	dismissal 187:2	documentation
76:22,24 79:20	directv 84:19	dispatch 68:5	66:25
82:15,16	84:20,22,23,25	dispel 119:1	documented
126:18,19	dirt 73:8,10	139:7 141:15	98:16 170:7
192:5 255:8	180:8	displaying	documents
270:16,25	disagree	239:7	7:20 10:3
differences	204:18	distance 221:20	153:14 155:4,5
114:3	disappointed	223:11,20	164:21,23
different 10:13	94:16	234:23 235:4,5	165:3,5 189:15
13:1 16:7	disapprove	267:23 268:5	dog 34:11,18
50:13 58:20	205:9	270:12,14	34:18 90:18
60:24 67:15,16	discouraged	distinct 70:25	150:13 181:6
74:24 99:17	64:9 65:4,5	71:1 225:22	181:11 184:22
105:4 135:13	discover 110:2	226:1 231:21	dogs 181:22
136:21 173:21	204:17	231:22	doing 6:18 19:6
186:17 190:9	discrepancies	distract 231:4	22:16 27:19
190:10,13,15	190:14	distracted	29:21 30:24
192:17 193:5,8	discrepancy	232:14 233:1	43:1 45:1,20
196:23 197:20	231:22	233:15	47:13 53:10,22
203:10 209:8,9	discuss 158:23	distractions	54:2 55:3,15
209:10,12,13	176:20	80:22 81:9	56:1,3,22 57:1
209:15,16,18	discussed	256:4,16	57:5 58:1 61:9
209:18,22,23	104:19 179:1,8	distributing	61:10 63:9
215:2 224:17	249:23	49:19	65:6 77:23,25

[doing - dust] Page 22

77.07.70.4.07	1 0224	1 40.12	40 1 5 40 7 10
77:25 78:4,25	dressed 83:2,4	drop 49:13	48:1,5 49:7,18
79:5 81:19	84:9	drove 59:15	49:21 50:9,17
90:15 93:14	drink 7:9	128:4,5	57:24 58:22,25
98:24 103:11	drinking 48:10	drug 17:15	58:25 62:25
106:1 115:19	drive 25:20	23:16,24 25:14	63:13,18,18,18
115:19 117:1	48:8,11,22	25:14,15 26:12	63:19 64:7,13
118:15 131:4	58:13 85:15	26:20,24 28:12	65:1 84:24
133:7 134:12	131:18 216:12	29:21 47:13,20	120:10 122:7
136:5,23 137:8	236:19 271:21	47:25 50:4,11	123:5,13,17
139:21 146:4,5	driven 133:18	50:20 51:21	125:14,17,18
154:4 175:4	143:2,10	52:5 58:14,20	125:19 127:2,6
193:15,15	227:18,19	63:13 64:7,15	127:12,17,19
195:21 203:4	241:22 243:6	82:4,7,15,18	127:25 128:22
205:15 215:22	driver 48:21	84:16 85:17	135:5,16
233:7 235:4	49:17 267:10	91:1 109:14,17	138:11,14
254:1,1 266:4	268:25	115:22 120:12	140:23 141:6
dollars 22:6	driver's 86:4	120:22,25	141:24,25
don 4:13	drivers 48:12	121:1 122:12	142:1,2,7
donna 1:20	48:12 137:3,5	124:20,21,24	143:13 144:6
4:21 5:21 6:2	137:7	125:3,7,16	145:25 248:18
6:17 10:12	drives 32:25	127:13 131:16	253:5,10 262:2
11:13 162:9	120:18	133:14 138:8	drunk 267:10
274:14 275:22	driving 48:25	138:12 139:1	dry 25:24 26:1
door 256:6	57:22 73:4	140:21 141:8	133:21
dope 125:18	85:18 105:17	141:11,12,15	dude 75:1
doubt 272:8,12	110:16 113:22	141:22 142:11	duly 1:17 4:2
downer 70:5	120:9,15 127:6	155:24 173:13	274:17
downloaded	133:16 137:17	191:22 237:6,8	duplicate 201:2
259:18	137:20,23	248:14,17	duplicates
downtown	138:3,4 140:1	253:1,4	200:24,25
131:3	208:19 232:19	drugs 17:16	201:3,6,8
dps 29:6 86:12	233:4,6,18	25:18,20 26:2	duration 208:7
dress 84:22	266:13,14,24	26:13,15,22	dust 40:21
	267:6,8,13	28:18 45:16	

[duties - enjoy] Page 23

duty 16:14 55:7 debs 6:16 33:2 64:7 78:20 dwi 113:20 edge 133:19 eliminate 55:18 82:16 88:3 dwi 113:20 edge 133:19 elon 215:21 91:1 97:2,11 e 2:1,1 48:19 dedges 76:17 emergency 97:16,19 98:12 e 2:1,1 48:19 feffective 50:20 256:22 275:13 100:2,24 103:2,4 277:3,3,3 earlier 99:18 104:19 119:20 192:14 employed 101:8,14 effectively 192:14 empty 73:24 106:14 109:14 104:2,12 eight 29:3 40:7 51:25 52:17 121:16 204:3 116:23 117:5 111:22 115:1 145:12 167:24 143:5,24 146:19 147:6 151:12 167:24 133:4 58:20 encounter 19:12,13,16 19:12 193:1 195:20 199:7 202:16 223:13 47:10 51:20 encounters 145:5 151:20 155:21 168:1 2early 25:10 27:9,10 42:10 158:1 200:10	duties 108:24	easy 104:10	eleven 32:14	51:23 55:6
145:25				
dwi 113:20 edge 133:19 elon 215:21 91:1 97:2,11 e education 153:16 255:9 effective 50:20 27:13,3,3 employed 101:8,14 earlier 99:18 104:19 119:20 192:14 empty 73:24 106:14 109:14 133:24 139:24 eight 29:3 40:7 encounter 59:1 111:22 115:1 143:5,24 143:5,24 151:12 167:24 eight 29:3 40:7 encounter 19:12,13,16 151:12 167:24 70:10 172:1 13:6 145:21 13:6 145:21 15:12 16:23 117:5 191:12 193:1 47:10 51:20 encounters 145:5 151:20 195:20 199:7 47:10 51:20 encroachment 182:10 183:8,9 26:10 27:9,10 42:10 15:1 200:10 20:27 224:7 ended 23:17 199:11,21 earth 217:16 227:8 228:22 227:8 228:22 223:25 203:8,14,20 earth 217:16 easter 98:20 245:11 267:18 endes 229:16 231:18 <t< td=""><td> </td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>				
e edges 76:17 emergency 97:16,19 98:12 e education 64:3 99:2 100:5,24 e 2:1,1 48:19 153:16 255:9 employed 101:8,14 277:3,3,3 earlier 99:18 104:19 119:20 256:22 275:13 104:2,12 120:11 122:19 effectively empty 73:24 106:14 109:14 133:24 139:24 143:5,24 146:19 147:6 25:25 52:17 129:20 121:16 204:3 116:23 117:5 151:12 167:24 53:4 58:20 encounter 59:1 111:22 115:1 129:20 121:19 127:13 151:12 167:24 70:10 172:1 129:20 121:19 127:13 145:5 151:20 151:12 167:24 70:10 172:1 113:6 145:21 153:17 154:1 153:17 154:1 195:20 199:7 47:10 51:20 encounters 155:21 168:1 202:16 223:13 119:1 125:7 ended 23:17 199:11,21 248:18 249:23 199:7 47:10 51:20 encoachment 182:10 183:8,9 249:10 20:10 227:9,10 42:10 158:1 200:10 <td></td> <td>_</td> <td></td> <td></td>		_		
e education 64:3 99:2 100:5,24 e 2:1,1 48:19 153:16 255:9 employed 101:8,14 277:3,3,3 earlier 99:18 cffective 50:20 16:17 27:23 102:24 103:2,4 104:19 119:20 256:22 275:13 104:2,12 120:11 122:19 effectively empty 73:24 106:14 109:14 133:24 139:24 effort 32:24 empty 73:24 106:14 109:14 143:5,24 143:5,24 121:16 204:3 116:23 117:5 151:12 167:24 53:4 58:20 encountering 119:12,13,16 151:12 167:24 53:4 58:20 encounters 145:5 151:20 151:12 167:24 106:14 109:14 111:22 115:1 173:10 179:2,8 47:10 172:1 113:6 145:21 153:17 154:1 195:20 199:7 47:10 51:20 encroachment 182:10 183:8,9 202:16 223:13 47:10 51:20 encroachment 182:10 183:8,9 248:18 249:23 19:1 125:7 ended 23:17 199:11,21 260:3 265:12 25:10 27:9,10 42:10 158:1 200:10 20:21 28:25 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>·</td>				·
e 2:1,1 48:19 164:1,1 250:16 277:3,3,3 earlier 99:18 104:19 119:20 120:11 122:19 133:24 139:24 143:5,24 146:19 147:6 151:12 167:24 173:10 179:2,8 191:12 193:1 195:20 199:7 202:16 223:13 248:18 249:23 260:3 265:12 early 25:10 27:9,10 42:10 161:2 earth 217:16 easier 98:20 easily 65:3 268:16 east 26:19 270:2 153:16 255:9 effective 50:20 16:17 27:23 104:2,12 106:14 109:14 empty 73:24 empty 73:24 empty 73:24 106:14 109:14 111:22 115:1 121:16 204:3 116:23 117:5 emcountering 119:12,13,16 121:16 204:3 116:23 117:5 encountering 119:12,13,16 121:16 204:3 116:23 117:5 encounters 145:5 151:20 121:19 127:13 129:20 121:19 127:13 113:6 145:21 113:10 19:12,13,16 129:20 121:19 127:13 113:6 145:21 113:16 204:3 116:23 117:5 encountering 119:12,13,16 129:20 encounters 145:5 151:20 113:16 204:3 116:23 117:5 113:6 145:21 113:16 204:3 116:23 117:5 113:6 145:21 113:16 204:3 116:23 117:5 113:6 145:21 113:16 204:3 116:23 117:5 113:6 145:21 113:16 204:3 116:23 117:5 113:6 145:21 113:16 204:3 116:23 117:5 113:6 145:21 113:16 204:3 116:23 117:5 113:6 145:21 113:6 145:21 113:16 204:3 116:23 117:5 113:6 145:21 113:16 204:3 116:23 117:5 113:6 145:21 113:6 145:21 113:16 204:3 116:23 117:5 113:6 145:21 113:16 204:3 116:23 117:5 113:6 145:21 113:16 204:3 119:12,13,16 129:20 encounters 145:5 151:20 129:20 12:19 127:13 129:20 12:19 127:13 129:20 12:19 12:13 12:19 12:13 12:19 12:13 12				·
effective 50:20				·
164:1,1,20:16 277:3,3,3 earlier 99:18 104:19 119:20 effectively empty 73:24 106:14 109:14 116:23 117:5 113:6 145:21 116:23 117:5 119:12,13,16 129:20 129:20 121:19 127:13 129:20 121:19 127:13 129:20 121:19 127:13 129:20 121:19 127:13 129:20 121:19 127:13 129:20 121:19 127:13 129:20 121:19 127:13 129:20 121:19 127:13 129:20 121:19 127:13 129:20 121:19 127:13 129:20 121:19 127:13 129:20 121:19 127:13 129:20 121:19 127:13	· ·			·
earlier 99:18 effectively empty 73:24 106:14 109:14 104:19 119:20 120:11 122:19 effort 32:24 encounter 59:1 111:22 115:1 133:24 139:24 eight 29:3 40:7 121:16 204:3 116:23 117:5 143:5,24 51:25 52:17 129:20 121:19 127:13,16 151:12 167:24 53:4 58:20 113:6 145:21 153:17 154:1 173:10 179:2,8 70:10 172:1 eighth 160:24 195:20 199:7 47:10 51:20 encounters 155:21 168:1 195:20 199:7 47:10 51:20 encroachment 182:10 183:8,9 202:16 223:13 119:1 125:7 ended 23:17 199:11,21 206:3 265:12 141:14 155:13 256:10 184:4 198:6,7 2early 25:10 202:7 224:7 203:8,14,20 2earth 217:16 202:7 224:7 203:8 200:19 202:5 2asily 65:3 245:11 267:18 245:11 267:18 245:11 267:18 245:12 242:17 248:13 268:16 10:11	, ,			·
192:14 effort 32:24 eight 29:3 40:7 121:16 204:3 121:16 204:3 121:19 127:13 121:19				·
104:19 119:20 120:11 122:19 133:24 139:24 121:16 204:3 116:23 117:5 133:24 139:24 143:5,24 146:19 147:6 151:12 167:24 173:10 179:2,8 191:12 193:1 195:20 199:7 202:16 223:13 248:18 249:23 260:3 265:12 early 25:10 27:9,10 42:10 161:2 earth 217:16 easier 98:20	earlier 99:18			
120:11 122:19 eight 29:3 40:7 encountering 119:12,13,16 133:24 139:24 51:25 52:17 129:20 121:19 127:13 146:19 147:6 53:4 58:20 70:10 172:1 13:6 145:21 145:5 151:20 151:12 167:24 173:10 179:2,8 eighth 160:24 202:25 203:25 155:21 168:1 191:12 193:1 47:10 51:20 encroachment 182:10 183:8,9 202:16 223:13 47:10 51:20 encroachment 182:10 183:8,9 248:18 249:23 119:1 125:7 ended 23:17 199:11,21 260:3 265:12 130:3 139:6 91:19 208:8 200:19 202:5 27:9,10 42:10 27:9,10 42:10 202:7 224:7 endless 256:4 204:10,13 27:9,10 42:10 202:7 224:7 ends 208:22 203:8,14,20 28:11 267:18 ends 208:22 213:2,9,12,24 earth 217:16 easier 98:20 182:19 245:11 267:18 enforce 49:5 237:6,8 238:24 easily 65:3 268:16 elected 89:24 23:24 29:14 250:21 257:12 268:16 23:24 29:14 257:24 258:14 <	104:19 119:20			
133:24 139:24 143:5,24 143:5,24 129:20 121:19 127:13 146:19 147:6 151:12 167:24 151:12 167:24 113:6 145:21 153:17 154:1 173:10 179:2,8 191:12 193:1 195:20 199:7 202:15 203:25 155:21 168:1 195:20 199:7 47:10 51:20 encroachment 182:10 183:8,9 248:18 249:23 119:1 125:7 ended 23:17 199:11,21 260:3 265:12 141:14 155:13 223:25 203:8,14,20 27:9,10 42:10 202:7 224:7 endless 256:4 204:10,13 161:2 227:8 228:22 energy 25:10 229:16 231:18 245:11 267:18 elaborate 58:8 enforce 49:5 237:6,8 238:24 182:19 easily 65:3 268:16 23:24 29:14 250:21 257:12 268:16 east 26:19 270:2 27:24 25:10 23:23 38:7 257:24 258:14 270:2 27:22 27:24 25:10 23:24 29:14 25:27:24 258:14	120:11 122:19			
143:5,24 146:19 147:6 53:4 58:20 encounters 145:5 151:20 151:12 167:24 173:10 179:2,8 191:12 193:1 202:25 203:25 155:21 168:1 191:12 193:1 47:10 51:20 encroachment 182:10 183:8,9 202:16 223:13 48:18 249:23 119:1 125:7 ended 23:17 199:11,21 260:3 265:12 130:3 139:6 91:19 208:8 200:19 202:5 27:9,10 42:10 158:1 200:10 endless 256:4 204:10,13 27:9,10 42:10 202:7 224:7 ends 208:22 203:8,14,20 28:19 227:8 228:22 energy 252:10 229:16 231:18 easier 98:20 76:10 12:13 15:2 242:17 248:13 182:19 76:10 12:13 15:2 242:17 248:13 268:16 268:16 110:11 33:23 38:7 257:24 258:14 270:2 270:2 270:2 270:2 270:2 270:2	133:24 139:24	0		, , ,
146:19 147:6 70:10 172:1 113:6 145:21 153:17 154:1 151:12 167:24 173:10 179:2,8 202:25 203:25 155:21 168:1 191:12 193:1 47:10 51:20 261:10 172:12 179:7 202:16 223:13 248:18 249:23 256:10 184:4 198:6,7 260:3 265:12 130:3 139:6 91:19 208:8 200:19 202:5 27:9,10 42:10 158:1 200:10 202:7 224:7 203:8,14,20 202:7 224:7 202:7 224:7 204:10,13 202:7 224:7 227:8 228:22 208:22 204:10,13 201:10 202:7 224:7 227:8 228:22 229:16 231:18 208:19 245:11 267:18 23:24 29:14 23:23 240:20 28:19 268:16 23:24 29:14 23:23 25 242:17 248:13 208:19 270:2 268:10 23:23 25 23:24 29:14 250:21 257:12 208:19 23:24 29:14 23:24 29:14 250:21 257:12 257:24 258:14 208:19 23:23 25 23:24 29:14 250:21 257:12 257:24 258:14 208:19 23:24 29:14 250:21 257:12 257:24 258:14 257:24 258:14 208:10	143:5,24			
151:12 167:24 173:10 179:2,8 eighth 160:24 202:25 203:25 155:21 168:1 191:12 193:1 47:10 51:20 encroachment 182:10 183:8,9 202:16 223:13 248:18 249:23 119:1 125:7 ended 23:17 199:11,21 260:3 265:12 130:3 139:6 91:19 208:8 200:19 202:5 260:3 265:12 141:14 155:13 223:25 203:8,14,20 27:9,10 42:10 202:7 224:7 endless 256:4 204:10,13 27:9,10 42:10 202:7 224:7 ends 208:22 204:10,13 earth 217:16 227:8 228:22 energy 252:10 229:16 231:18 easier 98:20 182:19 elaborate 58:8 enforce 49:5 239:23 240:20 182:13 15:2 242:17 248:13 250:21 257:12 242:17 248:13 268:16 23:24 29:14 250:21 257:12 257:24 258:14 eext 26:19 270:2 29:13 40:10 260:25	146:19 147:6			
173:10 179:2,8 191:12 193:1 195:20 199:7 202:16 223:13 248:18 249:23 260:3 265:12 260:3 265:12 27:9,10 42:10 161:2 245:11 267:18 245:11 267:18 245:19 268:16 268:16 27:0:2 27:9,22 27:8 228:24 226:19 27:0:2 27:9,22 27:9,22 27:8 228:24 23:24 29:14 25:27:24 258:14 25:25 268:16 27:0:2 27:22 27:24 27:25 27:24 258:14 25:25 260:25	151:12 167:24			
191:12 193:1 195:20 199:7 202:16 223:13 248:18 249:23 260:3 265:12 early 25:10 27:9,10 42:10 161:2 earth 217:16 easier 98:20 182:10 183:8,9 184:4 198:6,7 199:11,21 200:19 202:5 203:8,14,20 200:19 202:5 203:8,14,20 203:29,16 231:18 200:19 202:5 203:8,14,20 203:29,16 231:18 200:19 202:5 203:8,14,20 203:29,16 231:18 203:29,16 2	173:10 179:2,8	0		
193:20 199:7 202:16 223:13 248:18 249:23 260:3 265:12 early 25:10 27:9,10 42:10 161:2 earth 217:16 easier 98:20 182:19 east 26:19 27:9,10 42:10 161:2 earth 217:16 east 26:19 27:9,10 42:10 161:2 earth 217:16 east 26:19 27:9,10 42:10 161:2 202:7 224:7 227:8 228:22 245:11 267:18 elaborate 58:8 76:10 east 26:19 27:9,10 42:10 202:7 224:7 227:8 228:22 earth 217:16 elaborate 58:8 76:10 elected 89:24 110:11 electronically 256:10 ended 23:17 91:19 208:8 223:25 endless 256:4 ends 208:22 energy 252:10 enforce 49:5 enforcement 239:23 240:20 242:17 248:13 257:24 258:14 257:24 258:14	191:12 193:1			
202:16 223:13 248:18 249:23 260:3 265:12 early 25:10 27:9,10 42:10 161:2 earth 217:16 easier 98:20 182:19 easily 65:3 268:16 east 26:19 270:2 202:16 223:13 119:1 125:7 130:3 139:6 141:14 155:13 158:1 200:10 223:25 223:25 203:8,14,20 204:10,13 223:25 203:8,14,20 204:10,13 202:7 224:7 227:8 228:22 245:11 267:18 enforce 49:5 237:6,8 238:24 242:17 248:13 250:21 257:12 242:17 248:13 250:21 257:12 257:24 258:14 260:25	195:20 199:7			Í .
248:18 249:23 260:3 265:12 early 25:10 27:9,10 42:10 161:2 earth 217:16 easier 98:20 182:19 easily 65:3 268:16 east 26:19 270:2	202:16 223:13			·
260:3 265:12 141:14 155:13 223:25 203:8,14,20 early 25:10 158:1 200:10 endless 256:4 204:10,13 161:2 202:7 224:7 ends 208:22 213:2,9,12,24 earth 217:16 227:8 228:22 energy 252:10 229:16 231:18 easier 98:20 elaborate 58:8 enforce 49:5 237:6,8 238:24 easily 65:3 elected 89:24 12:13 15:2 242:17 248:13 268:16 10:11 33:23 38:7 257:24 258:14 east 26:19 10:11 39:13 40:10 260:25	248:18 249:23			·
158:1 200:10 27:9,10 42:10 158:1 200:10 202:7 224:7 202:7 224:7 227:8 228:22 229:16 231:18 245:11 267:18 245:11 267:18 245:11 267:18 237:6,8 238:24 239:23 240:20 239:23 240:20 249:10	260:3 265:12			
27:9,10 42:10 161:2 earth 217:16 easier 98:20 182:19 easily 65:3 268:16 east 26:19 270:2 158:1 200:10 202:7 224:7 227:8 228:22 energy 252:10 enforce 49:5 enforcement 12:13 15:2 242:17 248:13 257:24 258:14 250:25 204:10,13 213:2,9,12,24 229:16 231:18 237:6,8 238:24 239:23 240:20 242:17 248:13 250:21 257:12 257:24 258:14 260:25	early 25:10			· · ·
161:2 202:7 224:7 ends 208:22 213:2,9,12,24 earth 217:16 227:8 228:22 energy 252:10 229:16 231:18 easier 98:20 182:19 elaborate 58:8 enforce 49:5 237:6,8 238:24 easily 65:3 76:10 12:13 15:2 242:17 248:13 east 26:19 23:24 29:14 250:21 257:12 10:11 33:23 38:7 257:24 258:14 electronically 39:13 40:10 260:25				Í (
earth 217:16 227:8 228:22 energy 252:10 229:16 231:18 easier 98:20 245:11 267:18 enforce 49:5 237:6,8 238:24 elaborate 58:8 enforcement 239:23 240:20 12:13 15:2 242:17 248:13 268:16 23:24 29:14 250:21 257:12 10:11 33:23 38:7 257:24 258:14 270:2 260:25	,			, , , ,
easier 98:20 182:19 elaborate 58:8 enforce 49:5 easily 65:3 65:3 268:16 elected 89:24 12:13 15:2 242:17 248:13 east 26:19 270:2 electronically 33:23 38:7 257:24 258:14 electronically 39:13 40:10 260:25				
182:19 easily 65:3 76:10 12:13 15:2 242:17 248:13 268:16 east 26:19 270:2 33:23 38:7 257:24 258:14 260:25				·
easily 65:3 76:10 12:13 15:2 242:17 248:13 268:16 23:24 29:14 250:21 257:12 east 26:19 33:23 38:7 257:24 258:14 270:2 260:25				
268:16 east 26:19 270:2 elected 89:24 110:11 33:23 38:7 257:24 258:14 260:25				
east 26:19 110:11 33:23 38:7 257:24 258:14 270:2 electronically 39:13 40:10 260:25				
270:2 electronically 39:13 40:10 260:25		110:11	33:23 38:7	257:24 258:14
98:16,22 42:20 43:17 enjoy 93:14,14		electronically	39:13 40:10	260:25
	270.2	98:16,22	42:20 43:17	enjoy 93:14,14
47:21 49:5 157:4 247:11			47:21 49:5	157:4 247:11

[enjoying - excluded]

Page 24

enjoying 87:3	96:10,11	evaluating 99:1	97:9 99:19
ensured 78:10	errors 8:25 9:5	139:18 196:10	103:16 108:2
enterprises	94:17,19	198:24 211:18	176:4,12 177:8
47:5	262:13	217:11 235:18	198:18 218:21
entertainment	escort 123:3	evaluation	231:16 247:7
37:10	especially	92:16 218:14	267:15,21
entire 41:3	205:24 248:14	245:25 269:22	270:21
53:20 93:6	esq 276:1	event 15:13	examination
170:14 183:24	essentially 45:7	65:13 196:4	3:5 4:3
208:6,24	estimate 18:4,5	events 169:10	examine 169:8
213:12 233:5	94:6 125:5	169:17,21	example 32:14
236:6	126:7 267:22	170:11,16	34:22 35:3,4
entirely 123:9	et 276:4 277:1	171:4,6	66:22 67:17
214:2	278:1	everybody	68:3 82:22
entirety 7:23	evaluate 14:25	77:21 100:20	104:7 113:9
47:24 156:16	15:1,3 54:12	110:10 130:12	114:6 115:13
157:8,10 159:9	54:15 97:19,20	196:23 246:19	115:21 117:3
159:19 183:20	97:23 99:20	everyday	117:21 118:1
183:23 208:4	101:9,12	120:20 150:18	119:20 122:18
229:13 251:15	152:16 186:19	evidence 12:19	122:19 130:25
263:24	186:20 190:25	13:1,5 39:12	150:2 177:15
entity 202:12	192:22 195:13	39:25 42:6,7	237:7 252:25
205:17	195:17 201:22	44:10 153:25	260:17 261:8
entries 127:15	206:23 212:12	164:22 165:1	examples 46:4
equal 226:12	217:21,25	179:16 189:20	67:21 99:18
226:14	218:11 228:23	195:12,13	excellent 10:20
equate 262:25	232:18 233:17	217:11 235:20	exceptional
errata 276:11	238:17 254:16	236:23 245:17	62:7
276:13,16	261:22 265:10	evolves 78:20	exchanged
erratically	268:12 269:10	evolving 43:15	111:13,22
113:22	269:18	exact 84:21	excitement
error 11:4	evaluated	223:22 244:21	259:8,10
81:10,11,16	191:25	exactly 14:18	excluded 201:6
82:12 83:12,13		19:2 42:11	201:8

[exclusive - extreme]

Page 25

exclusive 54:10	expectation	152:6 153:15	250:25
54:10	78:13	154:2 155:23	experts 250:23
exclusively	expectations	168:11,12	expiration
61:6	79:18	171:13 173:24	275:22
excuse 30:2	expected	173:25 180:25	explain 58:10
executed 180:5	241:23	184:1 188:4,10	83:17 191:7,9
exhibit 3:10,11	expensive	188:12 190:8	196:25 198:16
3:12,13,14,19	71:24	190:24 191:14	203:6 247:5
9:10,11,14,24	experience	191:20 194:8	258:19
10:11 11:14,15	18:16 43:23	194:15 195:19	explaining
13:19,21,22,23	45:11 47:6	195:21,25	184:24
13:24 69:23	49:21 56:9,13	208:17 212:15	explains 236:8
96:2 134:4	58:1 69:14	212:22 213:1,5	explanation
140:3 153:10	70:9 78:2	213:6,14,20,23	130:3,14
160:20 161:23	79:14 81:3	213:24 225:4,8	131:12 132:10
161:24 162:13	82:17 91:3	229:15 231:6,7	132:17,18,18
164:12 174:7	96:25 101:1	231:18 233:7,8	explanations
210:13,15,16	104:22 105:1	234:15 240:20	129:25
251:3,6,8	106:10 108:20	241:7,8 250:7	explicit 57:20
263:6,8	114:17,18	254:12,24	131:13
exhibited	119:18 120:1	265:13	explore 106:18
104:20	120:23,24	experienced	explorer 59:6
exhibits 3:8,17	121:5,8 122:6	56:11 73:12	122:20,23
162:18 165:21	123:22 124:9	82:18 83:23	express 196:3
existed 151:3	125:14,25,25	experiences	expressions
168:13	126:2,3 128:25	194:15	133:4
existence 68:4	129:4,12,13	experiencing	extend 128:6
exists 46:13	130:2,11,24	262:23	extended
112:23 238:18	131:16 132:5,7	expert 14:7	150:15
exonerate	143:1,9 145:8	15:4,8,12	extension 128:9
258:12	145:14 146:18	18:12 90:10	144:17 149:18
expect 57:9,10	146:23 147:15	91:4,14,17	external 256:4
66:10 68:2,10	148:6,15 151:1	96:18 170:3	extreme 260:24
81:3 84:5 93:1	151:10,16	181:19 182:1	

[extremes - female]

Page 26

			1
extremes 256:8	194:22,22	81:8 93:9,12	140:6 157:3
eye 32:20 81:22	196:9 200:20	95:5 107:3	163:17 196:15
133:22	210:2 246:17	121:18 123:18	214:23 219:18
eyes 74:24 80:9	258:16	131:13 132:11	227:23,25
f	factors 74:10	151:22 153:23	228:17 267:22
f 13:21 32:25	74:16 139:8	160:10 171:12	268:12 269:12
108:1,1 122:6	141:10	171:15,24	270:20
122:13 124:10	facts 129:25,25	172:2,6 174:25	fascinating
128:4,14 143:2	151:3,23 165:2	176:6 189:22	38:12
143:9 144:5	170:7,10,14	197:14 201:18	fashion 50:3
178:15 207:19	171:3,7,8,14	213:21 218:25	129:5
234:19,21	172:8,15,16,19	230:7 234:24	fast 102:1
239:7 242:11	172:20 173:2,7	238:3,4 241:24	266:11,20
242:17,20	173:18 185:3	243:19 261:12	faster 265:15
243:2,6,22	194:15 197:7	267:2,3 268:15	265:25
268:18 270:11	203:16 240:6	269:2	favor 40:13
270:12	factual 17:23	fairly 253:7	fayette 28:10
facebook	failed 217:12	fall 40:18	59:4 242:12
108:19,21	230:22 253:14	201:15 264:4	fbi 20:3 21:20
109:1,2,2,3,5	253:17	falling 73:22	22:1 110:7
109:12,24,24	failing 254:18	falls 40:19	federal 1:23
111:7,9	fails 276:18	false 257:25	21:14 25:13
faced 150:25	failure 216:12	familiar 69:4	203:12
151:2,9	219:10 230:3	73:19 91:9	federally 24:1
facial 133:4	234:6 236:18	156:8 210:4	feel 7:11,17 9:6
facing 270:6	271:7,20	217:17 255:23	79:9 214:8
fact 63:21 64:5	fair 6:9,10 8:8	257:10	220:19 240:4
82:24 128:4,14	18:11 27:8	families 63:20	fees 20:7
131:2 132:8	31:18,18 36:25	family 40:23	feet 34:25
168:22,22,25	38:23,24 39:1	84:14 130:21	fell 103:21
172:4,25	39:2 41:9,10	135:3	felt 79:10
174:20 179:25	47:1 50:9	fan 77:16	female 169:1,4
192:3 194:18	62:12 64:14	far 18:9 60:4	184:9,11,11
172.3 174.10	70:15 79:5	78:14 93:19	240:25 241:1,3

[female - folks] Page 27

241 20 242 15	250 2 252 4	150 11 100 0	(III) (O 22
241:20 243:17	250:3 253:4	178:11 182:3	fleeing 69:22
243:24 244:2,4	257:1	183:5 185:13	fleet 20:21 21:1
244:5 245:1	finding 65:1	185:15,16,25	flier 205:1
fewer 123:6	253:1	189:11 204:25	flight 116:12
193:6	fine 38:11	211:5 216:15	116:13
field 40:20	47:10 75:19	216:19,19,20	flights 117:16
60:24 61:12	76:12 85:16	216:23 223:15	117:17
71:5,6,8,12,23	97:10 100:22	225:12,24	flip 31:12
71:24 72:1,15	111:4 144:2	228:17 229:7	floor 74:18
73:2 140:1,18	165:25 167:22	235:21 236:4	75:11 85:6
140:21 141:8	183:3 207:12	236:13,16	floorboard
153:16 179:11	234:18	239:4 246:17	72:20,25 73:23
180:11 181:15	finger 256:12	262:13,20	floorboards
fifth 178:9	finish 7:13	265:14,16	73:8
fight 37:7	31:10 60:11	fishing 19:8,9	flows 6:17
figure 54:23	64:11 142:19	19:10	fly 117:11
79:19 126:18	230:2	fit 40:9 90:22	118:13
176:19 263:14	finished 6:13	123:17 141:10	focus 80:15,18
file 68:11	fire 21:2	fits 58:22	127:21 192:10
237:20	firm 88:14	five 57:14	192:11 230:10
filed 40:25	275:24	61:20 62:11,16	254:6 255:15
67:14	first 4:2,9	64:2 100:10	258:11,12
files 210:10,13	10:21 14:13	160:8,9,13,14	focused 76:23
final 96:18	18:11,14 26:2	162:9 171:24	80:14 95:24
142:23 265:8	26:7 37:11,18	195:23 208:20	144:17 192:9
financially	56:21 79:21	243:4 244:22	213:1 234:18
275:15	83:1 84:7,10	265:2	253:1,18,25
find 23:16 33:2	85:17 86:24	fix 204:7	258:2
55:9 65:7	96:3,17,23	flag 131:7	focuses 44:2
86:18 88:8	106:20 111:11	136:22 138:8,9	foley's 45:21
116:15 156:12	124:19 144:11	141:7	folks 29:1
156:17,23	149:15 153:12	flat 92:15 93:2	42:12 47:13
177:15,19,22	161:12 163:5	93:3,9,17	127:6,22,24
178:14,21	173:10 175:3		139:18,20

[folks - front] Page 28

202:23,24	123:3 217:4	formulating	140:8 251:5,13
follow 30:17	222:19 223:8	165:23	fox's 83:16
57:19 118:12	223:22 231:13	fort 141:25	frame 229:24
175:22 221:10	foregoing	142:2	236:6
followed	278:5	forth 97:14	framework
186:21 189:25	forever 63:8	120:5,19 272:9	247:21
248:5	forget 10:17	forward 26:11	francisco
	252:11	33:3 41:3,18	116:10 118:8
following 100:3		found 20:20	
195:11 233:9	form 7:4 49:25		121:2
274:16	50:3,6 51:3	54:16 64:1	frcp 275:1
follows 4:2	61:1 70:8,18	72:3 73:23	free 7:11,17 9:6
foot 34:22	81:16 91:25	125:24 130:23	145:22 150:6
59:10 256:25	99:14 103:5	155:20,22	220:19 240:5
257:1,5,8,11,14	127:7 129:5	157:18,21	freedoms
footage 166:1,2	153:4 178:22	158:10 161:21	188:19
166:8,25	194:20 195:1	171:8 176:21	freeway 270:7
169:14 189:14	195:15 196:11	176:25 178:1	frequent
189:14 207:22	197:18 198:3	178:19 179:22	240:24 241:19
207:25 208:13	208:5,24	201:3,4 202:17	242:17
209:4 225:19	211:13 215:7	205:16 253:8	frequently
226:20,25	269:7 271:9,16	four 19:7 61:5	262:15
228:2 229:3	272:1,10	93:22 142:22	friend 34:5
230:6 233:22	formally 255:6	160:8 201:1	friendly 104:21
235:12 236:21	forming 153:20	212:8 242:22	friends 139:10
254:13,16	153:24 154:5	fourth 142:21	139:15 140:19
264:19	155:1 163:2	149:12	140:20
force 23:9,21	164:18 165:7	fox 2:9 4:17,17	frigerio 2:20
23:22,25 27:24	165:13,15	82:3,7,10,11,18	front 72:19
28:1,4,16,21,25	177:17	83:22 84:6	76:14,18,19
29:10 91:5,15	formulaic	85:4,11,14,15	77:14,25 78:4
forces 23:11	88:11 90:3	86:8,23 92:9	78:9,12,25
89:2	formulate	100:18 103:22	79:11,12 80:20
ford 10:24 59:6	90:16 190:6	104:19 109:18	81:14,24 82:9
122:20,23	195:6 198:11	132:2 139:25	87:11 124:6

[front - go] Page 29

148:13 184:23	g.com 2:17	126:21 131:2	giving 113:2
185:4 202:20	276:2	gereb 163:22	119:18 260:17
202:22 226:8	gain 53:16	163:23 164:1,8	glad 134:21
233:10 254:14	59:14	178:13 185:19	glebe 2:10
255:16,17	gained 26:24	185:22 186:8	go 5:9,9 9:6
265:22	56:12 120:23	240:15	19:22 21:6,6
frustration	gains 121:5	gestures 133:4	23:5,16 29:20
259:7	gamboa 174:12	getting 26:21	32:15,16,21,25
ft 275:24	game 87:25	62:24 64:25	34:7,8 36:15
fto 60:21,23	gary 1:13,16	82:25 86:4	37:13,14 44:5
fuel 140:24,25	3:4 4:1,13	116:9 135:8	46:9,15 48:3
full 4:12 8:6	116:6 274:12	167:16 189:7	48:15,22,23,23
45:12 75:8	274:17 276:5	ghost 61:10	50:9,17 53:19
78:21 85:6	277:2,24 278:2	giant 70:12,13	54:23 55:1
106:20 144:11	278:4,12	girl 80:6	59:12 60:13
158:22 182:3	gas 139:11	give 8:6 9:25	61:13 62:19
183:5 210:20	gaston 19:13	10:13,15 11:6	63:3 65:15
216:15,19,20	19:24 20:1,13	16:2 18:4	66:4,24 67:25
221:14 225:13	gathering	23:15 32:13	78:23 82:1,11
229:7 263:9,17	107:12	80:23 90:4,10	83:25 86:4
funny 21:12	gauge 75:23	90:23 91:21	87:7 88:7,8
further 65:15	270:14	95:6 104:6,9	91:14 92:7
151:3 231:23	general 64:18	111:1,20 113:9	93:15 95:25
268:19 272:17	98:1,2 247:21	115:21 125:10	97:15 102:1
275:1,12,15	generalization	204:25 205:1	112:16 113:18
fusion 238:7,9	244:23	221:5 240:17	114:1 116:13
238:10,13,14	generally 8:11	247:15 252:25	118:24,25
238:15,18	50:10 65:12,14	267:22	119:3 121:22
future 98:17	125:24 185:9	given 57:21	128:21 135:18
145:6	190:22 209:7	122:4 130:15	135:20,23,25
g	238:13	184:22 274:19	136:3 137:10
g 164:1 251:3	generic 53:22	278:9	138:18,21
	georgetown	gives 139:5	141:3,24,25
	120:3,5 126:10		142:2,16,21

[go - good] Page 30

145:22 147:9	9:23,24 10:8	112:6,11,12	208:18 210:12
150:6,10,17	10:11,13,15	115:18,20,21	210:13,14,14
151:13 153:10	11:15,19 13:2	116:12,15	215:2,8 216:3
159:11 160:21	13:18,21 17:22	117:11 120:7	216:23 226:15
162:4,21 170:2	22:5 25:21,22	121:11,11,20	229:12 235:19
178:9 181:4	26:9,13 28:12	122:2 123:6	237:6 239:4
184:16 190:17	32:1 40:2,5	125:21 130:4	241:2 245:14
198:23 204:24	41:13,17 42:22	130:10,17,21	247:1,19
206:12,13	44:19 45:5	131:5,5,5,6,8	248:19 249:5,6
207:13 212:2	48:25 55:9,13	131:15 133:17	249:10,19
215:24 217:19	55:23 57:13,24	134:2,4 135:6	251:7,10,14
217:24 225:3	59:25 60:3,4,9	135:9 136:3	252:5,6 253:11
225:12 229:7	62:15 66:25	138:18 139:12	256:21 257:1
240:5 249:23	68:12 70:14	139:19,20,22	257:17 258:4,9
251:13,22,25	71:23,25 72:2	140:3 141:9	259:1,5 260:20
252:5,6 256:20	73:2 74:4,5,6,9	142:2,15,23	262:12,20
257:6 262:8	75:15,16 76:9	144:16 145:3	263:24 265:9
263:5,9	78:12 80:17,24	147:2 149:15	265:15 266:1,2
goal 51:23 52:1	80:25 81:1,2	150:12 153:11	266:9,12,20
52:2,4,12	81:13,15,21,24	154:4 156:21	268:11,18
god 86:3	81:25 82:1,10	160:19 168:23	272:18 273:4
goes 54:22 55:9	82:19 83:7,23	169:15 170:6	goldfish 73:8
66:6 76:6 87:1	84:1 86:3,5,18	176:23 178:2	75:9 84:2
87:2 104:5	86:19,19,20	178:10 179:10	golly 258:9
110:23 112:13	87:11,16 88:11	179:10,11,12	good 4:5,6 5:2
119:17 123:21	89:2,3,3 90:16	179:13,14,14	7:15 11:11,22
130:20 150:2	92:2,4 93:9	179:15,18	31:16 34:23
151:10,24	95:24 96:8,23	182:8 184:18	38:4 62:23
154:21,22	100:9 101:25	190:18 193:18	64:4,20,22
179:25 180:7,8	102:5,9,10	196:21 197:12	65:4,7 76:15
192:24 195:2	106:2,4,5,6,18	199:11,23	77:22 81:17
203:8 270:1,7	106:21 107:15	202:3 205:21	84:20 86:21
going 4:14 5:21	107:23 108:12	206:10,13,14	90:17,17,22
6:8 7:10,20 9:8	110:4,14,19	206:19 208:3	92:4 130:7,11

[good - half] Page 31

131:25 132:1,3	200:16 246:4,8	47:19 54:13	33:5 40:14
136:13 142:17	252:21,23	56:20,21 57:4	43:16 46:22,23
152:25 177:15	254:2,3	64:8 66:14	51:20 52:17
183:4 185:8	greater 81:10	67:5,9 69:3	61:16 64:24
199:8 202:12	234:22 235:4	70:20 73:3	65:4 66:5
210:25 215:10	greatest 78:5	74:8 75:7	68:23,24 80:6
215:14 269:15	79:10,11	76:23 82:2	84:22 85:24
google 161:5,19	greek 157:2	88:4 91:15	86:20 94:11
217:16	green 71:2,3	93:4 94:2 95:8	109:23 112:12
gotcha 182:7	72:21 73:18	99:25 103:24	113:11 116:3,8
gotten 24:22	74:1,17 75:10	104:10 111:11	116:8,11,14,16
45:12,18,21	75:11 76:2,4	113:16 115:12	116:22 118:10
140:24 141:5,6	greenhill 1:22	115:21 118:17	120:22,25
142:2 160:25	2:14 166:22	119:24 127:21	121:2,4 131:1
253:19 266:20	greyhound	130:13 132:16	131:1,4 184:15
gouge 93:16	24:4	139:9,17 144:2	191:19 192:9
government	grocery 76:3	152:2 165:24	255:22,23
21:5 22:9	ground 40:19	171:23 172:24	260:13
202:5	184:8 239:13	173:6 175:22	guy's 135:18
governor's	group 22:1	176:6 177:14	guys 21:15
23:10	37:13 53:2,4	195:11,16	29:18,18 30:15
governs 155:21	54:3 112:18	196:2,21 214:1	49:2 53:3 63:8
gps 212:17,19	113:12 115:1	220:21	65:8 87:4 88:3
214:11,12,14	239:18,18,19	guide 145:6	90:16 111:14
215:10,18,23	242:17	198:11 259:23	157:2 158:2,3
216:11 236:7	groups 53:8	guides 155:21	187:19 254:3
grandchildren	112:9	guilty 258:16	h
63:21	growing 50:13	258:17	h 4:13 48:19
grandkids	grown 63:21	gummies 64:1	250:15,16
63:25	guess 12:17	gun 24:19	277:3
great 4:14	14:6,16,21	guns 260:13,14	half 56:4 57:7
67:10 92:10	17:19 20:14,16	guy 17:16	128:2
119:9 152:23	35:14 39:9	23:12 25:9	120.2
153:9 176:8	40:2,5 46:1,17	29:3 32:18,25	

hallucinating	170:15,19,22	hats 86:12	90:19 91:20
149:5,8	170:13,17,22	haul 45:12	92:8,10,11,22
hand 10:12	173:3 195:4	hays 28:9 207:7	92:24 100:12
11:15 13:18	203:23 252:25	head 5:24,24	100:14,16,19
52:13,13 76:7	253:15 254:9	34:25 75:17	100:14,10,13
87:2 130:9	happening 38:2	135:8 152:11	103:23 109:19
133:5 156:24	43:15 64:23	167:7 262:3	127:9 142:16
160:19 210:12	117:22 183:21	header 14:7	142:21 149:7,9
210:13,17	269:4	96:18 154:18	149:11 153:3
251:7	happens 42:24	162:24 170:3	162:8,11 164:1
handcuffed	60:6 65:24	199:1 215:25	164:7 178:25
249:8	80:1 82:13	heading 241:5	194:21 197:24
handguns	101:4,24	hear 79:21	198:21 215:16
253:22	102:12 119:16	80:12 90:14	242:4 246:4,6
handing 14:3	138:25 145:5	133:20 176:13	251:3,7,14
handler 181:17	173:13 205:21	184:14 231:17	263:14,16
181:18	206:7 248:25	heard 22:15	265:8 269:9
handlers	258:4	34:8 69:12	271:13,24
181:23,24	happy 104:8	87:18,18	272:4,11,22
hands 80:6	146:8 162:4	114:16 158:11	273:2
181:10 249:4,6	hard 62:4	176:12 185:19	hector 2:19
249:7	75:17 76:7	189:9 223:24	4:24 5:1 6:24
happen 65:24	93:20,25 95:11	257:15	273:4
83:9 114:18	131:23 133:9	hearing 257:20	help 16:6 24:12
115:6,6 117:24	133:21 149:4	heavier 124:14	28:15 30:16
120:16 133:18	199:5	heavily 30:20	65:17 67:8
170:22 179:12	harm 63:19	50:4	74:10 76:24
197:16 253:16	haston 1:13,16	hebert 2:3 3:5	90:15 128:13
happened 16:7	3:4,11,12 4:1,7	4:4,7,25 5:3	134:3 146:13
66:6,6 69:1	4:13 10:9	9:11,15,22	173:8,12
96:9 101:11	116:7 246:6	10:5,8 20:11	224:20 225:11
102:13,16	274:12,17	21:16 22:8	246:14,19,23
114:2 148:12	276:5 277:2,24	32:7,10 57:16	255:20 258:20
148:18 150:8	278:2,4,12	57:18 58:10	

holped 247.2	highwaya 55.10	hotel 19.22	houses 30:9
helped 247:2 helpful 25:8	highways 55:19 127:5	hotel 48:23 51:4 117:23,24	73:14 138:5
27:5 46:16		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	hindsight 188:9	118:2,5,9,10,15	180:5
52:18 56:20	191:3	121:2 129:19	housing 25:13
87:17 143:23	hired 60:20	138:18,18,20	25:25
169:8 190:19	90:4,9 91:21	138:20 140:13	houston 25:24
224:2 240:3	166:21	140:14 147:24	39:23 41:24,25
250:4	history 41:11	168:22 184:2	45:19 118:4
helps 65:22	63:12 73:20	191:23 241:1,2	122:7 124:22
87:5 258:19	74:19,20,21	241:4,20,21	125:2,6 127:23
hereto 1:24	83:2 113:8	243:17	127:24 128:5
278:7	hit 59:16 102:3	hotels 139:12	128:15,21
heroin 69:16	102:7 227:25	hour 57:14	129:18 137:18
hesitant 240:16	228:4,9	92:20,24 93:1	137:21,24
hey 23:14 33:4	hits 265:22	93:10 95:6,19	138:4,8,9,17,21
34:6,7 37:20	hitting 134:14	100:10 101:25	139:19 141:18
68:13 79:1	hold 34:24	102:1 116:13	141:23,24
94:13 112:11	112:25 263:14	116:13 216:11	142:9 148:9
113:21 116:9	272:18	221:23 222:16	168:23,25
131:4 204:24	holding 35:2	236:7 267:11	241:1 244:5
206:9 249:3	holiday 73:6	hourly 92:25	huge 38:9
hidden 169:4	137:24 138:1	93:4,5	huh 6:1,1,1
hide 134:20	home 34:10	hours 93:18,23	29:9 74:15
hiding 256:25	homeland	93:23 94:1,1,3	121:25 139:16
high 74:25	127:13	94:8 95:8,13	149:14 173:19
260:20 261:11	homicide 258:5	142:14 199:25	177:4 194:5
higher 52:16	honest 205:22	200:6,10,11,13	221:12 238:9
highlighted	210:19 235:22	200:17 201:4,9	267:25
211:8	hood 221:20	house 29:25	human 58:15
highway 25:20	226:5,7,8	30:3 109:8	58:17 59:1,19
26:17 84:24	232:2	180:5	59:25 64:16
120:20 125:14	hope 56:19	housekeeping	122:12,24
126:9 127:20	92:4 258:18	5:10	124:9,19,23
141:21			125:3,7 128:25
1 11,21			125.5,7 120.25

[human - indicators]

Page 34

129:8,12,14	i	impacted	incident 41:3
146:24,25	idea 19:16	233:18	208:7
147:15 199:16	81:19 112:16	impairment	include 44:13
humans 122:7	126:15 166:15	8:14	179:21
humor 32:7	185:7 238:6	import 48:4	including
hundreds	ideas 250:3	importance	151:18 264:5
39:19	identified	44:5,8 213:18	inconsistencies
hung 44:23	28:19	important 5:20	132:11 189:24
hunt 90:18	identify 155:16	31:22 40:1,4	inconsistency
hunting 87:19	165:6 223:5	42:20,23 43:14	132:20
87:24 88:2,8	265:14 267:16	43:21 65:17,19	inconsistent
hurts 40:19	269:2	65:20 66:2,7	131:11,12
63:19,20	identity 237:19	66:20 67:1,2	151:20 204:19
hypnosis 33:22	ignorance 30:2	87:6 110:9	205:16
36:24 37:11,23	114:6	148:21,23	incorporate
65:25 247:11	ih 97:4 239:8	172:22,22	79:3
247:11	242:13 243:6	173:2,2,8	incorrect 11:2
hypnotism	iii 1:6 2:18	211:17 212:4,7	197:17
35:19,20	274:6	214:21 252:11	increase 24:12
hypnotist 32:4	ij.org 2:6,11,12	importantly	indentions
32:11 33:10,23	illegal 49:1	256:8	227:16
38:6	71:22 75:4	imported 122:9	independent
hypnotize	78:6,15 106:1	impound 20:9	264:16
33:11 34:2	121:14 134:23	imprecise	index 3:1
36:9 37:4	136:24 137:17	218:25	indicate 129:7
hypnotized	137:20,23	improper 7:5,5	indicated
34:24 35:2	illegally 121:12	improved	201:20 216:11
36:13,14,15,18	illinois 85:5	214:15,16	264:9
37:12,14,19,24	illustrating	215:23	indicator
hypothetical	71:10	improves	214:11,13
87:8 110:23	immediately	215:20	248:24 249:1
hypotheticals	131:7	inches 227:14	indicators
87:3,6		incidences	248:15
		224:3	

[individual - interdiction]

Page 35

1 11 11 1 1 4 6	110 00 111 04		
individual 1:5	110:22 111:24	inhale 72:10	instructor 35:5
1:7,8 62:8	113:1,3,16,18	initial 92:16	39:22,23 41:19
66:25 117:9	115:9,14 116:1	187:3	43:13,17 44:5
214:21 274:5,7	116:23,24,25	initiated 106:7	44:11 78:25
274:8	117:9 119:11	injuries 40:25	204:12 205:3,6
individually	119:14,19	inn 137:24	212:24,25
26:9	121:7,19 122:4	138:1	213:1 246:16
individuals	124:16 128:17	innocent 110:5	247:3
160:13	128:24 129:14	118:24 129:25	instructors
industry 47:20	146:17,21	130:3 132:17	146:2 204:22
50:5 55:17	147:7,8,11,12	133:25 139:20	206:15 250:5,6
64:19 109:17	148:7,22	139:22 141:13	insurance 86:3
138:12 139:11	151:15 153:24	146:8 258:6	intelligence
141:22 191:22	153:25 167:25	inputs 240:4	94:12 238:11
infancy 25:11	168:2 173:5	inside 80:22	intend 12:2,5
infiltrate 46:6	191:1 193:13	instagram	272:15
infiltrating	193:17,25	109:13	intended 260:1
52:3	194:3 211:10	instance 1:17	intensifies
informal 7:10	211:24 237:2,4	51:22 171:23	133:13
7:11	237:6,16,18,22	209:19	intensive 252:8
informant	238:1,3,11	institute 2:4,9	intercept 51:6
237:10,13,20	239:7 240:7,14	157:19,22	intercepts
informants	240:15,17,22	158:5 177:3,6	52:14
30:7,7 53:15	240:23,24	177:14,15	interchangea
53:15	241:3,4,10,12	187:19	31:14
information	241:14,18	instruct 42:17	interdiction
33:11 53:16	243:7,9 245:6	instructed	23:6,7,12,12,14
62:22 66:23	245:11,15,21	42:12,15 43:21	23:19 24:3
77:6 90:22	informed 97:3	44:16 145:17	25:9,11,17
98:21 106:8,11	243:1	229:16	26:2,8,14 27:4
106:16 107:12	informing	instruction	27:13,19 28:2
107:13,17	107:4	44:2,4,13	28:11 29:3,4
108:8,18,21	infraction	246:13	29:21 30:14,17
109:21,22	264:9		30:19,21 31:9

[interdiction - investigations]

Page 36

43:16,18 44:20	interest 25:15	interstate	247:14 256:22
44:22,24 45:1	26:24 27:12	26:18,22 59:4	intimidating
45:7,11,15,17	61:16 192:8,18	85:4 108:4	86:15,20
45:23,25 46:1	interested	120:3 126:10	intoxicated
46:3,11,12	25:21 41:4	126:20 130:25	40:15,19
47:8 50:24,25	90:25 199:10	140:2 227:4	introduce 4:14
51:4,5,5,11	199:17 275:16	253:8 269:24	9:8
52:14 53:2,3	interesting	interview 56:14	introduced
54:4,7,12,13,25	21:23 35:16	56:15 76:22,23	246:18
55:13 56:4,22	101:17 158:11	77:2,5,11,12	investigate
58:2 60:13,16	interests 110:2	79:3,25 80:10	34:1 51:13
61:16 62:1,9	interfere	80:13,23 81:17	106:4 110:17
62:10,11,16,18	256:17	82:9 106:12	110:20 111:8
62:23 63:6,9	intermediate	132:22 134:15	112:16 114:1
64:19,21,22	57:4 62:14,15	135:24 136:9	investigating
65:4,7,9 67:24	internal 187:1	247:24,25	46:18 107:14
73:20 78:3,13	187:3,3	252:7,22 255:8	investigation
78:21,23 83:23	international	255:12 256:8	21:20 28:17,19
84:13 85:24	60:8 116:4	260:7	66:18 67:22
86:16,21 87:19	internet 93:24	interviewed	93:6 105:16
88:5,22 89:10	156:12,12,14	26:23 253:9	107:8,9,10,11
91:7 101:3,15	239:16 259:19	interviewers	108:10,13,15
104:16 116:4	interpret	252:9	139:3 147:2
117:23 118:16	246:21	interviewing	151:4 167:5,15
120:2 132:14	interrogation	77:5 252:12	170:18 175:5
138:7,23	76:15,23 77:1	253:18 255:9	181:4 186:18
145:20 155:24	77:3 252:8	258:1 261:19	187:4 240:21
184:3 191:19	interrogations	interviews	254:2
192:3,9 194:9	76:14,17 77:15	76:18 77:25	investigations
198:25 199:4,9	79:12	78:4,6,9,25	18:21 21:1
199:15 201:15	interrupt 6:11	79:12 125:15	24:5 25:3
203:24 206:17	6:14	136:13,14	46:25 52:8,22
234:16 248:12	interrupting	169:3 202:21	106:22 109:7
250:22 262:1	256:7,11	202:23 246:25	109:15 127:14

173:13 200:15	items 154:21	johnny's 63:4	jwindham 2:11
258:5	155:9,10,12	joint 72:11,19	k
investigative	165:10 243:19	joke 82:21	k 210:13
28:15 29:19	245:4,19,22	josh 4:17,20	k9 144:19
30:4,5,6 32:4	itineraries	joshua 2:3,8,9	149:21 150:14
32:10 33:10	117:17	judge 5:18 7:4	181:6,7,8,17,20
35:19 38:6	j	145:10 197:21	181:23,24
53:3 109:8	jacks 45:14	198:14,19	182:1,3,22
191:23 241:9	jail 119:4 134:2	236:15	183:21 192:5
investigator	january 1:14	judgment	k9s 181:25
34:1 47:9	1:19 274:12	161:7,8	192:9
257:25	275:18 276:3	jump 92:6	keep 41:14
investigators	jargon 72:17	161:8	47:23 82:19
109:11 256:7	72:17,18 87:22	jumping 119:7	95:12 100:14
investigatory	87:22 88:3	159:12	142:14 156:10
136:16 137:6	237:5	june 158:5	205:23 213:19
investment	javier 1:7 274:7	177:20	221:1 254:23
57:24	jewelry 20:4	junk 85:6	kens5 177:19
invite 89:21	jfox 2:12	jurisdiction	kept 59:18
involved 15:10	job 6:18 34:15	106:23	keys 48:23
27:4 30:20	43:1 53:19	jurisdictions	keyword
88:25 89:7,12	55:8 86:5,5,24	107:6	109:25 110:9
118:21 125:2,6	90:14 190:11	jury 90:13	110:12
139:7 190:21	198:8 269:15	173:12 197:24	kids 63:19,19
191:2 194:1	joe 108:18	198:16 219:2	73:5,8 84:3,5,8
243:25	111:6 164:8	260:18	84:11 165:17
involving 17:21	joel 1:5 2:13	justice 2:4,9	kiki 238:5,20
isolation 259:9	89:8 163:12	23:24 127:12	238:24
issue 105:7,8	274:5 276:4	157:20,23	kill 253:24
128:9 140:19	277:1 278:1	158:5 177:3,7	killed 252:19
166:3	johnny 21:14	177:14,16	254:7 260:23
item 159:15	21:18,22	187:19	killers 256:22
162:22 174:3		justified 188:5	
242:25 243:1			

[kilos - know] Page 38

1.11 02 0 05 0	0.07.11.0.10	66.22.60.021	100 15 100 60
kilos 82:8 85:9	9:25 11:8,18	66:23 68:8,21	102:17 103:6,9
133:15 140:8,9	12:13,14,15	68:23,24,25	103:19 104:4,6
kind 6:16 12:11	14:4 16:1,2,5,6	69:18,25 70:1	104:7,18 105:2
19:9 27:12	17:16,22 19:12	70:4,6,9,11,13	105:13,25
31:9 32:18	19:20,23 20:21	71:2,18,20,22	106:10 107:12
36:7 38:16,20	21:1,15,16,25	71:25 72:17,23	107:14 108:9
57:18 60:13	22:4,4,4,5	73:9,13,14,15	108:10,11,12
63:1 65:25	23:25 24:5,18	73:16,21,22,25	108:12,20,24
70:6 75:12	24:20 25:19	74:4,20,24,24	109:9,11,12,24
83:21 88:12,19	26:11,25 27:1	74:25,25 75:1	110:1,2,8,8,10
102:6 104:5,24	28:22 30:8,9	75:3,5,7,14,16	110:22,25
113:19 115:16	30:17 31:13	75:17 76:2,8	111:11,15,18
117:21 118:21	32:1,16,23,25	76:10,16,25	111:23 112:4,5
168:3 169:18	33:4,6,7,9 34:9	77:1,2,4,4,9	112:5,11,15,19
169:19 187:6	34:13,15,16,18	78:5,15 79:19	112:19,23,24
189:9 191:6	34:21 35:6	80:9 81:12,20	113:10,10,10
193:16 198:9	36:2,7,20 37:9	81:25 82:7,8	113:14,17,20
226:7 240:3	37:14,15,22	83:14,14,15	113:20,23,23
247:20 267:18	39:16,18 40:3	84:18 85:25	114:1,19,21
kinesics 79:24	41:7 44:6	86:5,8,11,14,22	115:5,7,9,9,18
246:16 247:4,5	45:15,21 47:17	86:24 87:4,6	115:20,22
kit 71:5,6	47:24 49:16	87:12,14,22,24	116:3,5,6,8,11
kits 71:24 72:1	50:17 51:24,25	87:24 88:7,9	116:17,22,25
knew 28:18	52:19,20 54:19	88:10,25 89:4	117:16,17,19
59:2 62:8 91:7	54:21 55:3,17	89:4,8,12,16,24	117:22 118:18
107:18,19,19	56:14 57:23	90:9,25 91:3,5	118:23 119:10
107:21,22	58:19 59:1,13	93:12,13,13,14	119:17,19,21
127:8 149:4	59:18,20 61:1	93:15,24,24	119:23 120:13
194:6 195:14	61:4,7,18,20,22	94:14,17,22	121:8 122:18
243:12,13	61:24 62:5	95:6,11 96:10	122:21 123:3
245:12	63:4,5,24 64:4	98:3,11,15,25	123:21 125:8
knocks 256:6	64:5,10,23,24	99:17,18,19,20	125:13,16
know 6:5,15,18	65:16 66:1,1,1	99:22 101:9,23	126:3,9,10,16
6:21 7:12 9:3	66:5,19,19,20	102:4,10,11,14	128:8 129:9,10

			,
130:2,6,11,17	195:20,22	249:10 253:12	knows 48:24
130:18,22,25	196:7,15,20,23	254:2 255:8	49:1 81:12
132:8,16 133:1	197:1,21,24	257:16,17,22	122:25 124:21
133:9,13,21	198:19 200:18	258:2,8,17	133:15 139:5
134:5,11,12,13	200:22 201:1	260:12,22	189:2 191:21
134:15,18	203:24 204:1,2	265:20,23	192:2 194:7,8
135:4,7 136:4	204:3,7,25	266:12,17,18	194:13
137:1,9 138:7	205:8,13,20,20	267:4,9,10	l
139:2,23,25	205:21,24,25	268:1,5	1 35:5,7,11
140:6,12,17,20	206:5,7,9,9,11	knowable	labor 252:8
140:23,24	206:25 210:23	191:1 193:22	lack 47:3 73:10
142:24 143:25	211:25 213:13	193:23 194:1	155:17 202:13
145:7,8,10,21	213:20 214:7	194:10	ladder 51:22
145:24 147:21	214:17,18,20	knowing	52:4,5,9
147:22 150:7	214:23 215:2,8	120:24,25	ladders 84:22
151:12 152:10	215:10,14,20	176:12	lady 198:22
152:11,13	215:22 217:17	knowledge	241:19 243:18
156:5,8 157:1	217:19 222:2	17:23 26:19	lane 216:13
159:5 161:7,16	224:14 226:6	40:4 61:24	217:5,13 218:2
161:20 167:7	227:9,10,14,16	69:5 75:21	218:3,6,9,10
167:10 170:22	231:5,16,18	106:14 117:17	219:10,15,20
172:20,21,24	232:11,11,22	139:2 157:5	221:18 223:11
176:13,15	233:4,6,11	181:25 184:7	223:21,23
177:10,11	234:8,9,9	191:14,20,22	226:11,20
180:7,8,9,13	236:6,11,13	193:4,6 194:11	227:3 230:3,22
181:1,2,5,8,13	237:5,17,19	214:14 240:17	231:1,14 232:5
181:23 182:23	238:2,7,13,23	242:9	232:6,13
185:3 186:22	239:17,18	known 10:2	233:24 234:7
187:16,18	241:12 243:13	91:1 138:10	235:13 236:19
188:15,25	243:14 244:6,8	140:9 142:4	269:11,21,25
189:25 190:8	245:1 246:18	168:1,3 194:13	270:3 271:8,21
190:11,12	246:21,25	195:7 235:1	language 56:13
193:7 194:23	247:7,13,14	246:15 247:3	79:24 80:25
194:25 195:3,4	248:16,17,20		17.24 00.23

[language - legitimate]

Page 40

132:24 133:7	93:24 97:1,11	213:1,9,12,23	247:16 248:24
134:4,18	97:16,19 98:12	229:16 231:18	252:23 254:11
191:21 194:11	99:2 100:5,24	238:24 239:22	learning 25:22
246:18,20	101:8,14	240:20 242:16	54:25 55:13
247:6,23 248:7	102:23 103:2,3	248:13 250:21	56:10 61:17
250:20 252:22	103:9 104:2,12	257:12,24	81:6 87:4
253:2 254:23	106:14 109:14	258:14 260:25	93:14 119:23
255:3,10,19	111:21 114:25	lawsuit 68:12	120:2 203:18
258:10 262:15	116:23 117:4	lawyer 112:2	204:18 230:16
264:13	119:12,13,16	lawyers 164:17	254:12 255:2,2
laptop 210:20	121:19 145:4,5	lead 47:4 83:7	leave 11:12
laredo 238:7,9	145:6,9,9	147:5,19	19:2 48:23
238:14,18	151:20 153:16	leading 24:6	83:8 138:21
large 25:18	154:1 155:13	193:7 243:7	150:6
26:21 47:5	155:16,21,22	leads 124:16	leaving 16:23
48:4 128:22	155:22 156:4	leafy 71:3	138:18 241:2
138:10 141:23	156:11,25	72:22 74:17	led 47:1 104:22
141:25 143:13	161:4,4,5,6,7,9	75:10	124:8 184:12
144:5	161:11 168:1	leake 90:6,7,8	234:5
larger 122:18	172:12 179:7	90:20 164:22	lee 28:10
largest 262:21	182:10 183:8,8	leaky 90:6	left 10:25 22:18
laser 265:22	184:3 186:15	learn 12:14	45:14 108:4,5
late 86:5	187:15,16,17	24:20 47:24	219:19 221:22
laugh 135:15	187:20,22	54:22 55:2,7,7	223:9,16
249:21 260:9	189:16 190:12	55:8,22 115:17	226:13,18,19
law 1:21 2:20	197:13,17,19	117:9 119:15	227:3,23 232:5
12:13 33:23	197:22 198:1,1	120:18 151:12	243:2
38:7 39:13	198:6,7,8,10,15	199:13 238:20	legal 197:1
40:10 42:20	198:15,17,18	learned 26:13	275:23 276:23
43:17 47:21	199:11 200:18	26:19,22 27:1	legalize 71:19
49:4 51:23	202:4 203:8,9	55:16 58:23	legalized 50:13
55:2,6 71:17	203:9,11,12,14	117:11,12,13	71:18
71:20 78:20	203:15,20	117:14 120:7	legitimate
82:16 88:3	204:10,13	169:17 205:14	137:1

[legitimately - local]

Page 41

legitimately	lied 132:8	226:24 227:6,7	245:22
140:1	lies 131:23	227:13,17,24	listen 77:21
length 211:4	lieutenant	227:24 228:3,8	148:5 212:7
lengths 221:19	24:24,25 30:23	228:21,22	254:6,18
221:19	31:1 53:5,7	229:24 230:4,5	255:18
lens 214:24	life 36:2 135:16	230:11 231:11	listening 10:10
215:1 270:8,9	252:21 253:21	231:23,25	184:5 252:12
lenses 209:13	255:7	234:3 235:7	254:1 256:6,11
leonardo 21:25	light 34:17	277:4,7,10,13	lit 231:20
letter 35:5	72:10 196:5,5	277:16,19	litigation 68:14
letting 46:14	196:7,8,9,14	lines 219:16	little 44:19 45:4
level 52:16	197:3,4,7,8	224:7,16,18	45:6 50:18,22
79:18 81:3	lighter 72:10	225:22 226:2,5	58:11,15 63:4
91:3 107:9	lights 85:22	226:9,14	65:10 72:21
121:8 146:17	102:15 240:8	233:24 269:21	73:9,18 74:1
146:18 151:10	241:17	link 46:7,8 51:8	75:10 76:17
152:8 168:12	liked 253:13	lips 74:21,23	77:18 78:19
188:12 254:24	likely 175:25	80:9 133:21	79:7 82:2
255:19	limit 41:14	264:5	83:17 91:24
levels 257:21	221:24 266:2	liquidate 21:7	136:6 159:5,12
262:22	line 7:3 10:21	liquidated	173:7 182:20
liar 131:25	10:25 11:1,2	19:18	185:10 199:5
liars 130:7,7,12	92:7 120:4	list 28:6 38:16	202:16,19
license 26:10	131:2 217:2,4	154:21,25	224:20 237:1
33:13,17 48:19	217:25 218:12	155:9 157:7	lives 63:18
86:4 101:22	218:20,24	167:12,14	ljr 239:8
108:2 118:6	219:5,6 220:8	186:12 250:6	load 26:8 84:24
147:13 232:25	220:12 221:20	256:4	loaded 125:18
233:11,14	221:21 222:20	listed 22:25	loads 26:22
242:10	222:20 223:9	157:7 160:4,9	45:21,22 62:24
lidar 265:19,21	223:10,16,16	160:13 164:15	125:18 142:1,2
lie 131:22	224:15,23	165:9 172:2,18	253:8
134:6,7 255:22	225:2,24	175:4 176:24	local 89:1
255:23	226:18,19,23	187:10 189:16	120:6

[located - ltr] Page 42

located 229:4	174:3 178:8	234:17,18,19	116:21 119:5,8
230:13 239:9	182:2 183:1	234:23 236:18	124:7 127:17
location 138:14	190:5,6 193:9	256:24 268:9	127:19 129:6,8
213:18 223:22	195:5 198:24	268:17 270:11	132:4,7 133:24
login 109:3	201:25 202:13	looks 11:22	135:13 138:15
long 14:11	204:2 215:2	75:10 214:23	138:15,25
18:20,24 49:9	216:14 219:15	268:4	139:15 140:15
54:6 55:15,25	233:5,6 239:1	loose 61:15	140:22 141:24
63:7 68:18	246:9 249:12	126:5	141:25 156:22
83:3 94:14,16	251:3,16	lose 10:2 86:5	157:2 158:18
125:21 132:4	255:21 256:2	86:25 247:20	167:8,24
150:16 181:22	258:3,15,17	254:6	181:22,24
215:9,10,14	259:16	losing 258:11	199:19 200:19
250:17 255:25	looked 32:19	258:12	200:20 207:4
longer 16:20	32:22 99:3	lost 20:20	209:8 213:14
195:22	104:24 161:13	252:21	213:24 230:10
look 7:20,22	200:9 201:4	lot 10:24 17:16	231:4 234:13
18:16 28:3,12	236:6	21:24 22:3,11	240:14 246:16
30:5 31:17	looking 7:2	22:11 24:2,5	246:20 247:10
33:18 38:13	10:1 45:10	25:13,14,25	247:12,22
45:25 49:11	56:25 62:21	26:12,13 29:18	248:23,25
55:24,25 58:3	84:15 86:17	30:1 33:15	250:9 252:23
63:12 70:7,7	87:23 96:2,3	40:10 42:24	253:15,23
84:19 89:24	103:19,23	43:23 45:13	255:6 258:7
91:24 95:21	109:23 122:25	46:18 49:2,4	loud 221:6
110:3,13	133:3,4,5	55:18 56:9	louder 259:9
112:17 121:10	138:7 154:19	58:1,14 64:9	love 34:14 63:2
132:11,13,21	162:25 172:15	64:11,13 69:23	63:10,14,15
133:23 136:6,9	189:20 194:14	71:3 73:5,7,13	94:20
137:11 144:15	197:11 201:13	79:23,25 85:21	loved 34:12
145:6,25	207:14 214:25	87:4 94:1	low 261:25
150:20 153:9	226:3 227:12	102:13 104:21	lp 239:7
159:11,15	227:12 231:7	107:23 108:7	ltr 242:12
161:23 173:1	232:14 233:14	110:1 112:12	

[luck - march] Page 43

II- 40.0	100.2.200.2.4	245.22.252.0	252.0 17.10
luck 48:9	199:2 200:2,4	245:23 253:8	252:9,17,18
lucrative 58:24	200:7 201:17	254:18 260:22	265:5 268:2,7
lunch 7:9 92:7	201:21,25	261:9 262:15	makes 36:17
100:15 142:14	202:10 206:22	278:5	41:7 49:12
152:24 153:1,4	207:17,20,23	magnet 264:14	62:22 64:20
153:7	208:11 210:6	main 175:8	104:24 105:20
lying 130:6	216:2,22 217:7	maintain	119:9 124:6
249:14,15	217:20 218:4	217:13 219:10	133:6,10 139:6
260:4	218:10 219:8	230:3,22 234:7	180:14 188:15
m	219:11 220:23	271:7	199:22 235:9
m 2:3	223:4,18	major 26:17	making 176:10
ma'am 18:10	225:14,16,20	141:20,20	180:15,20
31:16 32:5	225:25 226:21	142:7	189:3,5 236:23
135:2,2,13,13	227:1 228:5,19	majority 21:9	254:17 255:10
135:17,17	229:1,5,11,20	31:20 64:24	male 84:9,13
143:17 144:7	234:1,4 235:15	125:1 127:24	man 40:24
144:14,21,23	236:3,25	138:3 139:1,21	59:17 68:20
149:24 151:7	238:22 239:3	make 7:6 9:1	86:9 136:4
153:19,22	239:10,24	11:19 37:15,15	198:20 206:9
154:20,24	241:15 250:2,5	48:10 52:20	260:22
155:3 157:13	250:9 251:1,18	55:20,20 57:20	man's 41:1
157:24 158:7,9	251:21 256:18	65:7 66:22	managed 46:6
157.24 138.7,9	262:11,17	68:23 79:1	manipulated
160:11,14,15	263:18,20,23	84:19 90:22	208:14,15,21
160:18 162:2	264:18,20	96:22 123:8	208:23 209:2,5
162:23 163:24	267:21	129:17 131:13	211:12
	machine 1:21	134:1 142:18	manipulation
164:10,13,20	made 32:20,24	144:8 166:5,15	208:6 211:15
165:8,12 168:7	45:9 67:23	166:23 192:25	manner 91:18
170:4,13	113:13 147:23	198:11 200:16	188:2 266:18
171:10,13,25	161:8 163:8	202:12 204:2	manual 98:7,8
175:3 182:5,7	168:20 169:16	214:7 230:8	98:24
182:13 183:23	173:24 191:3	232:10 235:2	march 96:4,5,9
185:1 191:5,8	194:9 200:23	240:8 241:17	97:2,7 100:17
	1		,

[march - media] Page 44

100:24 207:22	match 83:22	67:12,15,18	188:24 191:12
242:24 243:4	85:3	69:2 71:10	192:21 193:23
marijuana	matched 108:5	73:12,19 75:1	197:2 200:24
50:12,14 69:16	matches 83:3	76:7 77:2	201:3 204:9,10
70:21,22,24,25	83:17,25 85:11	79:13,19 81:11	204:11,13
70:25 71:3,4,8	101:19 224:12	81:18 83:13	205:13 213:9
71:13,24 72:4	matching 85:2	85:15 87:3,16	213:11 214:25
72:8,22,23,25	material 96:24	87:21 88:6,6	215:21 217:18
73:13,16 74:5	205:20	89:13 91:2	219:23 221:6
74:14,19 75:4	materials 3:15	93:2,8 94:24	223:1 226:1,4
75:11,24	95:9 187:13,14	95:5 96:4 98:6	226:19 227:6
140:25 178:1,6	199:17 246:13	99:12,16 100:4	231:22 232:21
179:2,9 180:23	251:20	100:18 103:12	233:1 237:11
181:1,9,10,14	matter 4:8 14:7	104:10,13,20	247:22 249:11
mark 13:21	35:21 36:1	104:21 105:4,9	253:13 257:15
60:16 62:12	87:14 90:11	105:12,21	258:8 266:11
91:10,12	133:8,9 250:23	108:10,23,25	268:1,5,21
210:14	250:25	108:25 109:22	meaning
marked 3:17	mattered 172:8	110:4,4,9,21	194:10 249:18
9:14 11:14,16	matters 15:1	113:17,20	means 5:7,22
13:23 14:3	16:2 75:25	116:24 117:1	53:12 60:23
153:10 160:20	76:1 87:13	117:15 119:22	118:21 127:19
161:24 162:13	111:2,3 198:5	122:22 126:4	141:12 171:1
164:3 210:14	199:15,16	128:5 135:22	249:16 260:4
210:16 216:13	mcallen 21:13	136:23 141:11	267:13
251:6,8 271:21	mean 7:1 20:15	144:2 149:25	meant 97:8
market 25:14	30:2 34:14	151:9 152:20	103:17 104:14
marking	46:2,3 47:9,12	156:8 166:19	143:4 148:6
269:21	47:25 49:25	169:22 170:13	182:16,25
markings 84:21	50:11,16,23	171:17,18	223:2
marshals 20:2	51:17 54:20	174:18 175:17	measurement
martin 1:6 2:18	55:1,2 60:5	175:23 176:21	265:19
159:21 274:6	62:19 63:7,12	177:1 180:2,4	media 109:1,12
	63:13 65:24	180:22,23	113:12 115:8

[media - misspelling]

Page 45

129:16	merry 32:21	59:23,23,24	mine 111:12
median 101:18	message 111:7	71:2 125:15	minus 105:7
270:2	111:9 165:12	mexico 48:6	minute 31:9
medication	184:14 239:19	49:14 58:23	57:14 100:10
8:14	messaged	70:11 142:9	126:8 154:3
meet 154:8,10	112:2	mid 27:7,9,10	162:5,9 163:7
meeting 89:25	messages 165:9	27:11,11 42:4	207:18,21
137:21 138:1	165:13,17,20	208:8,21	208:7,16,19,20
248:12	165:22,23	midatlantic	208:20,22
member 67:6	239:18	276:15	209:5 211:8,15
111:16,17	messaging	midlevel 26:14	211:17,19,19
242:16	112:18 113:7	midway 252:7	211:20,23
members 45:18	239:6,15,22	256:2	212:1,3 265:2
memory 33:8	243:2	miles 101:25	minutes 4:9,19
35:5 68:17	messed 100:20	102:1 131:3,14	4:22 35:1
102:7 177:25	met 4:9,17,21	216:11 221:23	56:16 126:3,5
186:14	89:15,17,23	222:16 236:7	126:6,6 142:17
mental 252:8	111:12,13,17	267:11	148:19 150:19
mention 175:25	111:21 138:19	millimeter 40:7	182:21 211:20
177:9	244:5	million 22:2	212:2,7,8,8
mentioned	meta 109:2	mind 33:12,14	221:5
41:18,23 42:5	meth 82:8	33:16 35:8	mirror 232:15
161:7 172:19	85:10 140:8,10	41:13 68:19	misdemeanor
184:9,10	methampheta	92:2 124:18	266:15,16
195:20 199:7	69:16 180:3	135:4 138:6	mispronounce
204:11 214:18	method 51:13	146:17 147:4	10:24
228:11 240:23	methodology	147:17 148:1,4	misread 258:4
240:24 249:21	173:17 185:9	148:23 151:16	missed 98:25
265:12	188:13 189:8	158:25 160:20	247:19
merchandise	methods	182:14 197:10	missing 243:19
45:22 62:25	255:13	220:15 221:1	mission 63:23
mere 85:22	mexican 23:16	247:8,12	misspelling
merely 35:23	24:4 26:12,20	mindset 36:20	143:4
239:20	48:4,17 50:11		

[misspells - necessarily]

misspells 144:1	money 21:7	moved 24:17	narcissistic
mistake 97:9	48:10,15 49:14	228:8 241:21	131:25
160:7 164:6	monikers	movements	narcotic 15:1,2
252:9,17,18	141:18	133:5,5	24:11,13 29:13
254:17 260:22	monitor 109:11	movie 21:19,25	30:13 89:19
261:9	monitoring	movies 40:17	91:2 105:16
mistaken	108:24 109:24	moving 218:1	109:8,10 139:3
157:17,18,20	monkey 184:17	267:17	199:20 201:14
158:21 174:16	monotonous	mueller 1:22	201:16
174:17	65:6	2:15	narcotics 23:20
misunderstan	month 61:8,9	mule 48:14,24	23:22 27:24
197:25	61:10	48:24 57:20	28:1 30:16
mixes 180:8	months 17:8	58:3,3,5,12	33:24,25 46:19
mobile 39:22	61:5	82:17	52:23,25 53:9
39:22 41:18	morals 63:16	mules 48:13	53:21 200:14
42:12,18 44:1	morning 4:5,6	57:19 125:16	241:9
212:23,25	8:16,25 93:22	multiple	narrow 114:22
229:17	167:24	155:10 164:15	nation 20:4
mode 51:15	motel 51:4	200:21,24	nationals 59:23
model 235:2	117:23 118:15	242:18	natural 6:16
models 214:15	184:2 191:23	municipalities	139:9 142:18
modes 68:6	mother 84:4	71:19	nature 39:13
molestation	mount 40:9	muscle 102:6	68:3 93:25
192:10	264:14	musk 215:21	117:20 135:4
molina 1:6 2:18	mounting	n	136:16 174:14
5:4 159:21	209:10	n 2:1 4:13	184:3 191:24
160:1,2 177:25	mouse 87:25	name 4:7,12	194:12 233:12
178:13 184:22	mouth 134:14	5:1 10:24 70:3	250:20 261:7
185:18 186:8	260:8 264:4	91:11,18 116:5	267:12 272:21
192:4,7,7	move 41:17	163:25 185:19	natures 130:19
240:13 274:6	46:9 65:8	237:21 250:13	nearly 100:9
mom 63:5	136:14 184:23	250:14	142:13
84:11	185:4 235:19	20011	necessarily
	248:9		15:14,23 51:23

[necessarily - numbers]

Page 47

83:20 140:20	134:20 135:15	nodding 5:24	northern
159:3 199:9	194:12 249:21	noises 256:5	126:21
203:5,19 233:1	260:9 264:7	noncriminal	nose 134:15
237:17 238:9	nervousness	132:18	notary 274:15
255:3 257:15	104:19	nonexistent	275:5 278:13
266:22 267:9	network 53:20	229:14,21	278:19
267:12	238:16	nonstressful	note 9:6 11:6
necessary	networking	135:25 261:21	276:10
66:13,15,16,17	106:24 107:5	nonthreatening	noted 278:7
278:6	never 4:25 32:8	134:22 136:15	notes 9:15 68:6
need 5:22 12:9	34:8 35:11	137:6 261:20	68:9
19:20 21:3	36:15 38:6	nonverbal	notice 5:8
23:16 24:19	87:8 89:15	262:9,22	noticeable
44:10 47:24	108:21 110:25	nope 138:2	135:10 222:19
48:10,14 56:5	111:17 121:4	normal 43:9	228:16 264:3
68:13,14 72:12	122:21 123:3	86:25 101:3,3	noticed 235:22
75:22 77:20,21	135:15 140:9	104:15 119:19	236:4,16,17,18
77:22 78:11	158:11 206:9	134:19 139:16	notoriously
87:10 92:22,23	222:16	140:10,11,16	22:20
136:25 146:10	new 24:10,16	150:18 188:15	november
147:9 162:3	30:13,15 45:13	normally 73:7	14:18
192:14 248:8,9	45:22 50:12	143:6	number 3:9,18
249:3	78:22 98:21	north 2:10 24:6	33:17 48:20
needed 23:11	153:20 161:4	48:22 50:10	54:15 55:10
28:17,18 34:2	161:15 187:16	60:1 97:3	84:15 108:2
35:24 91:18	news 177:7,19	108:4 120:3,4	126:24 127:4
246:8	nice 86:19,23	126:10 131:1,3	174:4 207:2
needing 174:16	night 85:15	131:14 133:16	216:12 251:4
needs 55:17	93:21 129:19	140:1 241:22	numbered 1:18
72:11 86:6	140:14 147:24	northbound	251:22,25
neither 275:12	242:13	129:21 141:9	numbers 11:20
nervous 85:14	nights 24:25	227:4 232:6	96:16 111:13
85:16,18,21,23	nine 29:4 183:6	239:8 241:5	111:22 149:3,6
86:23 133:20			149:7

Veritext Legal Solutions 215-241-1000 ~ 610-434-8588 ~ 302-571-0510 ~ 202-803-8830

[numerous - officer]

Page 48

numerous	observed 61:11	occur 71:7	100:4 166:11
220:12 250:12	101:2 216:8	138:25 233:10	166:20 167:20
266:17	220:1 222:20	occurred 113:7	186:15 187:25
nutshell 27:3	224:12 232:9	129:10 169:22	190:2,3
68:1 72:2	243:5 245:11	169:23 271:23	office's 99:3,11
0	245:24 270:5	occurring	officer 15:25
o 4:13 250:15	obtain 42:2	240:22	23:6,19,21,25
250:16	44:9 98:4	occurs 49:15	28:14 37:4
oath 5:14,16	144:18 157:14	257:12 260:8	40:5 43:10
176:16	158:15	october 14:17	44:24,25 45:7
objection 6:25	obtained	14:17,19	45:24 46:13
7:2 99:14	158:14 187:20	odd 19:7 33:4	47:8 49:11
103:5 127:7	obtaining 77:6	36:4	54:19,19,21
178:22 194:20	obvious 106:11	odds 83:4 89:4	55:13,15,22,23
195:1,15	131:9,10,11	134:10 161:10	55:24 56:3,9
196:11 197:18	232:16	odor 71:1,4	56:25 60:20,24
198:3 211:13	obviously	offense 230:3	61:4,6,12,14,20
215:7 269:7	13:24 23:19	230:24 234:6	61:23 62:1,9
271:9,16 272:1	28:7 36:19	235:18	62:23 64:20,21
272:10	40:1,15 46:4	offenses 216:6	64:22 65:8,11
objective	51:19 66:17	216:8,9	65:22,23 67:5
172:10 193:17	90:6 99:15	offer 15:13	68:6,13,18,19
objectively	107:18 116:14	215:16	71:8 72:3,24
190:24 192:22	152:10,20	offering 12:19	73:2,12,16
193:9,15	156:11 168:15	offers 239:20	76:19 77:17,17
observation	208:15 216:10	office 10:6 13:7	78:11 79:7,18
180:24	226:11 232:23	15:20 16:18,21	81:12,18 83:4
observations	232:23	16:24 18:19	83:7,14 84:24
170:3 173:2	occasion	19:3 22:19,22	85:25 86:6,10
observe 114:7	219:22	23:2,6,8,10,17	86:16,21 88:7
114:14 236:13	occasions 15:7	23:20 24:9,11	98:15,15
247:25	115:7 220:12	24:13 27:22	101:24 104:23
	242:18 262:1	30:14 89:11	105:24 106:7
	264:6	91:6,14 99:8,9	106:23 110:13

[officer - okay] Page 49

112:3 113:3	201:10 204:23	191:2 192:18	143:22 145:22
115:25 116:23	205:11 213:13	194:1 196:20	145:24 158:4
117:24 118:14	216:5 217:1,12	202:19 203:17	159:2 161:15
119:11,18,21	219:3 236:10	203:18 204:6	164:5 172:7
119:22 121:8	236:13 238:24	204:18,22	203:3 210:4
121:19 122:3	248:13 257:24	205:13,15,15	213:8 221:7,9
127:16 129:11	258:11 265:9	205:23 213:19	222:25 223:24
129:15,17	274:18	229:16 233:3,4	231:17 232:16
130:5,14 132:5	officer's 85:23	234:16 250:21	254:11 260:19
134:10 135:11	123:22 147:25	252:17 255:1	260:22 263:12
136:14 137:5,8	148:4 191:17	265:13	268:16
138:7,22,23	192:5 196:10	offices 1:21	ohio 156:6
139:4,18,22	196:22	2:20 21:14	oil 139:11
140:3 141:14	officers 25:18	official 1:6,7,8	140:1,11,13,18
143:1,8 144:4	28:20 42:18,24	110:11 153:11	140:21,23
145:15,24	43:24 44:2,7	248:13 274:6,7	141:8 181:10
148:14 150:10	44:16 45:2,4	274:8	okay 4:16 5:5
150:25 151:12	49:8 52:25	officially 91:21	5:13,20 6:3,11
151:18 152:6	53:9,21 59:11	offsite 241:3,21	6:22,23 7:8,21
152:18 168:1,3	62:6 65:17	oftentimes	8:4,8,11 10:7
168:11 172:10	66:10 71:21,23	89:20 112:9,9	10:13,17,22
179:21 180:1	77:1 78:3,21	114:19,19	11:3,9,11,12,17
180:24 181:22	78:22 87:23	133:19 145:8	12:8,22 13:12
188:3 189:2,4	89:10,19 91:3	237:10	13:18,20 14:14
189:5 190:12	101:16 102:3,7	oh 10:7 11:3	15:3 16:12,20
190:13,16,23	102:13 107:5	12:13 20:15,23	16:23 17:2,6
191:10,11,13	109:9 112:8,23	26:6 32:25	17:11 18:8
192:1,2,16	118:1 120:13	37:21,21,21	19:2,5,11,15
193:12 195:18	129:24 139:2	59:16 60:24	20:23 22:13,20
195:21,24	145:9,19 146:4	66:4 86:3	22:25 25:6
196:3,4,5,14,17	154:11 172:13	93:11 95:15	26:6 27:21
196:24 197:3,6	179:9,15 188:6	103:22 109:7	28:20 29:8,13
198:6,7,9,15	188:20,20	116:6 131:5	30:23 31:5,8
199:8,9,10	190:21,23	137:9 139:14	31:15,21,25
	1	1	

Г			1
32:5 36:25	109:16 112:1	181:19 182:2	250:8 251:2,24
38:5,9,14,25	114:14,25	182:14,18	252:1,5 256:20
39:3,6,15,20	115:21 117:3	183:5,25	257:13 260:19
40:5 42:5 43:7	118:17 120:3	184:16 185:2,8	262:8 263:7,16
43:22 44:19	122:1 123:20	186:14 187:6	264:1,12,16,21
45:24 47:16,19	125:1 126:6,15	187:12 189:9	264:24 265:4
48:3,14 49:3	126:22 127:9	190:17 191:9	266:3,25
49:20,24 50:1	128:4 136:10	192:21 193:16	267:14 268:21
50:6,15,18	137:16,20	194:14 197:10	268:24 271:4
51:11 52:19	143:6,16,18,20	197:16 200:5,8	272:4,7,15,24
53:9 54:1,4,11	144:8,24	201:18,22	old 40:9 71:2
54:18 55:12	145:16 146:13	202:1 203:4	94:11 98:23
56:2 57:4,12	149:10,16	206:23 207:24	olg 1:5 274:5
58:14 59:22	150:15,20	209:9 210:4,9	omission 160:7
62:14 66:14	153:20,23	211:3,5 213:5	once 32:16
67:8,14,19	154:17 155:4,8	214:1,14 216:9	59:11 60:19
69:3,9,20 70:6	155:12 157:14	216:14,17	61:12 62:24
70:20 72:6,8	157:22,25	217:8,21,25	66:3 134:23
72:16 73:3	158:4,16 159:8	218:8,11,17,25	139:23 142:17
76:21 77:7,14	159:11,13,14	219:9,21	147:8,19
78:17 79:23	159:21 160:3	220:20 221:11	185:17 186:4
82:2,14,20,22	160:19 161:23	222:2,5,5,12	187:22 230:5
82:24 83:10	162:17 163:5,9	223:5 224:2	236:17 248:6
84:1 85:1,13	163:19 164:7	226:15,17	ones 142:10
88:4 89:7	164:17 165:5	227:2,9 228:1	ongoing 240:21
91:20 92:19	166:19 167:17	228:23 229:25	online 19:23
93:18 94:2,10	168:4 169:8	232:7,18	20:8 22:6
94:19,21 95:8	171:2,14,23	233:20 235:20	156:3 202:7
95:19,21,23	173:10 174:3,4	237:1,3,25	205:25 207:5
96:1,22 97:16	174:10,21	238:5,7,20,23	open 25:13
99:1,6 100:12	175:2 177:13	239:13 242:4,4	272:18
100:13 102:20	177:19 178:8	243:11 245:9	opening 89:22
103:13 105:21	178:25 179:3	245:14 246:1	264:4
106:17 107:8	179:17,20	247:15 250:3,6	

[operate - page] Page 51

operate 181:25	215:17 220:11	oriented 46:13	pace 236:9
operated 29:5	221:21 228:1	original 40:6	266:7,7,8
188:19	228:20 229:15	128:10 274:21	267:19
operates 47:21	230:3,7 232:12	originally	package 51:5
192:6	233:21 240:19	186:23 244:1	packed 126:16
operating	264:23 271:14	originated	page 3:9,18
52:17	272:8	118:14	10:19 11:19
operation	opinions 12:1,4	ounce 51:24	31:12 92:2,12
269:11	90:17 153:13	outcome	95:25 96:2
operations 27:1	154:6 161:11	275:16	109:2 121:23
30:11	197:13 270:15	outlined 242:1	142:22 144:10
operative 46:21	opportunity	242:6	144:11 149:2
opinion 12:20	12:18,22,25	outside 15:12	149:11 153:11
15:13 16:2	13:12	78:23 80:2,4	153:11,12
79:21 90:4,10	opposite 40:23	183:14 197:7	154:17,19,22
91:22 96:18	options 150:9	268:11	154:22 157:7
101:11,13	oral 1:12,16	overall 199:3	158:22 159:11
103:20,25	274:11,19	oversaw 54:5	160:13 162:22
106:15 114:3	order 72:7	oversee 52:23	169:9 170:2
115:4 128:12	131:19 144:18	overtook 10:24	174:4,5 178:8
146:19 150:14	149:21 150:13	223:8	182:2,15
153:21,25	156:10 190:24	overview	184:16 187:10
155:1 163:3	192:14 193:14	169:19	190:17 197:10
164:19 165:7	198:8 212:4	own 34:2 61:13	198:23,24
165:14,15,23	246:25	62:20 129:5	199:24 201:14
168:8 170:3,19	orders 187:2	205:14 247:24	206:12,14
172:17 176:7	organization	p	207:13 215:25
176:10,22	45:16 46:7	p 2:1,1	216:14,17
177:17,23	48:7 52:10	p.c. 1:22 2:14	221:11 223:7
185:12 190:7	122:25	p.m. 1:19 153:1	225:12 229:7
195:6 197:17	organizations	153:2 162:10	239:1 242:3
198:11,12	53:16	162:10 246:5,5	245:22 246:9
208:4,12	organized	265:7,7 273:11	251:16,17,17
211:14 214:12	45:18		251:25 252:3,5

[page - passing] Page 52

252:7 255:21	197:12 199:24	169:25 170:24	particularly
256:20,21	202:4 206:13	171:19,22	14:25 85:24
258:21,22	206:15 207:14	175:5 203:17	211:19 212:16
259:16,24	208:3 216:15	221:2,2 224:19	235:4
261:4 262:8,9	216:15,20,21	229:18 245:2	parties 274:24
263:9,11,12,13	221:14 222:6	252:12 260:10	275:13
263:16 275:8	222:11,13	participant	partner 34:20
277:4,7,10,13	223:6 225:13	34:21	165:17
277:16,19	225:13,15,17	participate	parts 7:25
pages 10:2 23:1	226:11 228:14	37:21	203:10
154:25 162:4	229:8 239:2	particles 72:21	party 275:3,11
165:10 251:22	246:10 256:21	73:18 74:2	pass 61:12
251:23 256:3	257:5 262:13	76:2	120:21 126:7
paid 16:11,14	262:21 263:9	particular 7:13	126:19 127:19
32:24 57:25	263:17	17:24 24:21	272:22,25
88:20 116:10	parcel 51:5	29:7 54:3	passed 125:18
117:10	parentheses	67:22 104:18	125:19 218:12
paper 94:3	160:6	108:17 117:23	220:9,14
98:24	park 44:8	145:1 148:21	221:17,22
paragraph	parked 101:18	151:11,14	223:23 227:7
92:11 106:20	217:22	152:9,22	228:6 230:19
121:23,24	part 7:10 21:1	156:20 161:2	230:22 233:21
137:11,12	21:19,21 23:9	179:18 181:3	270:23
142:22 144:10	28:19,21,24	189:3,7 191:16	passenger
144:11,16	30:12 41:6	191:25 192:19	40:16 116:9
148:11,25	42:20 43:13	193:11,14	147:20
149:1,10,12	67:24 79:1	195:18,19,25	passes 101:18
150:20 153:12	82:21 83:3	196:16 207:11	219:14,16
158:22,25	96:11 103:10	228:5 236:20	231:12,13
159:2,3,4	114:25 115:1	244:6,19	passing 217:3
178:9 182:3,9	122:23 144:13	245:10 248:8	220:13 222:16
182:15,23,25	145:7,8 156:17	257:16 260:7	222:17,18
183:2,6 184:17	160:7,7 166:18	271:3	228:13 234:2
190:18 197:11	167:5,15		236:5,8 265:16

[passing - person]

Page 53

265:25	paying 43:2,11	127:4,6,25	persistence
passion 64:7	pays 16:10 45:8	128:21 129:6	64:23
passionate	80:16	130:11 131:22	person 36:13
188:21	pd 23:13 89:5	133:10,24,25	36:14 37:22
past 89:23	peace 60:20	138:3,15	46:15 51:24
105:3 151:18	pen 94:3	139:21 140:17	57:5 62:17,22
152:13 208:17	people 20:5,11	140:20 142:6	65:3 67:25
pat 249:2,3,5	21:10 23:25	143:13 144:6	68:11 72:19
253:25 254:7	26:23 28:18	160:9 180:6	80:15,20 81:13
path 205:19	34:24 35:2	188:18 192:15	83:24,25 85:2
patrol 24:17,25	37:3,10,14	203:14,25	86:1,2,17,19
30:23,25 40:9	38:1 44:23	204:1,15 231:4	113:2,20,21,24
43:4,5 44:9,25	48:6,7,8 49:17	231:5 246:21	113:24 114:10
81:9 127:14	49:18 50:2	247:13 250:22	115:19 116:1
163:18 174:8	52:2,9,10,16,16	253:19,24	121:16 129:20
177:22 183:10	53:23 54:6	254:7 256:5	130:6,20
183:21 191:13	55:18 56:16	258:6 261:24	131:25 132:6
191:17 192:2	58:21,24,25	people's 188:21	134:8,9,19,24
222:17 232:15	59:21 62:25	perceived	136:8 138:19
270:6,10	63:17,18 69:17	151:5 197:20	139:24,25
patted 78:10	69:22 74:22	percent 127:5	141:16 150:4,4
pattern 120:2	75:5,6 76:16	188:18	150:13 154:4
patterns 56:14	76:25 77:20,24	percentage	181:9 202:7
79:24 80:25	85:21 93:16	125:5 126:25	224:9 237:15
119:23 242:18	105:1,23	206:17	244:17 246:18
247:6,23	107:20 108:11	perception	247:24,25
252:22 253:3	113:23 115:8	235:11	248:12,17
255:3 260:9	120:15 122:16	perfect 117:21	253:17,22
pause 226:15	122:21,22	117:25	255:9,16
pay 37:14	123:1,2,4,6,13	perform 190:10	258:13,16
42:25 45:3,4	123:17 124:8	performing	260:4 261:3,18
59:11 83:20	124:12,22	103:8	261:19 264:7
253:14 254:5	125:1,5,10,13	period 18:25	265:24 266:1
	125:15,16		270:10

Veritext Legal Solutions 215-241-1000 ~ 610-434-8588 ~ 302-571-0510 ~ 202-803-8830

[person's - police]

Page 54

person's 68:7	phrase 69:12	pitch 259:7	play 66:7
personal 64:5	173:20 212:23	260:2,6,19,23	played 40:13
77:16 115:4	225:21 227:5	261:15,22	128:11,15
130:21 213:6	269:17	262:4	plays 128:10
214:12 231:6	phrasing	pitches 261:2	129:22
256:10	103:16 269:15	place 48:19	please 5:23 6:4
personalities	physically 35:1	77:19,19	6:12 67:8
62:5 192:13	74:21	120:13 175:13	220:18
personally 38:3	pick 20:5 21:10	217:21 241:3	plus 200:17
63:20 89:15	37:17 40:21	placed 122:17	257:21
225:8 267:24	48:18 66:1	264:14	point 7:24 24:2
perspective	83:7 90:1	placement	24:8,23 26:11
79:13 195:17	128:21 134:5	42:22 43:11	26:17,21 39:3
196:15 213:16	135:24	44:7	41:3 46:10,10
240:4 247:21	picked 21:14	places 48:9	60:17 61:21
pertinent	21:22	76:19 142:5	105:14 117:1
170:18 171:8	picks 116:20	plaintiff 1:3,17	144:3 175:15
pflugerville	pickup 33:1	2:2 4:8 274:3	184:10 205:4
19:14	122:18	plaintiff's 8:8	214:2 222:24
phase 46:8	picture 52:5	plan 133:16	244:2,7 245:7
50:21 51:1,7	193:17	219:2	269:19
51:12 54:25	pie 131:19	plane 116:9,14	pointing
61:10	piece 66:20	116:17 117:19	159:13 256:12
phone 115:8,13	131:19	118:13	points 86:4
115:14 117:4	pieces 66:19	plans 13:16	247:20
183:15 184:14	77:18 195:13	plate 33:13,17	pokemon 22:3
223:7 231:5,9	pill 70:8,8,18	48:19 108:2	22:5
231:12 240:1	pills 70:11,13	147:13 233:11	police 21:2
243:14 244:10	70:14	242:10 265:22	25:12 26:15
phones 107:4	pin 76:21	plates 101:22	27:7,16 29:20
256:6	pinpoint 244:6	118:6 232:25	33:3 35:20
phoning 237:16	244:21	233:14	36:1 41:1,8
photograph	pipes 74:23	platform 112:7	54:19,21 59:11
235:2		113:7 129:16	61:2,25 65:11

Veritext Legal Solutions 215-241-1000 ~ 610-434-8588 ~ 302-571-0510 ~ 202-803-8830

[police - primarily]

			I
72:18 77:8,17	popped 36:5	poster 21:25	preference
87:23 88:7	popping 135:8	posts 108:19	77:17 78:16
89:22 112:2	pops 110:12	109:4,20	81:7
113:3 114:21	port 127:14	110:15	preliminary
145:24 150:9	portion 158:23	potential	91:21,22
181:22 189:1	183:11 202:3	240:25	preparation
190:22,23	206:20 221:24	potentially	93:23
191:2,10	259:5 262:21	60:5 102:16	prepare 8:18
192:14,16	portions 179:8	212:5 223:10	prepared 11:24
194:1 231:20	position 16:6	223:20 231:8	prescription
234:16 237:5	23:14 77:14	249:11 266:21	70:8
253:14	87:5 122:3	pothead 75:2	preserve 7:2
policies 13:7	124:18 152:19	pounds 25:20	president 89:19
30:18 97:20,24	152:22 196:14	34:23 70:10	89:20 91:2
98:9,24 99:4	198:9,16	powerdmv	110:8
100:3 104:3	222:19 230:25	98:10,12,18,23	pressure 135:6
186:16 187:24	234:11 268:16	powerpoint	135:9
189:19,21,24	positioning	161:14,19	pretend 85:11
190:4,9 203:16	213:4,17	practice 62:15	85:17 109:16
policing 261:10	228:25 231:17	practiced 34:11	109:18,19
policy 62:2	positions	35:24 204:5	pretty 48:2
78:7 97:14	231:19	practices	60:2 120:21
98:4,5,15,16,17	positive 64:16	181:20 190:22	214:13 252:20
98:19,24 99:10	184:22	practicing 97:1	previous 113:6
99:11,20	possibility	99:2 100:5	113:6 148:22
101:10 103:8	124:20	102:23 103:1,3	166:14
103:11 105:11	possible 66:10	104:12	previously 3:17
112:20,20	128:20 215:4	precise 219:1	16:16 81:23
151:17 186:20	possibly 242:21	preconceived	156:4 160:20
188:1 189:18	243:22	258:9	161:24 162:13
190:5,11	post 109:24	preconception	prices 93:16
204:19 205:17	posted 109:4	256:23	primarily 20:7
pop 135:7	221:24	prefer 67:21	199:15
		255:17,19	

[printout - pulled]

nuintout 2.14	problem 26:1	nnognom 20.21	nuotootina
printout 3:14 210:22 211:1	40:11 56:5	program 30:21 37:16 61:1,5	protecting 110:8
	63:22 68:16	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
prior 69:5		239:6,15,22	prove 180:10
106:8,14,16	131:9,10,11	programs	180:23 258:10
107:17 113:13	257:5,8,11,14	239:20 246:23	258:16
113:14 117:16	problematic	projects 25:13	provide 164:23
117:17 124:16	202:18	25:25 26:9	165:2
128:17,25	problems 205:8	promote 78:22	provided 12:16
129:14 146:16	procedure 1:23	promoted	96:24 99:5
182:3 187:4	97:14,17,20	24:15	153:14 162:17
194:3,8 239:5	98:4 99:21	promotional	199:19 200:21
240:16 242:9,9	101:8,10	24:24	202:5,11
243:9	procedures	prompt 74:16	206:15 208:4
prison 17:17	30:18 97:2,12	prompted 75:9	259:20,21
258:6	97:21,24 99:2	prompts 79:9	providers
private 163:5	99:4 100:3,5	130:13 199:22	206:18
202:6,12	100:25 102:24	prop 84:17	provides 38:16
probable 30:7	103:2,4 104:2	properties	providing
106:3 114:13	104:13 155:21	24:10	164:21 165:5
114:22 120:17	186:16 190:10	property 19:20	provisions 1:24
147:16 216:1	203:16	69:18,18	proximity
271:14	proceeding	prosecutable	142:9 217:4
probably 9:24	275:14	73:1 179:1,5	public 24:3
27:11 35:15	proceedings	prosecute 74:6	29:5 33:21
39:18 42:3,10	273:11	prosecuted	41:8 48:18
49:1 62:13	process 24:24	71:23 72:2,7	67:6 111:16,17
71:10 74:11	60:21,21 79:3	72:14 179:7	113:17 146:8
123:5 168:15	81:17 142:11	prosecuting	192:12 274:15
180:10 193:6	252:8 255:2	71:16	275:5 278:19
201:9 205:21	produce 211:8	prosecutors	pull 43:9
234:23 257:16	produced 1:16	16:4	114:10 160:22
262:13	product 258:10	protect 41:8	265:9 266:3
probation	profile 58:2,12	240:21	pulled 17:20
61:14			59:7 216:6

[pulling - rapport]

72.22		17.5.107.5	
pulling 53:23	putting 38:2	176:5 185:6	quickly 182:14
160:20	62:7 94:3	195:11 199:23	199:23
pulls 43:10	168:2 237:21	214:9 221:8	quite 36:2
purchased	puzzle 66:20	229:23 245:14	55:16 60:11
29:20	q	248:2,6 249:22	143:21 229:22
purport 211:1	quality 259:2,6	262:1 269:16	quiz 247:19
purpose 33:22	259:15,24	270:24 271:2	quote 106:21
62:2	261:2	271:11,25	r
purposes 44:10	quantities	questioning	r 2:1 164:1
160:18 176:22	25:18 48:4	40:3 168:20	
pursuant 1:23		169:1	250:15,16
275:1	138:10,14	questions 7:25	277:3,3
pursuit 59:14	143:13 144:5	56:21 57:18	radar 236:12
put 9:11,23	quasi 192:16	64:8 67:7,11	265:19,20,21
10:11 25:19	question 6:4,8	69:3 70:14	266:21,23
26:19 33:20	6:12,15,15,21	80:7 88:12	267:19
39:9 40:21	7:4,5,13 16:5	90:3 92:1	radio 80:22
68:8,22 71:6	21:13 36:4	102:21 103:24	radios 106:25
72:9 76:21	38:19 46:2	115:14,16	107:5
81:23 84:22,23	52:20 55:9	117:15 130:16	radiowave
85:4 95:9,13	56:19 62:4	131:18 134:22	265:21
119:3 122:22	66:14 67:12	136:15,15,17	raise 85:7
144:2 145:3	76:8 80:17	136:21 137:6	249:6
148:12 171:17	85:1 96:6	137:13 140:12	raised 63:16
171:20 172:5	103:10 112:14	153:4 169:6	raises 136:22
172:20 175:6,8	125:8,12	218:16,18	ran 73:21
175:9 177:2,5	130:13 132:4	247:14 248:1	74:20
197:1 204:23	132:25 133:3	261:20,21,21	rangers 33:21
205:19 249:4,6	134:13,24	265:8 272:17	ranks 78:22
254:25 255:7	135:5,12 136:2	273:5,7,9	rapid 236:5,8
254.23 233.7	138:24 143:21	quick 31:17	264:3
puts 139:4	157:11 166:5	48:11	rapidly 221:17
_	167:6,23		rapport 86:17
196:17 198:9	175:14,23	quicker 119:10	
198:15			

[rarely - reasonable]

Page 58

	T		
rarely 30:25	157:7,9 158:13	reader 169:19	126:23 169:1
71:8	158:16,18,25	reading 69:8,11	172:15 175:15
rate 92:15,25	159:8 160:17	96:12 102:12	181:13 191:15
93:2,3,5,5,9,17	161:5,9 162:7	157:4 184:6	196:21,25,25
rather 257:6	169:14 170:6,8	258:7	198:18 205:25
rbf 1:5 274:5	178:10,16	reads 98:15	212:18 214:24
reach 102:8	182:8,12,23	244:15	215:10 234:9
reached 90:9	184:18,25	ready 11:18	234:13 244:23
270:23	185:13,14	246:6,7	244:23 247:10
react 80:7	186:1,2,4	real 36:2 70:3	253:12 261:11
181:11 247:13	188:1 190:18	84:25 254:3	realtime 189:6
reaction 71:6	190:20 191:4	255:7	rearview
82:5	197:11,20	realize 121:6	232:15
reactions	202:3,9 206:14	134:2	reason 8:5 23:3
264:22	206:19,21	realized 25:24	55:16 89:18
reactivate	208:2,3,10	260:14	94:20 108:14
102:15	211:5 216:23	realizing	128:6 132:10
reacts 47:22	217:6 220:25	260:20	137:1 185:3
247:8 248:1,12	221:5 229:12	really 16:7	209:3 212:19
read 93:20,22	229:19 230:9	25:11,14 26:12	276:11 277:6,9
96:23 97:5	230:10 239:4	29:18 32:24	277:12,15,18
98:5,9,17,21	239:11 244:14	33:18 34:8	277:21
101:2 107:1	245:12,18	35:16 37:16	reasonable
122:2,10	252:6,13	43:11 44:23	72:24 81:20
123:23 132:1	255:25 256:13	51:22 54:8	82:1 83:6
142:23 143:6	256:21 257:2	58:18,19 61:15	96:25 102:22
143:14 144:16	258:24 259:5	61:18,20 68:21	102:25,25
144:22 149:15	259:12 262:12	68:25 75:23	114:2 122:3
149:23 150:21	262:16,20	76:15 80:15	126:12 128:10
151:6 152:3	263:1,24	81:17 85:25	129:17,21
153:12,18	264:10 276:9	86:16,18 87:10	131:20 142:25
156:14,16,19	278:5	87:12 90:10	143:8 144:18
156:21,21,22	readdress 12:9	93:20 94:16	145:15 146:6
156:24,24,25		123:3 126:17	146:11 147:5

[reasonable - referring]

Page 59

147:19 148:10	243:7	207:19 216:24	197:3,4,8
148:16 149:21	receiving 264:7	217:9 222:9	211:9
150:16 152:18	recent 91:16	229:14 244:10	redrick 157:15
168:9,13 169:6	214:15,16	244:20	157:16 158:10
190:16,23	recently 63:22	recorder	158:17,23
191:9,11	101:20	208:18	160:24,24
195:24 216:5	recess 57:17	recording 41:8	161:15,19
217:1,11 219:3	153:1 162:10	42:21 163:9,15	reek 72:23
270:10	246:5 265:7	163:19,22	refer 175:13
reasonableness	reckless 266:13	209:23 211:4	176:23 192:20
192:18	266:14,18,24	211:22 245:2	220:17,19
reasonably	266:25 267:6,8	recordings	237:10 241:25
129:11,11	267:13	106:12 153:14	257:4
reasons 71:9	recognize	155:6,7 211:9	reference 176:1
124:23 275:8	143:24 234:22	214:3	197:13 198:1
reassigned	recognized	records 129:7	207:18 217:8
23:23 24:8	89:15	201:19 212:1	referenced
recall 28:22	recollection	233:15	175:19 276:6
35:22,24	164:16	recover 20:6	referred 91:6
165:22,24	reconstruction	21:7 179:10	240:15
166:16,16	38:23 214:4	recovered	referring 51:1
167:4,10	record 1:24	181:16	96:19 124:1
177:24 178:3	4:12 5:21,23	recovery 39:25	129:2 163:6
178:18 209:25	5:25 6:25 9:19	42:6,7	170:11 182:6
217:14 218:20	38:21 44:10	recruit 30:15	183:18,19
257:20	82:23 145:3	48:7,12	184:18 194:2
receipt 275:6	148:2 153:3	recruited 23:5	202:20,21
276:17	162:4,12	23:13 48:8	203:21 207:16
receive 142:7	200:11 206:5	recruiting	220:3 225:23
239:6	210:10 211:23	49:17	226:9,23
received 14:17	242:2 268:8	red 85:22 131:7	229:22 230:14
146:21 147:7,8	274:19	136:22 138:8,9	232:1 235:23
148:7 206:18	recorded 41:2	141:7 196:5,7	242:25 256:15
222:15 231:3	65:21 175:20	196:8,10,14	256:19

refers 262:7	171:11,15,20	remote 137:18	170:1,7,14
reflect 268:8	171:21,21	137:21,24	171:1,4,7,7
regaining	172:23 175:6	138:4,19	172:5 174:17
222:17	175:24 176:22	139:13,19	174:18,19,21
regarding 15:1	176:25 177:11	remove 35:5	174:24 175:7,8
168:21 169:4	177:11,16,20	removed 35:7	175:13,22
174:16 200:14	177:23 180:13	repeat 35:6	176:1,2,21
200:14	180:16,21	rephrase 7:18	177:7,10,19,20
registration	186:17 199:12	137:4 166:1	178:8 179:21
275:24	203:3 204:15	194:21,23	183:11 185:10
regularly	212:4 219:10	report 3:11,12	196:17 207:13
130:20	relied 206:24	8:18,24 9:4,5,9	215:24 216:4
reiterate 198:5	206:25	9:16,21,25	218:23 220:17
related 23:4	rely 8:9 108:18	10:14 11:23	220:17,19,25
50:11 99:7	109:21,22	12:1,4,10,12,18	232:11 237:8
105:14 112:6	119:11 121:20	13:16 39:5,8	237:21,24
113:16 117:21	165:7 196:16	65:22 66:4,7	238:1 239:1
243:9 248:11	remarks 89:22	66:12,13,15,16	240:5 241:25
275:13	remember	66:17 67:2,5,7	242:1,3 246:9
relatedly 46:17	14:18 18:1	67:13 68:2,9	256:18 263:5
relates 165:1	33:7,18 42:11	68:10,13,15,22	272:9,15,19,25
relation 97:25	65:23 68:20,23	89:13 91:25	reported 1:21
105:3 111:10	68:24 69:1	92:3,16 94:4	212:13
181:3 221:21	89:25 154:7	94:14,15,22	reporter 4:21
222:5,7,20	161:20 165:20	95:2,2,4,18,22	19:25 26:4,6
229:8,13 232:3	166:14,17	96:12,14	58:6 91:11
235:5	167:16,16,18	123:23,24	163:25 193:2
relationship	174:14 176:4	137:10 152:4	274:15
228:24	176:14 178:2	153:9 154:17	reporter's 3:6
relatively 7:11	185:20 186:12	158:20,24	274:11
47:23	212:23 250:14	160:13,18	reporting
relevant 68:7	250:17 262:24	162:21 165:23	65:10 69:4
155:18,24	remembered	166:18 169:9	reports 63:3
156:18 161:4	175:17	169:12,16	65:12,19 69:12

Veritext Legal Solutions 215-241-1000 ~ 610-434-8588 ~ 302-571-0510 ~ 202-803-8830

[reports - reviewed]

Page 61

99:21 107:21	respect 199:3	returned 275:6	reviewed 8:24
146:20 152:2	respirations	275:7	67:23 89:14,14
169:2	264:5	returning	152:15,15
		263:8	, ,
represent 4:8	respond 132:25		155:1 156:5
90:10 96:8	168:10 248:2,6	revealing 114:5	158:3 160:15
173:11,12	response	revenue 72:1	162:18,19
200:5 210:23	135:18 136:20	reversed 50:14	163:10,19
representation	139:9	50:16	164:9 166:17
17:18	responses 80:8	review 8:1,2	167:8 169:3,18
representative	80:12 136:16	11:16 12:16,18	169:21,25
28:24	168:20 189:6	12:19 13:7	170:18 171:24
representing	218:19	91:22 96:24	172:1,18,23
4:23 5:3	responsibility	106:9 137:12	173:22 175:5
request 204:23	28:11 85:24	142:25 143:8	175:10 176:10
requested	responsible	154:18 156:11	176:24 177:12
275:3,10	28:5	156:13 159:6	183:24 185:13
required	rest 189:15	159:18,25	185:15,15,17
278:13	restroom 7:9	160:12 162:1	185:18,23,24
research	57:15 60:11	162:12 163:15	185:25 186:15
153:16,20	resume 31:10	164:24 165:13	186:25,25
154:18 155:23	31:14 39:16	166:8 167:1,2	187:1,3,9,13,14
156:3 161:3	retail 45:19	167:7 169:13	187:19,22,23
189:16 205:6	retained 15:12	169:15 172:9	187:23,24
210:9 212:12	15:14 88:14	175:9,16 185:2	189:10,11,12
researched	247:13	188:10 190:5	189:15 190:6
187:15,17	retire 63:10	198:8 205:20	195:5,12 207:3
reserve 273:6,9	retired 16:22	207:2,21,25	208:13 213:12
residual 180:1	19:1 22:18	212:2 213:10	218:8,22
residue 74:1	91:13	217:16 225:15	221:23 224:4
178:6	retrain 205:18	229:2 230:5	225:17 228:2
resolution 87:8	return 168:24	235:12 245:16	229:18 232:24
214:18 270:7	215:24 276:13	251:10,15	235:16,17
resolutions	276:16	276:7	236:4,23
209:16			240:11 251:20

Veritext Legal Solutions 215-241-1000 ~ 610-434-8588 ~ 302-571-0510 ~ 202-803-8830

[reviewed - road] Page 62

254:13,15	58:7,21 59:7	131:9,19,21	219:15 221:18
261:6 271:18	60:4,6 61:5	133:2,11,20,23	221:20 223:11
272:7,11	63:14 66:2	134:7,25 135:2	223:16,21,23
reviewing	68:5,8,14,21	136:12,24,25	224:8 225:2,3
43:24 93:24	71:2,11,16,17	137:2 138:8,11	226:12,22,23
95:9 162:3	72:12 73:6	138:16 139:1,3	226:24 227:3
182:15 192:4	74:5 76:7,18	139:23 140:10	227:19 228:13
216:24 219:13	77:6 79:20	140:15 141:5,7	228:13 231:1,5
230:9 241:8	80:14 81:19	141:11,23	231:14,20,21
revise 13:16	82:15,17,20	142:1,7 145:4	231:23,25
revisit 136:25	83:1 84:3,5,9	145:7,10,11	232:4,4,5,13
248:8	85:18,23 86:1	146:8 147:7	233:24 234:13
revolves 39:12	86:2,2,3,9,10	148:4,10 150:3	237:12 243:1
revolving 16:2	87:2 88:2 92:5	150:10 151:11	243:24 244:13
rhoades 250:14	92:20 94:11,13	152:21 166:23	248:23 253:11
250:16,17	98:18 99:16,24	167:1 170:20	253:13,20
rid 19:20 21:3	101:17 102:6	172:11 175:4	255:1,6 258:1
riding 61:6	102:13 104:21	176:5 177:3,6	258:5,13,24
right 7:22 8:3	106:8 107:14	180:2,3,10	259:23 260:1
11:2,23 13:17	107:18,22	186:19 188:8	260:21 261:18
18:14 20:6	108:14 109:7	188:18 189:25	262:6 263:15
21:15 22:2,6	109:25 110:6	191:17,18	265:14 266:22
22:23 29:25	111:19 112:10	192:1 193:5,7	267:5,12,21
32:13,22 34:2	112:13,21	194:12 195:7	268:3 269:23
35:7,20 36:21	113:8 115:11	195:24 196:13	269:25 270:3,6
37:10 39:11,12	115:19,24	196:24 198:7,9	rights 41:2
40:20 42:22	116:12,22	198:17 200:25	188:16,21,22
43:9,12 44:23	117:6,8,19	203:9 205:19	ring 45:19
45:14 46:5,20	118:10,16,23	205:22 207:3	ripping 45:20
47:18 49:4,15	120:7,22 121:3	208:22 211:20	rise 264:3,3
51:19 52:1,3	122:17 123:3	212:3 213:8,15	rises 107:9
53:17 54:21,25	123:14 124:10	214:2,13,25	road 2:10
55:5,21 56:6,8	124:13,20	216:7 217:5	53:23 105:18
56:23 57:6	130:4 131:6,8	218:2,3,6,9,10	113:22 124:11

[road - scanned] Page 63

		I	I
133:12 145:22	roughly 29:6,7	salazar 1:7	235:13,16
217:18 219:18	round 23:13	89:17 274:7	236:7 241:11
231:10 233:6	26:15 27:16	sam 253:19	254:20 264:16
255:11 269:24	rounds 253:23	san 1:2 2:21	265:24 266:21
269:25	route 40:3	45:20 89:2,3,5	270:20,20
roadside 56:15	routes 28:12	89:21 116:9	271:20,23
robbed 33:3	rule 275:1	118:5,8 120:9	272:3
robot 21:18	ruled 149:19	120:15,19	sawa 91:10,12
robust 46:25	rules 1:23 5:10	121:2 126:20	saying 6:9
rock 23:13	239:13	274:2	35:10,20 78:14
26:15 27:16	rumble 227:15	sat 91:15	102:24 103:2
rodriguez 91:8	227:21,25	satellites	123:12 144:3
144:16,25	228:4,9	215:21	158:4 167:6
145:12 146:9	run 63:22	save 64:6	171:3 178:3
146:12 148:17	running 115:2	saved 41:2	183:7,11 194:3
149:13,17	118:6 232:25	saw 33:5,6	197:6,12
150:8 203:3	233:11,14	36:10 37:5	204:23 223:24
role 18:20,24	rural 138:15	105:5 108:6	224:13 232:16
29:11,14 30:11	rush 7:24 33:5	117:18 158:9	254:23 260:21
43:19 60:12	33:6	161:12 168:15	261:13 262:4
66:8 90:12	rushes 32:18,18	168:15 178:4	267:15 268:14
128:10,11,15	S	180:24 181:1	says 14:7 48:14
129:23 204:17	s 2:1,20 4:13	181:14 190:6	79:4 113:21
roles 22:25	91:13 250:16	196:14,19	145:5,5 170:14
23:1,18 29:10	277:3	197:3 199:18	198:15,18
rolling 72:19	saenz 2:19 4:24	199:18 218:6,9	206:8 258:22
73:9,15	5:2 197:18	218:19,25	259:2
room 4:20	273:6	219:25 220:2,8	sbarron 2:17
48:24 64:3	safety 29:5	224:11 225:1,1	276:2
77:10,10,11	33:21 78:10	225:4 226:20	sbcglobal.net
90:13 255:14	79:8 254:8	226:24 230:12	2:22
rough 18:4	sake 150:22	230:12 232:11	scanned 11:8
76:17	177:6 187:7	232:22,22	242:12
	1//.018/./	233:16,18	

[scenario - secret]

Page	64
1 45	\circ

	I	1	1
scenario 76:8	220:5,8 221:17	scott 10:23	148:13 184:23
84:1,2 87:11	221:21 222:10	screen 210:2	184:24 185:4,5
140:7 193:8	222:15,18,24	215:1,3	202:20,22
196:13 215:12	223:8,24 230:4	sd 209:20	254:14 255:16
233:19	230:19 231:2,2	se 51:23 81:11	255:17
scenarios 36:3	231:9,17	102:7 165:20	seatbelt 120:17
scene 40:16	232:13 233:21	search 30:8,9	120:18 268:25
43:15 130:5	234:6 236:24	51:15 55:14,14	seats 84:8,15
196:8	240:8 241:18	55:23 56:12,17	84:17
schlepping	241:20,21,22	56:23 73:14	second 11:16
76:5	241:22 243:6	83:5,8 117:2	32:8 101:6
schmutz 73:10	244:11 245:7	129:22 131:21	103:10 108:17
school 33:20	245:23 263:10	140:4,7 144:19	119:13 121:23
44:4,5,12 55:2	263:21 264:2	145:16,25	121:24 125:20
94:11	269:19 271:15	146:6,12	127:22 137:12
schools 25:22	274:3 276:4	149:22 161:5	150:21 153:12
schott 1:3 4:8	277:1 278:1	169:7 180:4,5	158:22 159:3
10:23,24 97:3	schott's 141:10	253:6	160:3 161:25
97:8 104:1	163:10 178:19	searched 49:10	162:6 170:5
105:15 141:5	179:22 186:7	56:9 57:2	182:2 184:16
143:2,10	188:16,24,25	67:25 140:6	187:5 190:17
147:21,23	217:23 218:7,8	searches 55:10	197:11 207:14
148:13 163:6	219:13 220:6	56:25 109:25	216:14,20
163:18 166:4,7	222:21 223:1,2	110:9	225:13 226:16
166:10 167:3	224:6,23 226:3	searching 56:4	228:15 234:9,9
167:24 168:20	228:3,20,24	57:10 161:19	252:6 256:21
168:25 183:10	229:3 230:16	244:11	262:12 263:21
184:11,23	232:2,20	seat 72:19	265:18 266:4
185:4 186:11	233:23 234:2	73:22 76:14,18	secondary
208:5,8 209:25	234:12 235:13	76:20 77:14,25	242:21 243:23
216:6,25 217:2	235:25 236:1,3	78:4,9,12,25	245:3
217:9,12	269:20,23	79:12,12 80:21	seconds 148:19
218:12,20,24	271:19	81:14,24 82:9	secret 110:7
219:4,6,18		84:9,11 85:6	

[section - sentence]

			T
section 38:13	169:9 170:3,5	seeds 71:3	segment 211:4
38:16 142:18	174:6 175:17	seeing 25:4	211:16,17
169:9,12	175:18,19	33:16 65:1	segments 211:8
170:12 171:6,6	182:6 186:18	72:24 74:17	211:23 212:1,3
190:18 216:4	187:15 189:23	75:8 92:19	seized 20:3
250:7 259:1	190:14,14	118:6 121:11	21:1,20 101:20
security 127:13	191:15 195:5	121:13,14	127:12
sedative 70:4	196:24 197:4,6	134:10 139:6	seizes 20:19
see 8:20 9:17	199:1 207:16	167:10,18	seizing 25:18
11:4 14:9 16:1	207:18 211:18	189:4,6 194:10	64:25
33:13 36:9	212:4,7 215:25	194:12 233:16	seizure 70:4
37:3,4 38:21	218:3,5,5	246:19,24	sell 19:19,23
38:25 39:4	219:14,23	270:17,17,25	20:3,4,6,8,9
47:19 60:4	221:13,16	270:25	21:3,9,24,24
65:8 71:6 74:1	222:5,10	seek 199:12	22:7
75:14 78:24	223:17 224:9	seeking 62:21	seller 51:21
80:5,6,6,8,8	225:2,8,23	seemed 169:2,4	selling 22:9
81:1,21 82:5	226:5 227:13	199:16	seminar 111:22
92:11 96:4	229:5,10,24	seems 77:23,24	send 84:23
101:19,22	233:1,9 234:12	78:18 123:12	186:16
102:12 104:14	234:12,21	230:10	senior 57:8
106:2,4 109:3	235:3,7 239:2	seen 32:8 63:8	sense 7:6 41:7
116:14 118:3	242:1,23 243:5	73:13 81:23	52:20 55:20,21
118:10,11,11	243:18 246:10	121:4 140:22	104:24 105:20
120:14,20	248:7 259:3	151:18 161:10	123:8 127:10
130:10,21	260:25 263:9	162:15 192:12	180:14 235:9
131:17 135:11	263:16,22	196:6 206:10	265:5
142:1 144:13	264:12 266:9	225:18 232:19	sent 111:6
154:18,23	267:23 268:3,5	244:9 252:17	186:23 276:14
158:4 159:15	268:7,11,12,14	252:18 266:23	sentence 17:17
159:21,23	268:19,21,22	269:10,20	96:3,18,23
161:6 162:7,21	268:25 269:4	sees 73:16	107:1 122:2
162:24,25	269:12 270:5,9	105:24 246:20	142:23 144:3
163:13 165:9	270:12 271:6,7		144:15,24

[sentence - similarly]

Page 66

149:15 150:21	193:5 203:15	91:14 99:3,8,9	side 28:15
153:13 170:5	sets 101:5	99:11 100:4	29:25 30:3,4,5
178:11 182:8	201:2	104:3 154:10	30:6 40:16,17
202:3 206:14	setting 250:22	166:11,20	40:23 53:23
206:19 208:2,3	settings 255:8	167:20 187:24	109:8 124:10
208:8,21 211:5	seven 23:15	190:2,3	133:12 145:22
216:24 217:8	28:4 29:2,2	shoes 144:4	231:23 232:1
239:4 262:13	several 25:12	216:5 219:3	233:24 241:9
262:20 263:1	70:13 221:18	shop 75:17	255:11
263:21,25	221:19 227:14	142:5	sidebar 38:10
sentences	249:19 264:5	short 18:25	sight 218:1,12
190:19	shake 5:24	21:19 24:9	267:23
separate 100:1	72:16,17,18,25	47:23 59:14	sign 134:19
separates 227:3	73:11,12,18,25	162:11 237:12	259:6 262:24
separating	74:5,11,18	shortcut 100:7	276:12
232:5	178:4,19 179:8	187:6	signature 275:2
sequence	179:22 180:16	shorthand 1:21	275:5,8,21
169:10,16	180:20,21	257:4 274:14	signed 98:22
170:11,11,15	262:2	shot 226:4	276:19
171:4,6	shaking 5:24	shotgun 253:23	significant
sergeant 24:15	shape 34:23	should've	128:11 231:8
30:10,13,22	113:5	243:3	signs 253:17
53:6 174:12	sheehan 19:13	shoulder	256:24 257:1
204:24	19:24 20:1,13	227:16	259:15 260:10
sergeants 25:1	sheet 276:11	shoulders	264:22,23,24
53:6,7	sheriff 31:2	34:25	silly 37:16
served 17:17	89:17,22	shout 7:12 9:7	silver 268:2
274:23	sheriff's 13:7	show 37:13	similar 15:25
service 110:7	16:18,21,24	261:2	152:7 155:25
239:21	18:19 19:3	showed 228:25	177:8 180:9
set 38:21 77:18	22:19,22 23:2	shown 274:24	211:25
79:14,17 97:13	23:6,8,17,19	shows 37:9	similarly 6:11
97:14 142:5	24:9,13 27:22	208:24	38:15
151:2,8 192:15	30:14 89:11		

Veritext Legal Solutions 215-241-1000 ~ 610-434-8588 ~ 302-571-0510 ~ 202-803-8830

[simple - sorry] Page 67

gimple 49.2	244.22 245.5	amakina 191.0	120.12 12 14
simple 48:2	244:23 245:5	smoking 181:9	129:12,12,14
simply 139:7	245:20	smuggle 58:25	139:1 146:24
202:11 258:1	size 24:12	120:10 122:6	147:1,16
single 163:9	skill 77:18	123:13 124:12	199:16
207:21 216:12	79:14,17	140:22,23	snapchat
236:19 271:21	skip 119:6	smuggled 48:3	109:13,13
sir 47:7 51:18	144:10 148:25	69:15 73:25	sobriety 40:20
79:16 93:7	149:1 153:11	123:7 124:8	social 109:1,11
125:11 132:23	154:17 258:21	smuggler 82:4	113:12 115:8
135:2,2,20	259:1	82:7,18 85:17	129:16
136:1,1 137:15	skyrocketed	120:22 121:1	softer 259:10
174:9 273:6	260:21	141:12	sold 21:11 22:1
sit 13:15	slang 88:9	smugglers	22:2 26:9
167:17 238:23	sleepy 147:22	23:16 26:20	soledad 2:20
255:15 271:13	147:23	28:12 29:21	solely 229:15
site 154:13	slept 94:24	48:7 82:15	solid 226:13
217:15,19,24	slide 161:14	84:16 122:12	solutions
sites 109:12,12	slight 219:17	131:16 138:8	275:23 276:23
sitting 78:12	269:24	141:8,12 253:4	somebody
161:17 269:12	slow 29:19	smuggling	49:16,16 55:21
situate 44:8	slowed 222:16	26:12 47:13	106:14 110:11
situation 68:19	slower 266:1	49:7,15 50:12	112:15 133:12
76:9 87:1	small 25:16	50:20 58:15,16	145:21 179:6
99:16,16,17	26:13 29:17	58:17,21,21,24	184:8
112:4 115:23	123:5,6 179:8	59:2,20,20,25	someone's 35:5
156:23 192:25	206:16 213:11	59:25 60:8	132:9,17
196:1	215:2,3 270:9	64:15,15,16	sony 40:7
situations	smarter 145:11	116:1 120:25	soon 59:3,9
67:15,16	smell 71:1	122:24 123:2	62:2
110:23 130:5	72:22	124:9,19,20,21	sorry 17:5
247:9,14	smells 74:13	124:23,24	19:16 38:9
six 17:8 29:1	smoke 72:11	125:3,3,7,7,13	65:18 75:18
34:22 58:20	74:22	127:6,25	98:11 103:20
243:8,11,11		128:25 129:8	103:21 111:3
	I .	I .	

119:7,7,24	speak 115:13	speculation	94:21
129:3 143:5,12	164:18	103:9 104:5	spills 72:20
143:22 159:2,5	speaking	170:24 171:18	spinach 76:4
159:12 164:5,5	164:17 240:13	171:22 196:12	spoke 69:18
174:23 185:15	253:22	224:19 225:3	183:14
188:25 197:2	special 30:10	232:21 243:15	spoken 115:7
215:13 216:17	38:22	271:10	sponge 62:22
222:25 223:2	specialist 14:8	speech 56:13	sponsoring
230:16 231:2	specialized	74:24 79:24	62:21
235:25 254:9	38:17,25 54:23	80:25 247:6,23	spot 152:23
263:12 268:10	199:20,25	253:2 255:3	196:16
sort 113:12	200:15	260:9	spotting 262:14
sorts 213:10	specialty 15:2	speed 212:13	springs 108:3,6
sound 91:8	157:3	212:20 214:11	108:12 117:25
sounds 7:15	specific 7:24	215:5 221:24	118:4 129:19
27:6,15 252:20	17:23 35:23,25	222:17 236:5,7	168:24 184:8
source 118:1	88:25 125:9	236:18 265:23	239:8 240:25
122:8 237:2,4	198:25 201:14	266:2,9,12,23	241:2 243:2
237:5,13,17,22	203:15 243:3	speeding	244:5,18
237:25 238:2	268:17	216:10 235:19	square 71:11
sources 155:16	specifically	235:19,20	71:11
237:11	147:10 161:20	236:14,24	staff 206:3,3
south 24:6 50:9	165:12 194:14	265:10,11,25	stages 25:11
59:25 60:6	199:10 238:14	266:4 267:1,16	stan 246:10,13
122:8 124:23	specs 75:10	speedometer	246:15,22
125:2,6 126:20	speculate 75:18	214:11	248:24 249:25
127:23,25	75:20 76:10	spell 94:20	250:1 251:19
128:5,15	99:23 152:12	spelling 94:17	standard 40:17
139:11 182:11	168:14 270:19	94:19	97:1,11,13,16
183:9 239:9	272:2	spend 94:3	97:19 99:2
242:18,22	speculating	162:3	100:5,24 101:8
243:23	95:12 102:19	spending 252:9	102:23 103:1,3
space 59:15	169:24	spent 24:14	104:2,12,23
256:10		63:13 93:18	105:16 151:20

[standard - stopped]

Page 69

172:13 190:23	89:1 203:11	stephen 2:14	108:9,14 109:6
191:1,10,17	274:15	4:22 6:25	109:10 112:16
193:25	stated 1:24	21:16 32:8	113:25 114:22
standards	173:10,23	250:16 274:22	114:23 120:17
192:1,6,6,16	270:22 271:20	276:1	122:20 124:11
standing 196:4	statement	steps 185:11	125:17,19,21
196:6	179:19 252:15	steve 3:15	125:23 128:7
stapler 10:3,4	262:18 263:3	sticker 9:12,24	130:25 134:9
start 6:12 14:6	states 1:1 23:23	10:11	141:14 142:10
14:14 18:14	48:5,6,17	sticks 35:22	144:18 146:15
22:16 61:21	50:13 60:9	105:5	146:16 147:16
62:24 75:23	122:9 127:16	stint 24:9	148:20,24
92:4,5 104:11	144:17 145:1	stipulations 5:6	149:18,20
135:8,9 136:2	149:13,18,19	stolen 19:20	150:4,7,15,17
143:7 203:16	156:6 157:15	45:13 63:4	150:18 151:15
236:2 251:23	158:10,16,23	stop 26:2,8	166:3,7 167:24
started 13:24	160:24 161:18	40:14 45:6,9	168:6 170:15
22:19 25:21,22	274:1	45:15 46:12	172:12 173:25
26:21 27:19	station 77:8	47:13 49:8	174:19,22,25
43:25 223:15	statistics 55:24	50:20 51:13	188:2,14,14
starting 135:6	126:17	56:11 57:7,11	191:15,16
222:6	statutes 155:13	59:3 64:15	192:25 193:3,3
starts 101:25	stay 64:16	67:24,24 70:10	193:7 194:7,10
122:3 135:7	95:24	75:13 82:9	202:25 204:4
137:14 144:12	stayed 59:10	84:4 85:3,3	239:5 240:9,16
149:12 182:3	118:20 140:12	89:6 96:5,9,20	241:17 243:10
199:24 207:15	147:24 168:22	97:8 101:2,3,3	243:12 245:13
221:14 229:8	staying 65:9	102:5,9,10	245:16,23
263:10	129:19 137:24	104:1,15,16	247:1 248:16
stash 138:4	139:12	105:2,14,17,17	266:22,23,24
stashed 138:14	step 37:18	105:18,19,20	271:15
state 1:20 4:12	108:16 128:13	105:22,23	stopped 28:17
6:25 23:11	266:4	106:3,7,7,15,15	45:16 49:2,9
60:19 71:20		107:16,24	57:3 59:5

[stopped - summarize]

Page 70

		I	
81:13 84:13	store 33:4 76:3	strips 227:15	115:11 147:25
101:7,15	210:10 211:24	227:22,25	147:25 148:4
124:10 131:1	stored 33:14	228:4,9	195:9
133:17 140:2	stores 212:1	strokes 247:15	submit 206:3
145:21 236:10	story 55:19	strong 213:8	submitted
248:20,21	straight 38:21	structured 80:1	207:6
stopping 26:25	86:13 114:21	stuff 13:21	subpoenaed
28:16 47:4	143:6 270:1	20:24 34:19	15:14,15,17,17
54:6 56:5	straightens	58:2 68:21	17:7
104:23 105:1	270:1	73:7 79:22	subscribed
114:8 121:14	strategies	81:6 100:7	278:14
121:15 168:19	191:23	112:13,24	subsequent
266:25 267:1	street 2:20 25:1	119:8 252:22	69:5
stops 27:16	206:16,24	252:23	substance
29:11,14 30:11	207:1,4,4	stupid 55:19	72:22 74:17
30:24,25 31:3	275:23	styled 1:18	95:21
31:6 44:25	stress 80:11,11	subcategories	suburban
47:17 49:3	260:24 261:3	155:10	122:22
51:2,3 52:14	261:18,21	subconscious	success 45:25
53:22 54:7,10	262:23,24	33:8,12,14,16	46:1,3 47:4
54:16 55:10,11	264:22,23	247:8,12 249:8	54:12
56:23,25 61:19	stressful 247:9	subconsciously	successful 46:5
68:23 73:20	stressing	65:25	46:11 62:17
80:2 85:22	261:18	subject 14:7	252:24 253:7
105:3 127:16	stretch 248:23	84:14 136:4	253:13
130:24 147:5	248:23	198:5 256:11	suit 83:2,16
147:17,20	stretches 64:14	262:23 272:24	84:9 85:6
166:3,7,9,14,16	stretching	272:25	suite 2:5,10,15
167:2,11,19	134:13 248:15	subject's	2:21
174:1 194:9	260:6	252:11 256:3	summaries
202:24 203:24	stripe 226:12	256:10	156:14,22
262:1	226:13 232:4	subjective	summarize
storage 209:19	striping 222:9	75:12 99:17	136:10 172:4
	229:9,13	110:18,21	

[summarizing - systems]

Page 71

summarizing	sure 6:19 9:2	170:2 173:6,14	suspension
225:18	9:22 10:18	178:5,12	124:14
summary 31:19	11:5,19,21	180:15 183:16	suspicion 81:20
49:20 62:12	12:17 15:6	184:20 187:8	82:1 83:6 85:7
161:8 169:19	18:18 19:17	190:1 193:21	114:2 128:10
170:7,10	27:25 28:7,8	194:2 195:8,11	129:22 131:20
173:22 230:7	31:11,23 34:12	196:2 200:16	144:18 145:15
245:4,5	35:17,19 36:4	201:13 209:21	146:7,11 147:5
super 25:8	36:22 37:2	210:19,25	147:19 148:10
85:16 86:23	39:9 41:13,16	212:22 213:22	148:16 149:21
133:6 224:2	42:19 47:2,23	215:16 221:3,7	150:16 168:9
supervised	47:25 53:18	222:8,14 223:3	168:13 169:6
53:8,22 181:24	57:21 60:7	228:12 232:10	suspicions
supervising	61:3 83:19	234:25 235:9	151:4
25:1 54:11	87:9 88:6,6	235:18 236:21	suspicious
60:12	93:8,11 94:20	238:12,12,17	109:20 110:15
supervisor	94:23 95:20	242:4,15,24	110:16,17
24:15 56:24	99:9,25 102:18	243:16 250:11	111:7 119:14
66:9 204:16,17	103:16,18	250:24 251:9	119:21,22
205:22 206:8	104:10 105:6	255:5 256:2	121:3,6 123:16
244:13,16,19	109:8,10 110:3	257:19 259:17	123:19 138:22
supervisors	110:15 111:21	260:18 265:6	suv 59:5 268:2
68:12 256:7	112:25 114:9	270:13,16	swerved 221:22
supplement	115:9,10,10	271:13 273:2	sworn 1:18 4:2
13:16	117:7 121:18	surprised	153:14 274:18
support 16:5	123:9 124:22	17:12	278:14
supported	126:25 128:19	surprisingly	syllabus 129:9
225:7	134:21 139:9	17:8	symmetrical
supports 63:23	141:17 142:8	surveillance	226:6
63:23	142:20 143:21	52:13 53:13,14	system 43:8
supposed	144:8 152:1,14	66:22,22,24	68:4,5 98:12
129:24 143:11	158:12 159:1	susceptible	102:2,3 214:17
supreme	161:17 166:5	37:23 82:25	systems 3:14
146:10 149:19	166:23 169:22		209:10,19

[systems - tell] Page 72

211:2 214:19	246:2 248:20	243:16 248:18	tax 93:13
t	259:24 265:2	253:9 261:9	tcole 199:25
t 4:13 277:3,3	takeaways	talking 37:1	200:11,13
tabc 26:10	247:16,17,23	43:3 50:18	201:19,24
tactical 253:23	taken 1:18 8:14	60:12 64:21	206:2,4 207:7
tactics 47:3,12	39:24 40:1	69:21 77:5	207:10
47:14 50:19	108:21 164:4	115:5 118:19	teach 43:14
204:1 255:18	186:24 199:18	121:16 125:15	44:6 77:20
	201:10 202:6,7	127:3 129:15	146:3 204:15
tags 45:23 tahoe 29:20	206:5 241:3	132:14 135:3	250:21
59:13	246:16,23	136:2 141:17	teaching 44:2
take 5:17,21	250:18 275:15	141:19 158:8	203:25 204:5
7:8,14 8:1,1	takes 132:4	165:16 172:16	205:8 213:1
11:16 20:25	192:13 231:9	172:21 174:2	teachings
31:17 42:9	talk 35:15	183:18 184:14	202:17
49:13 57:14	44:19 47:20	203:1 209:2	team 4:15
63:3,17,18,20	56:15 65:10	244:18 247:7	tear 84:3
71:5 72:9	79:22 118:24	249:1	techniques
77:18,22 84:20	118:25 140:17	talks 139:23,24	56:14 252:22
91:24 95:6	149:4 153:6	tandem 242:21	technology
110:3,13	196:22 202:19	243:22	215:10,15,17
127:11 128:13	202:24 203:4	tanks 140:24	215:18
130:12 137:11	212:22 237:1	tapes 40:8	telephone
142:14 145:19	246:10	target 52:2	174:11 208:9
156:24 159:1	talked 46:17,25	214:13	212:17 222:15
161:25,25	57:19 58:14	task 23:9,11,20	222:18 231:3,6
162:6,8 179:10	79:7 117:3,10	23:22,25 27:24	231:7 232:14
179:13 180:1,6	132:20 146:18	28:1,4,16,21,24	239:16 244:12
181:15 191:15	147:22,22	29:10 89:1	telephones
192:24 201:2	150:5 167:23	193:16	106:24 212:18
201:11 204:6	172:19 173:11	taught 91:7	213:10
204:22,24	185:10 193:1	204:13 258:15	tell 50:22 52:19
205:13 206:6	202:16 228:12	258:15	54:18 58:15
203.13 200.0	233:20 243:16		68:13 70:21,23

[tell - thing] Page 73

70:24 72:6	term 57:21	testifying 5:18	243:23 274:1,9
74:25 75:1	69:4 72:16	15:24 178:18	274:16 275:22
77:19 82:14	87:18,18,21	209:25 220:1	275:24
93:20 95:11	88:4 104:4	testimony 8:6,9	text 112:9
123:10 125:9	105:23 150:1	14:8 151:23	115:2 165:9,12
125:16 130:12	168:6 237:25	152:16 198:2	165:13,17,20
136:11 141:3	238:1	216:25 217:9	165:22,23
143:4 150:5	terminologies	218:23 224:10	184:13 239:19
173:4 185:21	60:25	225:5 230:6,20	252:6
192:5 207:2	terminology	233:23 235:11	texting 183:7
209:6 214:6	81:18 172:21	236:22 241:16	233:17 242:17
216:17 219:24	196:24 224:25	267:20 270:21	thank 25:6 29:8
221:9 244:25	terms 31:14	271:6 274:19	76:12 90:19
245:1,15 252:2	132:9 197:1	276:9,17 278:8	150:23 192:21
253:4 257:13	235:10 269:10	testing 13:13	207:12 210:18
265:3	terry 156:5	154:15	246:7 273:10
telling 132:6	test 40:20 71:5	texas 1:1,9,20	thanks 92:10
133:2 134:10	71:6,8,13,23,24	1:22 2:5,16,19	theft 45:16,19
136:24	72:1,15 73:2	2:21 25:10,16	45:22
tells 131:15	179:11,14	26:19 29:4	thing 7:12 8:2
133:1 205:2	180:12 181:15	33:21,21 71:22	10:1 21:11
249:15 255:4	testified 4:2	85:5 89:19	25:17 37:11
temperature	15:7,9 16:15	91:2 122:8	43:16 45:10
256:7	16:17,24 17:9	124:23 125:2,6	54:22 60:10
ten 29:6,7 53:4	18:5 91:16	127:23,25	69:24 70:12
58:20 94:6	179:22 218:15	128:5,15	77:24 78:18
95:14 125:18	testify 8:12	137:18 138:4	84:7,10,15
125:18 126:3,5	12:2,5 15:21	138:13 139:12	85:19 99:25
126:6,6,8	16:9 17:21	139:19 141:20	109:23 111:11
133:15 150:19	170:23 176:16	155:13,19,20	118:14 123:5
208:20 211:20	177:25 196:18	180:17,21	133:9 147:23
tend 76:16	197:13 198:18	182:11 183:9	151:19 156:25
tense 135:6	219:2 230:21	216:1 239:7,9	186:1 196:16
		242:18,22	204:25 235:21

[thing - time] Page 74

236:4 242:11	183:24 184:3	152:23 158:18	168:15,16
248:10,11	191:23 192:17	158:19,19	173:8 186:17
249:9,16 260:4	194:12 195:5,7	161:12 165:21	210:19,20
265:17,18	200:14 202:18	168:5 169:8	258:9
things 6:1	203:19 204:15	171:8 175:1	thoughts
12:11,14,15	232:24 242:6	178:6 181:5	100:11
22:9 37:16	242:14 243:8	182:19 185:8	thousands 22:6
39:13 45:4	243:11,12,17	185:22 190:19	126:11 236:10
46:18 47:13	245:11,18	191:7,12 201:1	threatening
52:15 54:1,14	247:6 249:12	202:22 203:1	86:11
56:13 65:24	249:13,15,18	204:11 207:6	three 34:22
69:17,24 70:17	249:19 256:16	214:16 216:23	61:20 63:24
70:20 74:13	260:5 261:7	223:1 230:2	93:23 116:13
75:22 77:22	264:17 265:13	233:15 236:16	142:14,22
79:19 80:24	267:11,18	242:1 244:3,7	148:19 160:8
84:12,17 87:7	268:14 269:4	249:9 255:20	178:20 201:1
93:25 98:25	think 17:17	263:5 265:2	212:8 220:16
104:21 105:15	32:19 36:5	268:21,24	221:14 224:3
107:23 108:7	38:4 41:23	269:15,16	235:11 242:19
113:6 117:19	42:5 53:4	thinking 32:15	267:4,8 268:22
118:19 126:18	60:10 64:17	54:14 68:20	268:24 269:3
130:19 131:18	68:19 75:24	80:16 90:21	throat 249:20
132:11,20	79:10 84:7	103:7 124:17	throckmorton
133:1,3,23,23	87:4 88:10	124:19 152:12	275:23
134:5,9,12	90:15 91:12	168:17,17	throw 184:17
135:3,14 136:8	93:8,16 94:2	193:13 248:19	ticket 45:3
141:18 147:3,6	95:25 96:10,13	254:20	46:14 49:10,12
148:12,20	100:15 104:7	thinks 6:18	116:10 118:13
151:13 152:5	105:2 106:17	72:3 121:9	tickets 236:12
155:10 168:16	121:3,9,9	third 122:2	tightening
169:7,22,25	122:21 123:9	137:11 221:14	264:5
170:17,21	128:8 133:14	225:13 246:10	time 4:9 8:1
171:19,21	139:10 142:18	thought 103:7	10:25 12:10
172:22 175:9	143:20 148:17	103:21 158:13	15:19 16:10,13

[time - town] Page 75

16:18 18:25	229:14 231:24	227:24 228:3	94:14,15 95:17
23:18 29:7,17	233:3,5 236:20	228:25 229:3,8	146:3 154:3
33:24 34:9	243:3 244:25	229:13,24	162:11 188:22
39:14 41:14	246:4 249:11	269:20	201:23 235:7
42:15 48:18	249:17,20	title 52:14	tool 254:2,3
55:15 60:3	250:17 252:10	159:15 160:9	toolkit 51:16
63:7 64:25	257:17 258:5	169:10 211:3	tools 51:16
73:21 78:21	260:8,24 262:3	262:9	top 14:6 178:10
82:13 83:1,24	267:3 270:4	today 5:9,14,21	223:17 226:7
85:18 87:16	272:16 273:7,9	7:20 8:6,12	258:22 259:16
92:8,20 94:15	276:18	12:14 13:15	topic 136:6
94:16,22 95:17	timeframe 33:5	23:5 41:15	199:10,11
106:5 108:5,5	96:15 108:5	71:14 77:3,24	244:6 248:8
108:6 109:9	126:8 241:6,23	131:4 161:18	topics 38:16
112:22 119:16	276:8	167:17 188:10	186:17
120:21 123:2	times 15:9 18:5	195:24 202:16	topper 124:13
128:20 131:17	29:19 30:12	215:6 238:23	124:13
132:4 139:1,12	32:12 33:15	255:15 271:13	toppers 128:22
140:15 146:7	42:24 74:22	272:8,12,17	total 235:9
147:4 148:4	106:5 114:18	together 52:15	255:11
149:4 151:11	134:15 138:13	53:17 184:4	totaled 200:11
151:14 152:9	138:15 157:2	189:20	201:9
152:22 156:24	200:19 217:18	told 48:17	totality 74:3,8
159:1 161:2,12	218:21 221:2	53:11 78:8	150:24 171:1
162:3 173:24	224:17 234:8	107:20,25	181:4 190:25
175:4 181:22	235:11 248:16	108:1,2 120:11	192:22 193:10
185:8 189:3,7	260:7	150:11 176:23	244:24
191:2 193:14	timing 261:6	223:13 242:16	totally 110:5
194:6 195:3,18	tint 49:4,5,8,9	242:20 243:21	touch 181:11
195:19,25	tinted 59:6,8	253:10	towards 226:8
199:5 205:14	110:16	tone 260:2	towing 21:8
208:18,25	tire 228:8,20	took 25:23	town 20:17
222:10 223:9	tires 45:12	26:18 42:7	25:16
228:5,7,10,12	222:7 225:2	59:12 60:11	
L	·	·	

[toyota - trainings]

Page 76

toxista 269.4	146,15 16	tnofficking	152.6 9 152.16
toyota 268:4 track 95:12	146:15,16 147:17 148:20	trafficking 17:15 26:24	152:6,8 153:16 154:1 155:23
154:3			
	149:18,19	50:4 142:11	156:7 168:10
tracked 98:23	150:7,15,17,18	248:15	168:12 171:13
tracking	155:19 166:3,3	trailer 129:6	172:14 173:23
216:22 248:4	166:6,7,8,14,15	train 30:15	173:25 180:25
tractor 129:6	167:2,18	145:8 203:14	184:1 186:23
trade 26:14	168:19 170:15	203:14	186:24,25
traffic 24:17	172:12 173:25	trained 38:5	188:3,12 190:8
27:16 29:11,14	174:1,19,22,24	135:11 180:1	190:24 191:20
30:11,24,25	188:2,14	205:24 233:7	194:8,11
31:3,6 40:14	191:15,16	236:13	195:18 198:25
45:1,6,8 47:17	192:25 193:3,7	trainer 43:17	199:4,6,12,14
49:3,3 51:2,3	194:7 196:5,7	204:10,21	199:17,20
52:14 53:22	196:7,8,9	training 3:15	200:1,18 201:4
54:10,15 55:10	202:24,25	25:21,23 34:20	201:10,14,16
61:19 67:24	204:4 225:6,9	38:13,15,17,22	201:19,23
70:10 75:13	233:9 236:5,8	39:1,17 43:24	202:5,6,11,12
80:2 85:3,21	236:15 239:5	60:21,24 61:1	203:5,8,13,17
86:10 96:5,8	240:9 241:17	61:12 62:3,19	205:10,13,14
96:20 97:8	243:10,12	62:20 77:19	206:3,3,6,15,16
101:2,3,7	245:13,16	78:20,24 79:14	206:17,18,24
104:1,15	247:1 264:7	79:23 81:3	207:1,5,11
105:14,19	265:15,25	96:25 101:1	208:16 212:15
106:3,7 107:15	266:1,10,11	104:25 106:10	225:4,7 229:16
107:24 108:9	267:5,8,17	111:13,22	234:15 241:7
108:14 109:10	270:18 271:1	122:5 123:22	246:23 250:1,7
113:25 114:15	trafficked	125:10,14	253:15,19
114:23 120:2,6	127:2	129:7,8,13	254:22 255:4,7
122:19 124:11	trafficker	130:11,23	255:13 258:14
125:17,19,20	133:14 141:15	131:16 143:1,8	261:1 265:12
125:21,23	248:17	145:7 146:18	trainings
126:19 128:6	traffickers	146:23 148:5	129:10 150:3
140:2 144:17	253:2	148:15 151:1	202:17 203:6

[trainings - trying]

Page 77

251:19	transpased	96:6 103:14	269.10
	transposed 96:15		268:19
trains 50:2	, , , , ,	tricky 159:5	trucks 21:2
51:4	traumatic	tried 234:9	122:18 141:1,9
trance 36:15	252:20	trigger 102:8	true 132:19
transcript	travel 45:19	triggered	143:15 172:7
159:25 160:3	118:24 119:19	135:18	211:22 274:19
274:18 275:7	119:23 124:22	triggers 102:2	278:8
276:6,19 278:5	130:1,15	102:3,4	truly 147:1
278:8	168:22 226:19	trips 240:24	trunk 25:20
transcription	233:24 269:12	241:19 242:22	trunks 25:19
94:13	269:21	243:23	truth 132:6,6
transcripts	traveled 128:14	trooper 86:12	134:11
162:19	217:18 241:20	86:12	truthful 136:8
transparency	243:18	trouble 118:18	271:22
161:12 210:20	traveling 55:19	175:12	try 5:25 6:1,11
transparency's	97:3 108:4	truck 10:25	6:14 7:2 41:14
177:6	118:20 120:8	59:18 84:23	41:17 55:4
transparent	125:2,6 127:22	129:18 140:1,4	77:1 84:18
54:15 177:1	127:24 129:18	141:4 146:25	86:7,10,17
transpired	129:20 132:10	147:6,12,14,14	123:10 133:9
58:19 147:3	217:5,23	147:16,18,20	136:4 150:6
176:12 230:19	223:23 242:12	168:19 178:1	156:20 180:7
transpires	242:21 243:22	181:16 186:7	trying 7:23,24
174:1	267:11	217:4 219:15	38:19,20,21
transport	travis 28:7	219:16,17,19	39:20,21 51:22
57:25 144:5	91:13,16	220:13 221:18	71:19 79:18
transportation	tray 73:15	221:23 222:19	81:14,19,21
24:4 46:8	tree 270:2	223:8,11,21,22	99:25 100:7,14
49:25 50:7,21	trees 59:16	227:7 228:6	103:14 115:22
51:1,3,7,12,13	trial 8:9 12:2,5	230:25 231:13	119:6 126:17
51:15 155:19	91:16 197:14	232:2,13,20	127:1 134:20
216:1	273:7,9	235:2,3 241:2	161:3 165:20
transporting	trick 7:23	241:4 244:11	167:7 173:16
143:10	38:19 39:20	245:1 253:24	173:17 176:19

[trying - understand]

191:6 192:19	190:19 200:14	u	168:18 172:10
196:3 202:23	212:7 216:6,9	u 45:12 91:13	172:13 175:9
203:24 204:1	216:12 219:5	u.s. 149:18	176:16 188:4,6
220:18,21	221:13 226:5	uh 6:1 29:9	190:16 191:11
225:10 230:23	242:13 253:22	74:15 121:25	201:15 247:9
240:21 241:14	255:11 267:18	139:16 149:14	249:9 260:24
261:16	268:1,6,14,20	173:19 177:4	261:3
tsa 20:25	type 68:6 94:14	194:5 221:12	undercover
tuned 234:18	104:6 110:1	222:25 238:9	23:21 24:3
turkey 113:10	120:25 141:9	267:25	29:18 46:19,22
turn 101:6	192:1	ultimate	46:23 51:19,20
145:20 206:1,2	types 69:15	197:22 198:14	52:13 53:12
249:3 268:3	136:21 209:9	ultimately	66:21 184:2
turnaround	209:12,13,15	40:13	213:16,19
129:18 138:22	209:18,22	umbrella	underneath
148:8 168:24	255:11	201:15	193:7
240:23 241:19	typical 51:21	unable 178:14	understand
turned 208:25	typically 36:23	178:20	5:13,16 6:4,7
209:1 240:8	39:17 47:14	unaware 137:7	7:17 30:16,16
241:17	48:15 49:2	unbeknownst	39:21 41:5
turns 135:13	57:23 59:2	40:22 135:1	46:2 59:22
twice 218:24	60:5 61:4,14	unbelievable	67:8,9,12
230:4 234:3	62:24 71:7,22	22:7 34:17	76:24 82:3
two 34:24 35:2	72:13,18 75:1	uncomfortable	86:6 90:15
53:2,6,7 56:16	78:4 111:20	133:11,11	92:15 100:1
60:17 63:25	112:7 120:4	unconscious	115:18 118:17
85:9 114:4	140:5,14	64:2	144:9,24
116:13 123:1,2	206:10 211:24	under 5:13	146:13 152:7,9
136:20,21	249:5	28:16 38:2	165:19,19
140:8,9 141:4	typing 233:4	61:13 64:1	166:5,23
141:5,18,18	typo 242:24	114:1,12	169:19 170:14
142:22 152:5	typographical	142:25 143:7	173:13,14,17
153:24 160:2,8	8:25 96:11	151:1 160:9	183:5,6,16,23
161:21 164:2,3			189:9 193:12

[understand - usually]

Page 79

214:10 216:4	176:15 181:17	274:1	239:16,25
218:23 220:18	198:21,23	university	255:7,17,18
220:21 224:20	204:16 205:11	39:23 41:23	260:25 261:2
229:22 240:2,6	206:12 209:3	unknown 125:8	267:18
245:6,23	213:22 215:4	237:15	useable 179:2
246:19,24	224:21,21	unpack 78:18	used 14:21
247:20 267:7	225:11 228:7	unreasonably	34:15 35:19
understanding	228:18 233:17	149:20,25	38:6 40:10
61:21 117:6	241:13,13	unsafe 223:11	59:24 82:24
118:18 123:10	245:4 254:4,9	223:20 230:24	87:19 88:4,7
136:18 145:2	261:8,8 267:14	232:12	89:4 109:14
165:11 169:20	270:13,24	unusual 69:2	120:10,14
170:17 183:10	273:3	usable 72:8,8	122:6 123:13
197:16 199:5	unfortunately	72:13 73:2	124:12 135:15
201:7 235:14	145:18	usage 44:14	141:8 146:25
240:3,10	uniform 24:18	use 7:3,8 24:20	147:15 156:7
257:13 261:12	29:21 204:1	30:7 31:14	168:5 171:9
267:15,20	unique 23:3	40:11 44:3,17	189:8 193:22
understands	39:11 214:20	46:10 47:15	210:7 214:3
246:20	unit 24:11,13	48:6 57:15	215:12 225:21
understood 6:9	24:15 29:17	67:16,21 69:12	227:5 270:14
16:9,15 18:2	30:13,14,16	75:4,6 76:25	276:19
37:7,25 43:20	52:22,23 53:1	79:25 82:22	user 26:14
44:1 51:9 56:7	54:4,12,13	84:1,16,17	uses 39:14
56:18 61:18	60:13 222:17	91:5,15 94:12	140:21
62:7 66:9 67:3	united 1:1	98:13,17	using 40:12
83:16 87:13	23:23 48:5,6	105:23 107:4	106:24 109:25
110:24 111:2	48:16 60:9	108:15 115:23	127:5 165:22
127:18,21	122:9 127:16	120:12 122:12	202:24 237:9
129:24 142:12	144:17,25	130:24 141:12	238:1 252:24
148:25 151:21	149:13,17,19	150:1 156:4	255:12,13
152:23 156:13	156:6 157:14	193:24 213:2	usual 5:6
157:6 161:23	158:10,16,23	229:17 236:12	usually 6:16
175:11,15	160:24 161:18	237:6,12,25	16:10

[utilize - video] Page 80

utilize 33:22	vegas 37:13	234:23 235:1	versus 55:10,10
79:24	vehicle 57:22	235:13 236:14	73:11 134:19
utilized 50:4	58:13 59:5,10	236:14 242:11	144:17,25
239:5	59:15 67:25	242:21 243:23	149:13,17
utilizing 239:19	68:25 80:21	245:3 248:16	156:5,6 157:15
243:3 253:2	81:9 83:3	254:15 264:15	158:10,17,23
v	84:18 85:18	268:4,17,22	160:24 161:18
v 1:4 274:4	101:16,18,19	269:3,5,11,23	209:19
276:4 277:1	101:22 105:24	270:3,17,17,22	vet 205:6
278:1	105:25 107:18	270:25 271:7	vetted 112:7,22
va 2:10	107:19 108:1,3	271:19	115:5 204:21
valid 168:19	108:18 109:6	vehicles 20:25	vice 6:13
valium 69:17	109:21 110:16	73:24 101:20	victim 32:12
70:1,2,7,17	110:17 111:7	122:12,15,16	video 24:20
valley 48:15	114:7 118:3	123:1,16	34:14 39:22,23
118:4 128:21	119:14 120:12	128:22 140:21	40:2,6,12,15
131:6 138:9,18	121:1,14,15	140:22 235:5,6	41:7,18 42:13
140:16 148:9	123:4 124:11	veins 135:8	42:18,18,21,23
value 92:19	124:15 131:21	venting 110:12	42:25 43:3,4,8
van 45:21	138:17 139:7	venture 126:13	43:12,13,25
84:20,20,25	141:11,14	verbal 5:23	44:2,7,9,11
vans 45:12	144:19 149:22	184:21 258:22	59:17 65:16,18
122:19	163:8 178:19	verbally 223:24	65:18,21,22
variance	179:22 181:9	verbatim	66:11 99:22
224:16	183:10,14,21	156:21	105:7,8,10
variances	183:22 209:1	verify 115:2	123:24,25
271:12	217:22,23	117:4 276:9	124:1,2,4,5
varied 29:24	219:14,23,24	veritext 275:23	128:24 129:5
various 65:11	221:17 224:7	276:14,23	146:24 151:24
71:9	224:23 230:12	veritext.com.	151:25 158:2,3
veered 219:18	230:13,14,16	276:15	158:5 160:25
219:19	231:4,16	versa 6:13	161:14 163:8
	232:10 234:3	version 9:9,16	163:17 164:10
	234:12,17,18	9:25 10:16	164:12 169:14

[video - want] Page 81

174:8 176:4,7 89:14 99:21 59:7 86:1,10 walked 32:22 177:7,7,8,14,16 106:12 107:21 106:3 114:15 59:3,9 177:22 184:6 162:24 163:2 114:23 134:3 walking 32:17 186:7,10 164:3,14,15 140:2 147:17 106:19 207:15,19 167:18 172:1,5 168:19 222:1 walks 137:5 208:23,24,25 186:6,7 187:18 270:18 271:1 109:1 209:16 211:4,9 187:23 189:12 271:20,22 walmart 48:19 211:15,16 213:11 208:17 212:10 266:18 267:5,8 246:22 247:16 212:25 213:10 263 146:20 visible 264:2 247:17 248:24 213:14,24 271:18 visible 264:2 247:17 248:24 217:9 218:7,8 34:13,16 visit 154:13 250:24 251:19 217:9 218:7,8 34:13,16 visual 154:4 253:19 255:24 214:25 216:24 34:13,16 visual 154:4 259:14,20 217:12 22:19:19 229:14:19 259:15,24 224:4,10,12,13 100:25 188:9 259:15,24 226:4 227:1				
177:22 184:6 162:24 163:2 114:23 134:3 walking 32:17 186:7,10 164:3,14,15 140:2 147:17 106:19 207:15,19 167:18 172:1,5 168:19 222:1 walks 137:5 208:4,5,6,18,22 185:23 186:2,5 225:6,9 234:13 wall 108:19,21 209:16 211:4,9 187:23 189:12 271:20,22 walmart 48:19 211:15,16 208:17 212:10 violations usless 246:11,13,15 212:6,7,15,16 213:11 213:11 266:18 267:5,8 246:11,13,15 212:25 213:10 66:3 146:20 visible 264:2 247:17 248:24 217:9 218:7,8 34:13,16 visits 154:13 250:24 25:19 213:14,24 271:18 visits 154:13 250:24 25:19 219:13 220:2,3 39:13 41:4 visuall 33:16 259:14,20 213:14,24 216:711 visuall 54:4 259:14,20 223:1,2,21,25 39:13 41:4 vice 259:2,6 259:14,20 223:1,2,21,25 167:11 260:6,19 261:2 259:14,20 227:23 229:6 195:23 203:19 260:19,26:2	174:8 176:4,7	89:14 99:21	59:7 86:1,10	walked 32:22
186:7,10 164:3,14,15 140:2 147:17 106:19 207:15,19 167:18 172:1,5 168:19 222:1 walks 137:5 208:4,5,6,18,22 185:23 186:2,5 225:6,9 234:13 wall 108:19,21 209:16 211:4,9 187:23 189:12 271:20,22 walmart 48:19 211:15,16 208:17 212:10 violations waters 3:15 212:6,7,15,16 213:11 155:20 233:10 246:11,13,15 212:25 213:10 66:3 146:20 266:18 267:5,8 246:22 247:16 213:14,24 271:18 visible 264:2 247:17 248:24 217:9 218:7,8 34:13,16 visits 154:13 250:24 251:19 219:13 220:2,3 39:13 41:4 vicued 154:4 253:19 255:24 224:4,10,12,13 224:4,10,12,13 225:5,7 226:3 100:25 188:9 260:4,17,13 259:9 9:1,6 14:6 229:14,17,18 213:9 214:19 23:19 224:6 259:9 34:6 36:14,14 235:22,23 225:5,22 220:2 240:6 259:9 34:6 36:14,14 236:15,1,6,18 260:15,16,18 196:1 203:10 270:5	177:7,7,8,14,16	106:12 107:21	106:3 114:15	59:3,9
207:15,19 167:18 172:1,5 168:19 222:1 walks 137:5 208:4,5,6,18,22 185:23 186:2,5 225:6,9 234:13 109:1 209:16 211:4,9 187:23 189:12 271:20,22 walmart 48:19 211:15,16 208:17 212:10 violations 48:19 212:6,7,15,16 213:11 215:20 233:10 246:11,13,15 212:25 213:10 66:3 146:20 visible 264:2 247:17 248:24 213:14,24 271:18 visible 264:2 247:17 248:24 217:9 218:7,8 34:13,16 visit 154:13 250:24 25:119 219:13 220:2,3 videotapes visual 154:4 253:19 255:24 219:13 220:2,3 videotapes visually 33:16 259:14,20 223:1,2,21,25 167:11 259:15,24 259:14,20 224:4,10,12,13 view 90:12 260:6,19 261:2 261:10,22,25 want 5:9 7:25 226:4 227:12 192:2 195:22 volume 164:4,4 18:16 31:9,17 232:16 234:8 220:2 240:6 258:18 268:13 260:15,16,18 196:1 203:10 wait 150:12 vaich 150:12 66:20	177:22 184:6	162:24 163:2	114:23 134:3	walking 32:17
208:4,5,6,18,22 185:23 186:2,5 225:6,9 234:13 wall 108:19,21 208:23,24,25 186:6,7 187:18 270:18 271:1 109:1 209:16 211:4,9 187:23 189:12 271:20,22 walmart 48:19 211:15,16 208:17 212:10 violations walters 3:15 212:6,7,15,16 213:11 266:18 267:5,8 246:22 247:16 212:25 213:10 66:3 146:20 23:18 246:22 247:16 213:14,24 271:18 visible 264:2 247:17 248:24 217:9 218:7,8 34:13,16 visual 154:4 253:19 255:24 219:13 220:2,3 2160tapes visually 33:16 259:14,20 221:4 222:9,22 39:13 41:4 vice 259:2,6 259:14,20 223:1,2,21,25 167:11 260:6,19 261:2 256:24 224:40,12,13 view 90:12 260:6,19 261:2 260:6,19 261:2 225:5,7 226:3 100:25 188:9 260:6,19 261:2 271:6 227:23 229:6 195:23 203:19 259:9 34:6 36:14,14 235:22,23 225:18 268:13 wish 150:12 wach 150:12	186:7,10	164:3,14,15	140:2 147:17	106:19
208:23,24,25 186:6,7 187:18 270:18 271:1 109:1 209:16 211:4,9 187:23 189:12 271:20,22 walmart 48:19 211:15,16 208:17 212:10 violations walters 3:15 212:6,7,15,16 213:11 155:20 233:10 246:11,13,15 212:25 213:10 66:3 146:20 zisible 264:2 247:17 248:24 213:14,24 271:18 visit 217:15,24 249:25 250:1,3 214:25 216:24 videotaped visits 154:13 250:24 251:19 217:9 218:7,8 34:13,16 visual 154:4 253:19 255:24 219:13 220:2,3 39:13 41:4 vice 259:2,6 259:14,20 221:4 222:9,22 39:13 41:4 vice 259:2,6 wandering 223:1,2,21,25 167:11 259:15,24 256:24 224:4,10,12,13 view 90:12 260:6,19 261:2 want 5:9 7:25 226:4 227:12 192:2 195:22 volume 164:4,4 18:16 31:9,17 229:14,17,18 213:9 214:19 w 36:18 37:6,12 235:22,23 258:18 268:13 waco 120:9,15 57:14 60:13	207:15,19	167:18 172:1,5	168:19 222:1	walks 137:5
209:16 211:4,9 187:23 189:12 271:20,22 walmart 48:19 211:15,16 208:17 212:10 violations 3:15 212:6,7,15,16 213:11 155:20 233:10 246:11,13,15 212:17,24,25 66:3 146:20 266:18 267:5,8 246:22 247:16 213:14,24 271:18 visible 264:2 247:17 248:24 213:19;21 213:19 videotaped 249:25 250:1,3 214:25 216:24 videotaped visits 154:13 250:24 251:19 217:9 218:7,8 34:13,16 visual 154:4 253:19 255:24 219:13 220:2,3 39:13 41:4 vice 259:2,6 wandering 221:4 222:9,22 39:13 41:4 vice 259:2,6 wandering 223:1,2,21,25 167:11 259:15,24 256:24 224:4,10,12,13 view 90:12 260:6,19 261:2 want 5:9 7:25 225:5,7 226:3 100:25 188:9 261:10,22,25 9:1,6 14:6 229:14,17,18 213:9 214:19 w 36:18 37:6,12 235:22,23 258:18 268:13 viewed 163:2 wait 150:12 37:18,23 40:11 <td>208:4,5,6,18,22</td> <td>185:23 186:2,5</td> <td>225:6,9 234:13</td> <td>wall 108:19,21</td>	208:4,5,6,18,22	185:23 186:2,5	225:6,9 234:13	wall 108:19,21
211:15,16 208:17 212:10 violations walters 3:15 212:6,7,15,16 213:11 155:20 233:10 246:11,13,15 212:17,24,25 videotape 41:2 266:18 267:5,8 246:22 247:16 212:25 213:10 66:3 146:20 visible 264:2 247:17 248:24 213:14,24 271:18 visible 264:2 247:17 248:24 213:14,24 271:18 visible 264:2 247:17 248:24 217:9 218:7,8 34:13,16 visits 154:4 250:24 251:19 219:13 220:2,3 34:13,16 visual 154:4 253:19 255:24 219:13 220:2,3 39:13 41:4 voice 259:2,6 259:14,20 221:4 222:9,22 39:13 41:4 260:6,19 261:2 256:24 259:14,20 224:4,10,12,13 view 90:12 260:6,19 261:2 261:10,22,25 9:1,6 14:6 225:5,7 226:3 192:2 195:22 volume 164:4,4 18:16 31:9,17 227:23 229:6 258:18 268:13 w 259:9 34:6 36:14,14	208:23,24,25	186:6,7 187:18	270:18 271:1	109:1
212:6,7,15,16 213:11 videotape 41:2 266:18 267:5,8 246:22 247:16 212:25 213:10 66:3 146:20 visible 264:2 247:17 248:24 213:14,24 271:18 visible 264:2 247:17 248:24 213:14,24 271:18 visible 264:2 247:17 248:24 213:14,24 271:18 visible 264:2 247:17 248:24 213:14,24 videotaped visit 217:15,24 249:25 250:1,3 219:13 220:2,3 videotapes visual 154:4 253:19 255:24 219:13 220:2,3 videotapes visually 33:16 259:14,20 221:4 222:9,22 39:13 41:4 voice 259:2,6 wandering 223:1,2,21,25 167:11 260:6,19 261:2 9:1,6 14:6 225:5,7 226:3 100:25 188:9 261:10,22,25 9:1,6 14:6 229:14,17,18 213:9 214:19 259:9 34:6 36:14,14 235:22,23 258:18 268:13 viewed 163:2 245:12 260:13 270:5 violate 18	209:16 211:4,9	187:23 189:12	271:20,22	walmart 48:19
212:17,24,25 videotape 41:2 266:18 267:5,8 246:22 247:16 212:25 213:10 66:3 146:20 visible 264:2 247:17 248:24 213:14,24 271:18 visible 264:2 247:17 248:24 214:25 216:24 videotaped visit 217:15,24 249:25 250:1,3 217:9 218:7,8 34:13,16 visual 154:4 250:24 251:19 219:13 220:2,3 39:13 41:4 vicually 33:16 259:14,20 221:4 222:9,22 39:13 41:4 vice 259:2,6 wandering 223:1,2,21,25 167:11 259:15,24 want 5:9 7:25 224:4,10,12,13 view 90:12 260:6,19 261:2 want 5:9 7:25 225:5,7 226:3 100:25 188:9 261:10,22,25 9:1,6 14:6 18:16 31:9,17 227:23 229:6 195:23 203:19 259:9 34:6 36:14,14 235:22,23 258:18 268:13 www. 2:17 276:2 20:19 245:12 260:13 188:8 189:8 196:1 203:10 270:5 270:5 26:14 145:23	211:15,16	208:17 212:10	violations	walters 3:15
212:25 213:10 66:3 146:20 visible 264:2 247:17 248:24 213:14,24 271:18 visit 217:15,24 249:25 250:1,3 214:25 216:24 videotaped visits 154:13 250:24 251:19 217:9 218:7,8 34:13,16 visual 154:4 253:19 255:24 219:13 220:2,3 videotapes visually 33:16 259:14,20 221:4 222:9,22 39:13 41:4 voice 259:2,6 wandering 223:1,2,21,25 167:11 259:15,24 want 5:9 7:25 224:4,10,12,13 view 90:12 260:6,19 261:2 want 5:9 7:25 225:5,7 226:3 100:25 188:9 261:10,22,25 9:1,6 14:6 229:14,17,18 213:9 214:19 w 36:18 37:6,12 232:16 234:8 220:2 240:6 258:18 268:13 37:18,23 40:11 235:22,23 258:18 268:13 wait 150:12 63:2,3,6,7 260:25 261:1 270:5 walk 32:15 65:10 67:16,18 260:25 261:1 270:5 walk 32:15 79:21 80:5,6,6 271:23 violate 41:1 188:16 190:4 79:21 216:3 80	212:6,7,15,16	213:11	155:20 233:10	246:11,13,15
213:14,24 271:18 visit 217:15,24 249:25 250:1,3 214:25 216:24 videotaped 34:13,16 visual 154:4 253:19 255:24 219:13 220:2,3 videotapes visually 33:16 259:14,20 221:4 222:9,22 39:13 41:4 voice 259:2,6 259:14,20 223:1,2,21,25 167:11 259:15,24 vant 5:9 7:25 224:4,10,12,13 view 90:12 260:6,19 261:2 vant 5:9 7:25 226:4 227:12 192:2 195:22 volume 164:4,4 18:16 31:9,17 227:23 229:6 195:23 203:19 volume 164:4,4 36:18 37:6,12 235:22,23 258:18 268:13 viewed 163:2 viewed 163:2 37:18,23 40:11 245:12 260:13 188:8 189:8 196:1 203:10 vait 150:12 63:2,3,6,7 260:25 261:1 270:5 violated 41:1 violated 41:1 188:16 190:4 violated 41:1 210:21 216:3 80:8,8 82:3 videos 34:15,15 40:4 41:12 violation 45:1 10:21 216:3 91:25 95:21	212:17,24,25	videotape 41:2	266:18 267:5,8	246:22 247:16
214:25 216:24 videotaped 34:13,16 visual 154:4 253:19 255:24 217:9 218:7,8 34:13,16 visual 154:4 253:19 255:24 219:13 220:2,3 videotapes visually 33:16 259:14,20 221:4 222:9,22 39:13 41:4 voice 259:2,6 wandering 223:1,2,21,25 167:11 259:15,24 voice 259:15,24 224:4,10,12,13 view 90:12 260:6,19 261:2 want 5:9 7:25 225:5,7 226:3 100:25 188:9 261:10,22,25 9:1,6 14:6 18:16 31:9,17 227:23 229:6 195:23 203:19 259:9 34:6 36:14,14 36:18 37:6,12 232:16 234:8 220:2 240:6 258:18 268:13 waco 120:9,15 37:18,23 40:11 46:21 47:9,11 57:14 60:13 63:2,3,6,7 65:10 67:16,18 75:19 79:1,21 79:21 80:5,6,6 75:19 79:1,21 79:21 80:5,6,6 80:8,8 82:3 80:8,8 82:3 80:8,8 82:3 80:25 88:1,1 91:25 95:21	212:25 213:10	66:3 146:20	visible 264:2	247:17 248:24
217:9 218:7,8 34:13,16 visual 154:4 253:19 255:24 219:13 220:2,3 39:13 41:4 vice 259:2,6 259:14,20 221:4 222:9,22 39:13 41:4 voice 259:2,6 wandering 223:1,2,21,25 167:11 259:15,24 want 5:9 7:25 224:4,10,12,13 100:25 188:9 260:6,19 261:2 want 5:9 7:25 225:5,7 226:3 100:25 188:9 261:10,22,25 9:1,6 14:6 227:23 229:6 195:23 203:19 259:9 34:6 36:14,14 229:14,17,18 213:9 214:19 w 36:18 37:6,12 235:22,23 258:18 268:13 ww 2:17 276:2 37:18,23 40:11 245:12 260:13 188:8 189:8 196:1 203:10 wait 150:12 63:2,3,6,7 269:22 270:4 270:5 walk 32:15 65:10 67:16,18 271:23 violated 41:1 188:16 190:4 79:21 80:5,6,6 271:23 violation 45:1 80:25 88:1,1 91:25 95:21	213:14,24	271:18	visit 217:15,24	249:25 250:1,3
219:13 220:2,3 videotapes 39:13 41:4 259:14,20 221:4 222:9,22 39:13 41:4 voice 259:2,6 wandering 223:1,2,21,25 167:11 259:15,24 want 5:9 7:25 224:4,10,12,13 view 90:12 260:6,19 261:2 want 5:9 7:25 225:5,7 226:3 100:25 188:9 261:10,22,25 9:1,6 14:6 226:4 227:12 192:2 195:22 volume 164:4,4 18:16 31:9,17 227:23 229:6 195:23 203:19 w 36:18 37:6,12 232:16 234:8 220:2 240:6 258:18 268:13 wco 120:9,15 37:18,23 40:11 235:22,23 258:18 268:13 waco 120:9,15 57:14 60:13 245:12 260:13 188:8 189:8 196:1 203:10 wait 150:12 63:2,3,6,7 260:25 261:1 270:5 violate 188:20 walk 32:15 75:19 79:1,21 79:21 80:5,6,6 80:8,8 82:3 185:8,11 210:21 216:3 86:25 88:1,1 20:25 95:21	214:25 216:24	videotaped	visits 154:13	250:24 251:19
221:4 222:9,22 39:13 41:4 voice 259:2,6 wandering 256:24 223:1,2,21,25 167:11 259:15,24 256:24 224:4,10,12,13 view 90:12 260:6,19 261:2 want 5:9 7:25 225:5,7 226:3 100:25 188:9 261:10,22,25 9:1,6 14:6 226:4 227:12 192:2 195:22 volume 164:4,4 18:16 31:9,17 227:23 229:6 195:23 203:19 259:9 34:6 36:14,14 229:14,17,18 213:9 214:19 w 36:18 37:6,12 232:16 234:8 220:2 240:6 258:18 268:13 37:18,23 40:11 235:22,23 258:18 268:13 waco 120:9,15 46:21 47:9,11 245:12 260:13 188:8 189:8 196:1 203:10 wait 150:12 63:2,3,6,7 269:22 270:4 violate 188:20 waik 32:15 75:19 79:1,21 269:22 270:4 violated 41:1 188:16 190:4 80:8,8 82:3 40:4 41:12 violation 45:1 210:21 216:3 86:25 88:1,1	217:9 218:7,8	34:13,16	visual 154:4	253:19 255:24
223:1,2,21,25 167:11 259:15,24 256:24 224:4,10,12,13 225:5,7 226:3 100:25 188:9 260:6,19 261:2 231,6 14:6 225:5,7 226:3 100:25 188:9 261:10,22,25 9:1,6 14:6 226:4 227:12 192:2 195:22 volume 164:4,4 18:16 31:9,17 227:23 229:6 195:23 203:19 259:9 34:6 36:14,14 229:14,17,18 213:9 214:19 220:2 240:6 37:18,23 40:11 235:22,23 258:18 268:13 258:18 268:13 258:18 268:13 245:12 260:13 188:8 189:8 196:1 203:10 270:5 260:25 261:1 270:5 wait 150:12 63:2,3,6,7 260:25 270:4 violated 41:1 86:14 145:23 75:19 79:1,21 271:23 violated 41:1 188:16 190:4 86:14 145:23 80:8,8 82:3 80:8,8 82:3 80:25 88:1,1 91:25 95:21	219:13 220:2,3	videotapes	visually 33:16	259:14,20
224:4,10,12,13 view 90:12 260:6,19 261:2 want 5:9 7:25 225:5,7 226:3 100:25 188:9 261:10,22,25 9:1,6 14:6 226:4 227:12 192:2 195:22 volume 164:4,4 18:16 31:9,17 227:23 229:6 195:23 203:19 259:9 34:6 36:14,14 229:14,17,18 213:9 214:19 w 36:18 37:6,12 232:16 234:8 220:2 240:6 258:18 268:13 37:18,23 40:11 235:22,23 258:18 268:13 waco 120:9,15 57:14 60:13 245:12 260:13 188:8 189:8 196:1 203:10 63:2,3,6,7 260:25 261:1 270:5 waik 32:15 65:10 67:16,18 269:22 270:4 violated 41:1 86:14 145:23 79:21 80:5,6,6 80:8,8 82:3 80:8,8 82:3 80:8,8 82:3 185:8,11 210:21 216:3 91:25 95:21	221:4 222:9,22	39:13 41:4	voice 259:2,6	wandering
225:5,7 226:3 100:25 188:9 261:10,22,25 9:1,6 14:6 226:4 227:12 192:2 195:22 volume 164:4,4 18:16 31:9,17 227:23 229:6 195:23 203:19 259:9 34:6 36:14,14 229:14,17,18 213:9 214:19 w 36:18 37:6,12 232:16 234:8 220:2 240:6 37:18,23 40:11 37:18,23 40:11 235:22,23 258:18 268:13 waco 120:9,15 57:14 60:13 245:12 260:13 188:8 189:8 196:1 203:10 36:14 145:21 260:25 261:1 270:5 wait 150:12 63:2,3,6,7 269:22 270:4 violate 188:20 violated 41:1 79:21 80:5,6,6 271:23 violated 41:1 185:8,11 80:8,8 82:3 40:4 41:12 violation 45:1 210:21 216:3 86:25 88:1,1	223:1,2,21,25	167:11	259:15,24	256:24
226:4 227:12 192:2 195:22 volume 164:4,4 18:16 31:9,17 227:23 229:6 195:23 203:19 259:9 34:6 36:14,14 229:14,17,18 213:9 214:19 w 36:18 37:6,12 232:16 234:8 220:2 240:6 w 2:17 276:2 37:18,23 40:11 235:22,23 258:18 268:13 waco 120:9,15 57:14 60:13 245:12 260:13 188:8 189:8 196:1 203:10 wait 150:12 63:2,3,6,7 260:15,16,18 270:5 waik 32:15 65:10 67:16,18 269:22 270:4 violate 188:20 wolume 164:4,4 75:19 79:1,21 260:15,16,18 196:1 203:10 270:5 270:5 269:22 270:4 violate 188:20 86:14 145:23 79:21 80:5,6,6 271:23 violated 41:1 185:8,11 210:21 216:3 86:25 88:1,1 20:21 216:3 270:25 270:25 270:25 270:25	224:4,10,12,13	view 90:12	260:6,19 261:2	want 5:9 7:25
227:23 229:6 195:23 203:19 259:9 34:6 36:14,14 229:14,17,18 213:9 214:19 w 36:18 37:6,12 232:16 234:8 220:2 240:6 w 259:9 34:6 36:14,14 235:22,23 258:18 268:13 w 2:17 276:2 37:18,23 40:11 236:1,3,7 viewed 163:2 waco 120:9,15 57:14 60:13 245:12 260:13 188:8 189:8 wait 150:12 63:2,3,6,7 260:15,16,18 270:5 waik 32:15 75:19 79:1,21 269:22 270:4 violated 41:1 86:14 145:23 79:21 80:5,6,6 80:8,8 82:3 80:8,8 82:3 86:25 88:1,1 40:4 41:12 violation 45:1 210:21 216:3 91:25 95:21	225:5,7 226:3	100:25 188:9	261:10,22,25	9:1,6 14:6
229:14,17,18 213:9 214:19 w 36:18 37:6,12 232:16 234:8 220:2 240:6 37:18,23 40:11 235:22,23 258:18 268:13 wco 120:9,15 46:21 47:9,11 236:1,3,7 188:8 189:8 196:1 203:10 57:14 60:13 260:15,16,18 196:1 203:10 270:5 waiving 5:7 269:22 270:4 violated 41:1 86:14 145:23 79:21 80:5,6,6 271:23 violated 41:1 188:16 190:4 86:25 88:1,1 40:4 41:12 violation 45:1 210:21 216:3 91:25 95:21	226:4 227:12	192:2 195:22	volume 164:4,4	18:16 31:9,17
232:16 234:8 235:22,23 236:1,3,7 245:12 260:13 260:15,16,18 260:25 261:1 269:22 270:4 271:23 videos 34:15,15 40:4 41:12 220:2 240:6 258:18 268:13 viewed 163:2 188:8 189:8 196:1 203:10 270:5 violate 188:20 violated 41:1 188:16 190:4 40:4 41:12 violation 45:1 37:18,23 40:11 46:21 47:9,11 57:14 60:13 63:2,3,6,7 65:10 67:16,18 75:19 79:1,21 79:21 80:5,6,6 80:8,8 82:3 86:25 88:1,1 91:25 95:21	227:23 229:6	195:23 203:19	259:9	34:6 36:14,14
235:22,23 236:1,3,7 245:12 260:13 260:15,16,18 260:25 261:1 269:22 270:4 271:23 videos 34:15,15 40:4 41:12 258:18 268:13 viewed 163:2 188:8 189:8 196:1 203:10 270:5 violate 188:20 violated 41:1 188:16 190:4 40:2:17 276:2 vaco 120:9,15 120:19 vait 150:12 vaiving 5:7 valk 32:15 86:14 145:23 185:8,11 210:21 216:3 86:25 88:1,1 91:25 95:21	229:14,17,18	213:9 214:19	\mathbf{w}	36:18 37:6,12
235:22,23 236:1,3,7 245:12 260:13 260:15,16,18 260:25 261:1 269:22 270:4 271:23 videos 34:15,15 40:4 41:12 258:18 268:13 viewed 163:2 188:8 189:8 196:1 203:10 270:5 violate 188:20 violated 41:1 188:16 190:4 violation 45:1 258:18 268:13 viewed 163:2 120:19 vait 150:12 vait 150:12 vaiving 5:7 valk 32:15 86:14 145:23 185:8,11 210:21 216:3 46:21 47:9,11 57:14 60:13 63:2,3,6,7 65:10 67:16,18 79:21 80:5,6,6 80:8,8 82:3 86:25 88:1,1 91:25 95:21	232:16 234:8	220:2 240:6	w 2·17 276·2	37:18,23 40:11
236:1,3,7 245:12 260:13 260:15,16,18 260:25 261:1 269:22 270:4 271:23 videos 34:15,15 40:4 41:12 viewed 163:2 188:8 189:8 196:1 203:10 270:5 violate 188:20 violated 41:1 188:16 190:4 40:4 41:12 violation 45:1 120:19 wait 150:12 waiving 5:7 walk 32:15 86:14 145:23 185:8,11 210:21 216:3 57:14 60:13 63:2,3,6,7 65:10 67:16,18 79:21 80:5,6,6 80:8,8 82:3 86:25 88:1,1 91:25 95:21	235:22,23	258:18 268:13		46:21 47:9,11
245:12 260:13	236:1,3,7	viewed 163:2	·	57:14 60:13
260:15,16,18 196:1 203:10 waiving 5:7 260:25 261:1 270:5 violate 188:20 269:22 270:4 violated 41:1 86:14 145:23 271:23 violated 41:1 188:16 190:4 40:4 41:12 violation 45:1 210:21 216:3	245:12 260:13	188:8 189:8		63:2,3,6,7
260:25 261:1 270:5 walk 32:15 75:19 79:1,21 79:21 80:5,6,6 271:23 violated 41:1 188:16 190:4 40:4 41:12 violation 45:1 walk 32:15 86:14 145:23 185:8,11 210:21 216:3 86:25 88:1,1 91:25 95:21	260:15,16,18	196:1 203:10		65:10 67:16,18
269:22 270:4 violate 188:20 violated 41:1 188:16 190:4 violation 45:1 violate 188:20 violated 41:1 188:16 190:4 violation 45:1 violation 45:1 violation 45:1 violation 45:1 violation 45:1 violation 79:21 80:5,6,6 80:8,8 82:3 86:25 88:1,1 91:25 95:21	260:25 261:1	270:5		75:19 79:1,21
271:23 violated 41:1 185:8,11 210:21 216:3 80:8,8 82:3 86:25 88:1,1 91:25 95:21	269:22 270:4	violate 188:20		79:21 80:5,6,6
videos 34:15,15 188:16 190:4 210:21 216:3 86:25 88:1,1 40:4 41:12 violation 45:1 91:25 95:21	271:23	violated 41:1		80:8,8 82:3
40:4 41:12 violation 45:1 91:25 95:21	videos 34:15,15	188:16 190:4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	86:25 88:1,1
43:24,24,24 49:3 51:14,14 98:14 100:10	40:4 41:12	violation 45:1	210.21 210.3	91:25 95:21
	43:24,24,24	49:3 51:14,14		98:14 100:10

[want - westbound]

Page 82

			1
101:21 102:21	warning	52:4,7,9 55:6	wearing 24:18
104:7 110:3	145:23 264:9	62:6 75:12	83:2 117:12
114:12 115:12	warrant 30:8	78:5 81:4 83:3	118:21
120:14 127:21	180:5	85:2,12 90:12	weather 135:3
136:5 137:10	warrants 69:23	91:14 94:2	weaving 114:7
138:16 139:6	73:14 110:13	98:20,23	266:19
140:18 142:14	180:4	104:10 105:19	webcast 202:7
142:21 144:8	waste 71:25	107:25 116:10	207:6
144:15 147:18	wasting 146:7	122:17 132:25	website 157:20
149:4 150:20	watch 37:11,14	133:25 134:25	157:23 158:1
157:11 159:11	66:3,25 116:11	145:24 152:4	161:13 187:20
162:6 167:9,9	118:12 165:25	169:13,18	weed 51:24
168:14 173:20	166:2 169:14	171:17 173:21	74:22
174:3 178:9	174:11 253:17	188:8,19 189:7	week 94:22
182:24 183:1	255:18 260:12	192:6 196:22	95:1,5
192:11 198:24	watched 34:15	197:1,20	weighed 34:22
199:12 200:16	34:21 35:4	198:16 203:19	72:12 189:20
202:13 204:24	59:17 99:22,22	204:8 205:22	189:23
205:4 232:10	107:21 123:24	227:9,22	weight 72:11
237:1 240:5	123:25 161:13	231:23 247:25	72:13 139:4
246:2,9 247:20	181:22 186:2,5	248:12 258:18	weirdest 21:11
249:2,8 251:15	186:6,10	259:25 261:20	went 21:13,21
267:7	187:18 189:12	265:23 269:16	24:11,23,25
wanted 11:6	213:13 234:8	272:5	27:21 33:8,20
12:19 13:13	watching 61:7	ways 50:20	34:4 61:24
30:18 34:6	109:1 117:24	51:6 161:21	62:1 64:2,2
56:17 59:14	118:2,10,15	we've 68:14	99:19 148:8
61:25 62:9	184:5 205:24	100:9 227:18	186:4 191:12
69:22,25 96:22	208:17 256:5	247:7	219:19 228:17
177:1 186:22	water 32:16,17	weakest 46:7,8	240:25 260:20
187:15,18	wave 192:12	51:8	261:11
wanting 110:10	way 30:18	weapons 78:11	westbound
wants 37:20	32:21 44:8	168:21	242:13
	50:17 51:12		

Veritext Legal Solutions 215-241-1000 ~ 610-434-8588 ~ 302-571-0510 ~ 202-803-8830

[western - wrap] Page 83

western 1:1	window 49:4,5	woods 256:24	worker 140:13
274:1	49:7,9 110:16	word 46:20	140:19
westlaw 157:12	268:9,11,13	47:4 59:24	working 14:11
157:13 158:14	windows 59:6,8	76:15 77:1	14:14 23:2
158:15	windshield	108:15 171:8	24:25 25:15
whatsapp	226:7	172:20,25	59:4 63:13
146:21 148:7	wire 52:14	173:1 193:22	88:25 89:1,3
239:6,14,15,17	wise 248:7	193:24 213:8	94:22 107:5
239:19,22,25	wish 127:8	213:20 225:21	120:1 141:16
wheeler 124:7	witness 1:17	words 88:7	142:6 189:2
219:15 269:25	9:20 15:8 20:1	237:12 247:25	works 45:24
wheelers	21:18 26:5,7	work 18:24	50:19 53:17
122:17	32:13 33:11	19:13 23:7,12	58:16 80:1
wheels 45:12	34:2 90:8 91:5	23:15 26:20	106:23 111:23
white 11:1	91:12,15,17	28:11 29:20	116:7 138:12
21:21 22:1	99:15 100:20	31:9 37:8	140:13 184:4
217:4 219:6	103:6 127:8	44:20 51:19	workweek 95:6
223:10 224:7	148:3 164:2	52:8,13,15	95:19
224:15 226:12	178:23 193:3	53:12 54:23	world 26:24
226:23,24	195:2,16	79:2 80:14	50:12 62:11
230:5,25	196:12 197:19	88:5 90:13,13	64:13 139:3
231:13 233:23	198:4 211:14	93:14 97:15	179:7
whren 156:6	215:8 242:3	116:3 117:5	worn 163:12,16
wild 35:12	268:8 269:8	120:4,7 139:10	163:17,20
williamson	271:10,17	139:20,22	165:25 166:2,8
16:18,21,23	272:2,23 273:1	140:11 202:18	189:13 264:13
18:19 19:3	274:17,20,24	253:13 255:10	264:19
22:22 23:2,8	276:8,10,12,18	worked 22:22	worth 121:10
27:22,23 28:9	woman 137:21	24:2 26:25	121:10 141:25
98:13 120:4	138:1	27:15 52:21	142:3 212:2
146:2	wonder 84:10	88:16 101:15	275:24
windham 2:3,8	wonders	181:23 183:8	wow 34:19 35:9
4:20,20	215:22	184:2 227:10	wrap 84:21
		234:16	

Veritext Legal Solutions 215-241-1000 ~ 610-434-8588 ~ 302-571-0510 ~ 202-803-8830

[wrapped - zoom]

wronned 70:12		268:16	94:12
wrapped 70:12 wreck 73:6	X	year 19:1 20:9	youtube 158:5
wrecker 20:5,8	x 2:19 70:17	21:22 25:3	177:2,7
21:8	275:3	52:22 56:22	,
wrench 184:18	\mathbf{y}	60:15,17 61:14	Z
	yeah 10:15	61:20 146:3	z 2:9
wright 1:20,22 2:14 166:22	14:22 16:13	204:7	zero 60:16,17
274:14 275:22	17:25 18:3		62:11 101:25
write 45:2	19:17 20:16	years 17:10,25 19:7 22:23	zoom 2:19 4:23
	22:14 26:7		
68:13,14 94:4 94:15 95:17	27:11 31:20	24:14,18 39:24 56:4 57:5	
159:6 174:17	39:4,7,20	58:20 59:1	
174:19 178:19	46:24 48:14	60:17 61:20	
	50:2 64:18		
236:12 237:7	71:15 75:7	62:16 63:11,13 64:1 195:23	
writing 12:17	76:13 77:11	234:16 246:17	
46:14 66:3,12	83:11 93:4,8		
68:9 94:22	93:15 94:25	yelling 256:11	
95:2 96:17 written 9:21	95:5,7,15 96:7	yellow 141:5 217:2 219:4	
65:13 67:14	100:19 109:3	221:21 222:20	
68:2,10 89:13	109:10 114:17	224:7 226:13	
89:14 98:6,8	115:23 116:6	226:18,19	
99:3,10,11	123:9 127:4	227:6,7,13,24	
100:3 104:2,3	129:3 130:16	228:3,8,21	
wrong 22:21	132:2,16 133:8	229:24 230:4	
92:14 123:11	137:9 139:14	230:11 234:3	
159:2 175:18	139:17 144:2	yep 223:17	
263:12	147:12 166:6	227:20	
wrote 14:22	167:14 172:3	yesterday	
67:5 102:22	186:12 199:22	14:23	
104:11 106:21	220:5 221:7	young 21:15	
149:3 151:8	242:8 248:5	84:5 86:24	
229:21	251:13 257:7	youngsters	
227.21	259:22 265:6	22:3 81:5	

Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 30

- (e) Review By the Witness; Changes.
- (1) Review; Statement of Changes. On request by the deponent or a party before the deposition is completed, the deponent must be allowed 30 days after being notified by the officer that the transcript or recording is available in which:
- (A) to review the transcript or recording; and
- (B) if there are changes in form or substance, to sign a statement listing the changes and the reasons for making them.
- (2) Changes Indicated in the Officer's Certificate. The officer must note in the certificate prescribed by Rule 30(f)(1) whether a review was requested and, if so, must attach any changes the deponent makes during the 30-day period.

DISCLAIMER: THE FOREGOING FEDERAL PROCEDURE RULES

ARE PROVIDED FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY.

THE ABOVE RULES ARE CURRENT AS OF APRIL 1,

2019. PLEASE REFER TO THE APPLICABLE FEDERAL RULES

OF CIVIL PROCEDURE FOR UP-TO-DATE INFORMATION.

VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS

Veritext Legal Solutions represents that the foregoing transcript is a true, correct and complete transcript of the colloquies, questions and answers as submitted by the court reporter. Veritext Legal Solutions further represents that the attached exhibits, if any, are true, correct and complete documents as submitted by the court reporter and/or attorneys in relation to this deposition and that the documents were processed in accordance with our litigation support and production standards.

Veritext Legal Solutions is committed to maintaining the confidentiality of client and witness information, in accordance with the regulations promulgated under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA), as amended with respect to protected health information and the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, as amended, with respect to Personally Identifiable Information (PII). Physical transcripts and exhibits are managed under strict facility and personnel access controls. Electronic files of documents are stored in encrypted form and are transmitted in an encrypted

fashion to authenticated parties who are permitted to access the material. Our data is hosted in a Tier 4 SSAE 16 certified facility.

Veritext Legal Solutions complies with all federal and State regulations with respect to the provision of court reporting services, and maintains its neutrality and independence regardless of relationship or the financial outcome of any litigation. Veritext requires adherence to the foregoing professional and ethical standards from all of its subcontractors in their independent contractor agreements.

Inquiries about Veritext Legal Solutions'
confidentiality and security policies and practices
should be directed to Veritext's Client Services
Associates indicated on the cover of this document or
at www.veritext.com.